STATE BOARD OF HIGHER EDUCATION
MINUTES OF MEETING HELD IN
HOKE LOUNGE, HOKE HALL, EASTERN OREGON COLLEGE, LA GRANDE, OREGON
AND ROOM 201, DIVISION OF CONTINUING EDUCATION BUILDING,
PORTLAND, OREGON

June 10-11, 1969

MEETING #374-1

A regular meeting of the State Board of Higher Education was held
in the Hoke Lounge, Hoke Hall, Eastern Oregon College, La Grande,
Oregon, and Room 201, Division of Continuing Education Building,
Portland, Oregon.

ROLL CALL

The meeting was called to order at 9:00 A.M. (PDT) Tuesday, June 10,
1969, by the President of the Board, Mr. J. W. Forrester, Jr., and
on roll call the following answered present:

Mr. Chas. R. Holloway, Jr.     Mr. Ancil H. Payne
Mrs. Elizabeth H. Johnson      Mr. John W. Snider
Mr. Philip A. Joss             Mr. J. W. Forrester, Jr.
Mr. George H. Layman

Absent: R. E. Purvine, M.D., and Mr. Ray T. Yasui were absent
for business reasons.

OTHERS PRESENT

Centralized Activities—Chancellor R. E. Lieuallen; Secretary R. L.
Collins; Mr. H. A. Bork, Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs;
Dr. Miles C. Romney, Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs; Dr. J. W.
Sherburne, Vice Chancellor for Continuing Education; Mr. J. L.
Watson, Comptroller; Mr. D. R. Larson, Assistant Chancellor; Mr. J. I.
Hunderup, Director of Facilities Planning; Mr. Allen McKenzie,
Assistant to Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs; Dr. Carl G. Paetz,
Assistant Director of Facilities Planning; Mr. John W. Osburn, Special
Assistant Attorney General; Dr. George Diel, Director, Communications
Development; Mr. David Doherty, Research Assistant to the Chancellor;
Dr. James H. Beard, Associate Director, Teaching Research Division.

Oregon State University—Dr. Roy A. Young, Acting President-Designate;
Mr. M. Popovich, Dean of Administration; Mr. G. M. Robertson, Director
of Business Affairs.

University of Oregon—Mr. J. O. Lindstrom, Director of Fiscal
Affairs; Dr. John E. Lallas, Director of Planning and Institutional
Research; Mr. Jack Steward, Personnel Director; Mr. Ralph Sunderland,
Chief Budget Officer; Mr. Fred C. Andrews, Director of Statistical
Laboratory and Computer Center.

University of Oregon Dental School—Dean L. G. Terkla; Mr. Eugene W.
Bauer, Assistant Dean for Business Affairs.

University of Oregon Medical School—Dean C. N. Holman; Mr. W. A.
Zimmerman, Associate Dean for Business Affairs.
Portland State University—President Gregory B. Wolfe; Mr. W. T. Lemmon, Jr., Director of Business Affairs; Dr. Donald Parker, Acting Dean of Administration.

Oregon College of Education—President L. W. Rice; Dr. Ronald L. Chatham, Assistant to the President.

Southern Oregon College—President E. N. Stevenson; Dr. Burt Merriman, Chairman, Division of Health and Physical Education.

Eastern Oregon College—President A. M. Rempel; Dr. R. S. Perry, Dean of Administration; Mr. Carter Townes, Director of Information.

Oregon Technical Institute—President W. D. Purvine; Mr. W. Douglass, Dean of Administration.

Division of Continuing Education—Mr. Ralph Steetle, Director of Program Coordination.

Student Representatives—Mr. Russell K. Sadler, Chairman, Inter-institutional Student Committee on Higher Education; Miss Sonja Sweek, Vice President, Associated Students, University of Oregon; Mr. Larry Marcott, First Vice President, Associated Students, Oregon Technical Institute; Mr. Howard Wang, Foreign Student Executive Board, University of Oregon; Mr. Larry Chadwick, Director of Public Relations, ASUO; Mr. Dave Zumwalt, Director of Tutorial Program, ASUO; Mr. Brad Skinner and Miss Abla Aranki, members of the Student Senate, Portland State University; and Mr. Mark Runnels, Program Council, Southern Oregon College.

Others—Mr. Paul E. Emerick, President, and Mr. Joe Beach, Jr., Corporation Secretary, Paul B. Emerick Co., Portland; Mr. Lloyd Welser, Attorney for Mr. Emerick; Mr. Tod J. deKanter, Architect, deKanter And Holgate, Architects, Portland; Mr. Rockne Gill, Attorney for Hoffman Construction Company, and Mr. Cecil W. Drinkward, member of the Hoffman Construction Company firm; Mr. Gilbert Polanski, Field Representative, Oregon State Employees Association.

Mr. H. A. Bork, Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs, indicated several minor modifications to the preliminary minutes of the April 21, 1969, Board meeting dealing with the bond resolution and action.

The Board voted to dispense with the reading of the minutes of the last regular meeting held on April 21, 1969, and approved them as amended.

President Forrester then directed the attention of the Board members to the items in the docket of business. During the consideration of the docket items, Mr. Forrester asked the chairman of the committee concerned to review briefly the content of each item and the committee recommendation for those items which had previously been considered by the respective Board committees.
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Tentative financing plan:
State funds (General Fund appropriation and/or Article XI-G bonds) $ 440,000
Self-liquidating bond borrowings and other restricted funds $ 280,000

The Building and Finance Committees recommended that the Board approve the recommendations as presented.

The Board approved the recommendations as presented.

Pursuant to authorization granted by the Board on March 10, 1969, bids were received in La Grande on April 24, 1969, for the renovation of Sections A and B of Hunt Hall, the men's dormitory at Eastern Oregon College. This project was included as Priority No. 4 in the listing of capital outlay for auxiliary enterprises during 1969-1971 at an estimated total cost of $245,000. Legislative authorization therefor was included within House Bill 1812.

The three bids received ranged from $195,000 to $203,900, only slightly above the estimate for direct construction cost allowance of $193,195. Upon the recommendation of President Rempel, a contract award was made to the low bidder and the following budget was approved for the project:

Direct construction costs - Gilco, La Grande $ 195,000
Professional services fees 15,600
Furnishings and equipment 10,600
Construction supervision and miscellaneous costs 8,200
Contingencies (8% of direct construction costs) 15,600
Total $ 245,000

Except for $65,000 which will be provided from self-liquidating bond borrowings under the provisions of Article XI-F(1) of the Oregon Constitution, the project will be financed from restricted fund balances (dormitory repair reserve funds and sinking fund reserves) available from auxiliary enterprises operations at Eastern Oregon College.

Plans and specifications for the work were prepared by Architect Wesley V. Korman of Pendleton.

RECAPITULATION AT CONTRACT AWARD

Project: EOC Hunt Hall Renovation

Architect: Wesley V. Korman, Pendleton

Legislative authorization - Chapter 307, Oregon Laws 1969 (Enrolled House Bill 1812)

Board's Priority - No. 4 in 1969-1971 (auxiliary enterprises)
Approximate gross area - 32,993 sq. ft.

Estimated total project cost $245,000

Estimated direct construction cost:
  Total $195,000
  Average (per sq. ft.) - $5.91

Tentative schedule:
  Contract award - June 1969
  Completion - September 1969

Financing plan:
  Restricted fund balances from dormitory opera-
  tions, including sinking fund reserves $180,000
  Self-liquidating bond borrowings under provi-
  sions of Article XI-F(1) of the Oregon
  Constitution 65,000
  Total $245,000

The Board accepted the report as presented.

Inspection & Acceptance for Phase IV Const-
Residence Hall
 Addition, Radioactive Isotopic Laboratory
Facilities and Wells 4 and 6 Development with Loop Com-

A revised semifinal budget for the project is shown below in compara-

Revised Budget (5/1/69)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residence Hall Addition</th>
<th>Wells 4 &amp; 6</th>
<th>Isotopic Laboratory Facilities</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct construction costs:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General work - Robert D. Morrow, Inc., Salem</td>
<td>$850,560</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>$65,348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical work - Patterson Plumb-</td>
<td>166,134</td>
<td>67,640</td>
<td>46,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical work - Hahn Electric Co., Klamath Falls</td>
<td>84,944</td>
<td>30,142</td>
<td>8,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total direct construction costs</td>
<td>$1,101,638</td>
<td>$98,182</td>
<td>$120,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional services fees &amp; expenses</td>
<td>63,994</td>
<td>5,351</td>
<td>13,888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furnishings and equipment</td>
<td>38,514</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction supervision, physical plant, legal &amp; miscellaneous costs</td>
<td>13,460</td>
<td>1,467</td>
<td>1,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscaping and offsets</td>
<td>12,394</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(5,756)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$1,230,000</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
<td>$130,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Original Budget (9/11-12/67)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Residence Hall with Loop Addition</th>
<th>Wells 4 &amp; 6 Completion(2)</th>
<th>Isotopic Laboratory Facilities(2)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct construction costs:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General work - Robert D. Morrow, Inc., Salem</td>
<td>$ 849,550</td>
<td>$ 400</td>
<td>$ 63,700</td>
<td>$ 913,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical work - Patterson Plumbing Co., Inc., Medford</td>
<td>160,539</td>
<td>68,760</td>
<td>44,520</td>
<td>273,819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical work - Hahn Electric Co., Klamath Falls</td>
<td>82,692</td>
<td>29,867</td>
<td>8,850</td>
<td>121,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total direct construction costs</td>
<td>$1,092,781</td>
<td>$99,027</td>
<td>$117,070</td>
<td>$1,308,878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional services fees and expenses</td>
<td>64,057</td>
<td>5,397</td>
<td>13,719</td>
<td>83,173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furnishings and equipment</td>
<td>37,538</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction supervision and miscellaneous costs</td>
<td>13,768</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13,768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscaping and offsets</td>
<td>- (1,405)</td>
<td>(3,130)</td>
<td>(4,535)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>21,856</td>
<td>1,981</td>
<td>2,341</td>
<td>26,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$1,230,000</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
<td>$130,000</td>
<td>$1,465,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Increase or (Decrease)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Residence Hall with Loop Addition</th>
<th>Wells 4 &amp; 6 Completion(2)</th>
<th>Isotopic Laboratory Facilities(2)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct construction costs:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General work</td>
<td>$ 2,010</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 1,648</td>
<td>$ 3,658(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical work</td>
<td>4,595</td>
<td>(1,120)</td>
<td>1,850</td>
<td>5,325(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical work</td>
<td>2,252</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,527(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total direct construction costs</td>
<td>$ 8,857</td>
<td>(845)</td>
<td>$3,498</td>
<td>$11,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional services fees &amp; expenses</td>
<td>(63)</td>
<td>(46)</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furnishings and equipment</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction supervision and miscellaneous costs</td>
<td>(308)</td>
<td>1,467</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>2,459(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscaping and offsets</td>
<td>12,394</td>
<td>1,405</td>
<td>(2,626)</td>
<td>11,173(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>(21,856)</td>
<td>(1,981)</td>
<td>(2,341)</td>
<td>(26,178)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) As reported to the Board on September 11-12, 1967, bid alternates were received for the Isotopic Laboratory Facilities and for Wells 4 and 6 Development with Loop Completion in conjunction with the major contracts for constructing the Residence Hall Addition. The Board was advised that the State Emergency Board had authorized the expenditure of funds for the dormitory but had recommended that an extension of time be obtained for the acceptance of alternates for the laboratory facilities and outside utilities pending further review of the capital outlay program by the special legislative session later in 1967.

(2) Inasmuch as extensions of time were obtained from the contractors for the acceptance of the bid alternates and inasmuch as the State Emergency Board authorized the acceptance of the alternates to be exercised for construction of the additional facilities, change orders were executed in December 1968 for the completion of the Radioactive Isotopic Laboratory and the outside utilities.
(3) Includes modifications to door locations and foundation drain lines; the deletion of vinyl asbestos tile in the main floor lounges; modifications of plaster and paint in shower rooms; modifications of an automatic transfer system; and other changes incorporated in 15 approved change orders.

(4) Includes modifications to a sewage lift pump and related mechanical work; the insulation of return hot water lines in the existing building; the relocation of an 8-inch cold water main at the Isotopic Laboratory; modification to shower heads and other changes incorporated within 14 approved change orders.

(5) Includes the furnishing and installation of additional light fixtures and dimmers; modifications to the corridor lighting; substituting a liquid propane type carburetor on the emergency generator from natural gas type; and other changes incorporated within ten approved change orders.

(6) Includes backfill over the vault roof above the Isotopic Laboratory by the Physical Plant and prorated legal costs incurred in the sale of self-liquidating bonds.

(7) Includes landscape work which has been deferred pending better weather conditions.

Plans and specifications for the work were prepared by Architects Skidmore, Owings & Merrill of Portland. The Residence Hall Addition will provide housing accommodations for 234 students, thus increasing the capacity of the Residence Hall to a total of 558 men and women students. Including the expenditure of $1,505,000 made earlier for the initial unit and for the Commons, the investment per student averages about $4,901. Based upon the gross area of 47,864 square feet, the direct construction costs of $1,101,638 for the Residence Hall Addition average $23.02 per square foot including built-in study room furniture.

The Wells 4 and 6 Development with Loop Completion has completed the water distribution loop across the east side of the campus to fulfill fire code regulations for water supply to the Library-Commons Building, Residence Hall and Physical Education Building. Well No. 4 will provide an auxiliary source of cold water supply and Well No. 6 will supplement Well No. 5 in providing hot water.

The Isotopic Laboratory Facilities include an underground vault housing a neutron generator and laboratory facilities at two levels in Cornell Hall (the instructional shops building). A dry laboratory containing approximately 16 student stations, a faculty office and storage room were provided at one level and a wet laboratory accommodating 12 student stations together with a separate room for control and measurement on the lower level. A waste holding tank was constructed separately near the basement vault.
Sources of funds for the Phase IV Construction project were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources of Funds</th>
<th>Residence Wells 4 &amp; 6</th>
<th>Isotopic Laboratory Facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hall Addition</td>
<td>Completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Series 1967 self-liquidating bond borrowings and</td>
<td>$1,230,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restricted fund balances from auxiliary enterprises</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,260,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redirected auxiliary enterprises</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>net income and student building fees</td>
<td>$126,452</td>
<td>$126,452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund appropriation in Chapter 599, Oregon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laws 1965</td>
<td>$3,548</td>
<td>$3,548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund appropriation in Chapter 404, Oregon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laws 1967</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$1,230,000</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,465,000</td>
<td>$130,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECAPITULATION AT INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE

Project - OTI Phase IV Construction - Residence Hall Addition, Radioactive Isotopic Laboratory Facilities, and Wells 4 and 6 Development with Loop Completion

Architects - Skidmore, Owings & Merrill, Portland

Legislative authorization:
- Residence Hall - Chapter 404, Oregon Laws 1967
- Wells 4 & 6 - Chapter 404, Oregon Laws 1967
- Radioactive Isotopic Laboratory - (Included as part of project entitled Laboratories; Paving; Planting and Utilities Supplement)
  - Chapter 599, Oregon Laws 1965

Board's priority:
- Residence Hall - No. 14, 1967-1969
- Wells 4 and 6 - No. 18, 1967-1969
- Radioactive Isotopic Laboratory - No. 22, 1965-1967

Approximate gross area of new construction
- Residence Hall Addition - 47,864 square feet

Estimated total project cost:
- Total - $1,465,000
- Residence Hall - $1,230,000
- Wells 4 and 6 - 105,000
- Radioactive Isotopic Laboratory Facilities - 130,000
Estimated direct construction costs:

- Total: $1,320,338
- Residence Hall: $1,101,638
- Wells 4 and 6: 98,182
- Radioactive Isotopic Laboratory Facilities: 120,568
- Average (per square foot): $23.02 (Residence Hall Addition)

Financing plan:
- Series 1967 self-liquidating bond borrowings and restricted fund balances from auxiliary enterprises: $1,260,000
- Redirected auxiliary enterprises net income and student building fees: 126,452
- General Fund appropriation in Chapter 599, Oregon Laws 1965: 3,548
- General Fund appropriation in Chapter 404, Oregon Laws 1967: 75,000
- Total: $1,465,000

The Board accepted the report as presented.

Condemnation of Jacob Property, OSU

The Jacob property, located within the projected campus boundaries of Oregon State University at 629 S. W. 18th Street, Corvallis, consists of a lot containing approximately 5,000 square feet and is improved with a frame residence. The property is needed in connection with the construction of the proposed Arnold Hall Cafeteria.

Negotiations to secure a purchase option at a satisfactory price have been unsuccessful.

President Jensen, with the concurrence of the Chancellor, recommended that the Board authorize condemnation action on the property unless satisfactory price arrangements can be made. In the event a satisfactory price can be reached and the purchase cleared through the Executive Committee, appropriate report is to be made to the Board. Funds for the acquisition of this property will be provided from those authorized in Chapter 404, Oregon Laws 1967, for purchase of sites for auxiliary enterprise facilities, or from the project budget for Arnold and Bloss Halls.

It was recommended also that the following resolution be adopted by roll call vote:
RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Oregon, the State Board of Higher Education is authorized to purchase such real property as in its discretion may be necessary for the present and future development of any activities or institutions under its jurisdiction;

WHEREAS, under and by virtue of the laws of this state, whenever any property is required for use by the state, and the owner and the board directing the state department or institutions for which the property is required cannot agree upon which price is to be paid, said board is authorized to have instituted in the name of the State of Oregon any necessary or appropriate suit, action or condemnation of said property required for such purposes and the assessment of damages for the taking thereof;

WHEREAS, the State of Oregon, by and through the State Board of Higher Education, finds it necessary that the State of Oregon acquire title to the hereinafter described real property situated in the City of Corvallis, Benton County, Oregon, for the public purpose, to wit, the establishing and maintaining thereon of public buildings, grounds or facilities for the expansion and development of Oregon State University; and

WHEREAS, the State Board of Higher Education, by its officers, employees, or agents, has attempted to negotiate with the owner thereof for the acquisition of title to such property, but to this date has been unable to agree with such owner upon the reasonable market value or price to be paid for such property or upon the compensation or damages to be paid to the owner thereof for the taking of such property for such public use;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE State Board of Higher Education in regular meeting assembled:

1. That the State Board of Higher Education does hereby find and declare that the following described parcel of land is required for public use, is suitable, proper and necessary for the public purpose of establishing and maintaining thereon public buildings, grounds or facilities for the expansion and development of Oregon State University:

   Lot 9, Block 13, Avery and Wells Addition to the City of Corvallis, in the County of Benton and State of Oregon.

2. That the State Board of Higher Education does hereby find and declare as a result of its investigation that the acquisition of the fee simple title to said real property, together with all appurtenances, hereditaments and easements appurtenant thereto, free and clear of all interests of every kind and nature, is necessary to carry out the proposed expansion and development program.
3. That the Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs is directed to attempt to agree with the owners and persons in interest of said real property as to the compensation to be paid for the taking; and in the event that no satisfactory agreement can be reached, then he shall request and authorize the Attorney General of the State of Oregon to commence and prosecute to a final determination such suits, actions, and proceedings as may be necessary and appropriate to acquire the title to the real property hereinabove described.

The Building and Finance Committees recommended that the Board approve the recommendations as presented.

Upon motion by Director Joss, the Board approved the recommendations as presented and the above resolution was adopted by roll call vote with the following voting in favor of adoption: Directors Holloway, Johnson, Joss, Layman, Payne, Snider, and Forrester.

Those voting no: None.

The President of the Board thereupon declared said resolution duly adopted by a unanimous vote.

In accordance with authorization granted by the Board on March 10, 1969, the appropriate Board officials acknowledged the acceptance of the final plans and specifications for the proposed utility tunnel extension at Oregon State University, solicited bids and made a contract award to proceed with construction within the budget total of $270,000. Six bids were received for the project on May 22, 1969, ranging from a low of $221,144 to a high of $380,000. (Five of the bids were in amounts of $253,500 or less, compared with the direct construction cost allowance of $235,000 reported earlier.)

Upon the recommendation of institutional officials, an award was made to the low bidder and the following budget was approved for the project:

Direct construction costs - Quentin Greenough, Inc., Corvallis $ 221,144
Professional services fees, including site survey 13,857
Construction supervision, other Physical Plant costs and miscellaneous costs 7,000
Sewer line revisions 16,942
Contingencies (5% of direct construction costs) 11,057
Total $ 270,000

Plans and specifications for the project were prepared by Engineers W. Bruce Morrison & Associates, Inc., Portland. The work includes an extension of the utility tunnel system from Withcombe Hall to the west mall and along the mall from Campus Way to Jefferson Street, a distance of approximately 1,150 feet. Funds required for the work are being provided from the General Fund appropriation in Chapter 404, Oregon Laws 1967, since the project constitutes a major portion of the $365,000 utility tunnel extension authorized by Chapter 404,
Oregon Laws 1967. As reported to the Board on December 9, 1968, expenditures of $95,000 were made for the 510-foot portion of the tunnel serving the new Bioscience Building and other structures along Campus Way.

RECAPITULATION UPON RECEIPT OF BIDS AND CONTRACT AWARD

Project - OSU Utility Tunnel Extension

Engineers - W. Bruce Morrison & Associates, Inc., Portland

Legislative authorization - Chapter 404, Oregon Laws 1967

Board's priority - No. 22 in 1967-1969 (part)

Total project cost (exclusive of $95,000 portion previously completed) $ 270,000

Direct construction costs $ 221,144

Tentative schedule:
Contract award - June 1969
Completion - October 1969

Financing plan:
General Fund appropriation in Chapter 404, Oregon Laws 1967 $ 270,000

The Board accepted the report as presented.

President Jensen reports an increase of $82,696 in estimated indirect cost credits for year 1968-69 at Oregon State University as the result of increases in the volume of grant and contract projects. It was recommended that expenditures in the above amount be approved to cover operating costs associated with the contract projects as follows:

| Indirect Cost Credits, Administration | $ 4,754 |
| Indirect Cost Credits, Libraries and Museums | 284 |
| Indirect Cost Credits, Institutional Services | 14,763 |
| Indirect Cost Credits, Instructional Services | 2,418 |
| Indirect Cost Credits, Departmental Administration | 43,156 |
| Indirect Cost Credits, Equipment Replacements | 9,110 |
| Indirect Cost Credits, Marine Science Center | ($19,852) |
| Indirect Cost Credits, Physical Plant | 28,063 |

Total net increase $ 82,696

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.
Pursuant to Board policy adopted October 22, 1968, the Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs has accepted options in line with our appraisals on the following properties:

1. Lot containing approximately 6,000 square feet improved with a three-bedroom frame residence at 1763 Western Avenue, Corvallis, from Mr. and Mrs. Norman W. Campion for $15,420.

2. Lot containing approximately 5,000 square feet improved with a frame residence at 627 S. W. 18th Street, Corvallis, from Mr. and Mrs. James D. Clayton for $10,250.

3. Lot containing approximately 6,500 square feet improved with a wood frame residence located at 1968 "A" Street, Corvallis, from Mr. and Mrs. Roger H. Neudeck for $16,400.

The first two properties are located in an area designated for dormitory development and the third for parking. All are to be financed from proceeds of sale of Article XI-F(1) bonds.

The Board accepted the report as presented.

President Jensen, with the concurrence of the Chancellor, recommended that an amount of $12,300 be provided to Oregon State University for estimated expenditures of the presidential search committee. The balance unexpended at June 30, 1969, would be carried forward to year 1969-70 to continue the search expenditures in that year. The funds are to be provided from the Board’s Unappropriated Fund.

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

(Considered by Building and Finance Committees, May 20, 1969.)

A report made to the Board at the April 23, 1968, meeting on the finances of Auxiliary Activities and Service Departments, as shown in the minutes of the meeting starting on page 290, included reference to the Computational Services Activities of Oregon State University. It was then estimated that the account for the operations would be overdrawn by $396,000 on June 30, 1968. A recommendation of President Jensen, concurred in by the Chancellor, was approved by the Board providing that the Computational Services were to be conducted on a self-supporting basis no later than June 30, 1968, with income to be at least sufficient to meet expenses. Moreover, it was determined that the overdraft in the Computational Services Account was to be completely eliminated by no later than June 30, 1971.

Projections have been made by the University of income and expenditures to June 30, 1969. The anticipated overdraft in the Computational Services Account is tentatively estimated to be $332,600.
In order to avoid such an overdraft, President Jensen, with the concurrence of the Chancellor, recommended that:

1. A grant from a private corporation be applied for payment of expenses related to computational activities $150,000

2. There be an increase in the unrestricted funds account or accounts relating to the Computer Center to be financed as follows:

   Increase in estimated unrestricted funds student fee income from the institution $75,000

   Transfers from OSU budget accounts, unrestricted funds 50,700

   Allocation from Board's unrestricted unappropriated funds 56,900 182,600

   Total $332,600

It is expected that the restricted fund grant from a private corporation will be received before June 30, 1969, and can be properly applied to pay for costs which have been or would be charged to the Computational Services Account.

The providing of $182,600 of unrestricted funds will be budgeted in one or more unrestricted educational and general accounts. The consolidated Computational Services operations relate to instruction, research and services. To the extent practical, it is customary to charge the appropriate departments for services provided to them by the Computer Center organization. There is a substantial amount of work though provided by the Computer Center organization which cannot readily be charged to various departments, some of which are related to instruction and research activities of the institutions; and others for planned service work which may not materialize. It follows that the financing of such work is properly chargeable against unrestricted funds.

Of the increase in amounts available from unrestricted funds, $75,000 is to be provided from an overrealization of budgeted unrestricted funds student fees of the institution. It is also expected that balances in the various unrestricted fund budget accounts of the institution can be transferred to the unrestricted fund Computer Center account or accounts in the amount of $50,700. The balancing amount of no more than $56,900 is to be provided from the Board's unrestricted unappropriated funds.

In the event that the $150,000 grant referred to above is not received or cannot properly be applied to meet expenses of the Computational Services Program of the current fiscal year, it will follow that
appropriate adjustment would then be required to be made to Oregon State University's unrestricted funds budget for 1969-70. It will be necessary for the institution within the unrestricted funds total budget for next fiscal year to reserve moneys to provide for an adverse development with regard to the use of grant funds. Similarly, within the normal total unrestricted funds budget allotment to the University furnished for next fiscal year, a reserve will need to be provided to permit reimbursement to the Board's unrestricted unappropriated funds within the indicated maximum of $56,900.

During the Committee discussion, the Chancellor said that in the event all of the anticipated grant funds of $150,000 could not be applied during the current fiscal year 1968-69, it would not be possible to clear the deficit by June 30, 1969. In that event, the remaining amount of the deficit on June 30, 1969, would be the first obligation of the 1969-70 unrestricted operating fund budget of Oregon State University; but the actual transfer of money might not be made until July 1 or 2, and it would be necessary to close the fiscal year showing this temporary deficit. The Chancellor said he had reviewed with the Audits Division of the Secretary of State's Office, the possibility that the deficit might not be cleared precisely on June 30, and that no objections to this proposal have been raised at this time (May 20, 1969).

The Committee discussed the program of computational services in the Computer Center in terms of its operation and accounting in providing an instructional program for students and computational services to divisions in the State System and to other agencies and private corporations. Committee members expressed concern that the terms of the grant from the private corporation should not restrict any future operations of the Computer Center and that one computer manufacturer should not have a competitive advantage as compared to another.

The Building and Finance Committees recommended that the Board approve the recommendations as presented, with the understanding that if a portion of the anticipated $150,000 grant cannot be applied during the current fiscal year, the remaining balance will be provided from the unrestricted operating fund budget of Oregon State University immediately after the beginning of the 1969-70 fiscal year.

During the Board discussion, it was indicated by Oregon State University representatives that one-half of the $150,000 grant from the private corporation would be received before the end of fiscal year 1968-69 and that this $75,000 would be applied toward operating costs which would reduce the deficit. The remaining $75,000 is to be provided immediately after July 1 from unrestricted funds available during the 1969-70 fiscal year, pending receipt of the remainder of the grant.

In response to a question, it was indicated that the computational services have been operating at a self-sustaining financial level for the last several months. Oregon State University officials said,
however, that the legislative appropriation for new programs and program improvements in instruction had been disappointing because it represented only about 20 percent of the actual cost of computer time used for instruction.

Mr. Bork indicated that his interpretation of the Board action was that it would require that after the removal of the present deficit the computer operation would be on a self-supporting basis and indicated that this interpretation would be incorporated in the budget plan for the next fiscal year.

The Board also discussed the rental of equipment versus the purchase of computer equipment in terms of cost. It was indicated that the lease arrangements were under review and that price changes are expected to occur in the near future which may result in more favorable leases. Oregon State University officials explained that the high initial capital outlay requirement prohibited purchase of the computer equipment.

It was stated that the unrestricted funds recommended to be applied were from overrealized student fee income at Oregon State University, from Oregon State University budget transfers and from the Board's Unappropriated Fund to clear the deficit by June 30 or immediately thereafter.

It was understood that the transfer of $56,900 from the Board's Unappropriated Fund is to be reserved for return to the Board in the original 1969-70 operating budget of Oregon State University.

Report of Bids for Parker Stadium Improvements, OSU

Bids for the proposed improvements to Parker Stadium at Oregon State University, which were received in Eugene on May 15, 1969, simultaneously with those for similar improvements to Autzen Stadium at the University of Oregon, may be summarized as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of Work</th>
<th>No. of Bids</th>
<th>Low Bid</th>
<th>High Bid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic Turf Work</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$203,505</td>
<td>$204,425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Work</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>69,361</td>
<td>71,943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Work</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>53,048</td>
<td>59,247</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The amounts reflect adjustments for bid alternates to be exercised in order to stay within the expenditure limitation of $350,000 previously authorized by the Board. The project budget recommended by institutional officials would be substantially as follows:

Direct construction costs:
- Synthetic turf work - Monsanto Company, St. Louis, Missouri $203,505
- General work - Corvallis Sand & Gravel Company, Corvallis 69,361
- Electrical work - L. H. Morris Electric, Inc., Eugene (net amount if combination award is made to include electrical work on Autzen Stadium Improvements, UO; otherwise increased by $880) 52,168

Total Direct Construction Costs $325,034
Professional services fees 8,280
Construction supervision, project administration and miscellaneous costs 9,000
Contingencies (approximately 2.36% of direct construction costs) 7,686
Total $350,000

As noted in the minutes of the April 21, 1969, meeting of the Board, the project would be financed entirely from donations and from restricted fund balances currently available to the Athletic Department.

Contract awards are being made for the three classes of work indicated above.

During the Board discussion, it was indicated that one of the important factors in the selection of the contractor for the synthetic turf was the additional five-year guarantee available at a nominal cost from the Monsanto Company. This was particularly important in view of the fact that the contracts with the school districts were for ten years.

The Board accepted the report as presented.

Doctor of Arts (DA) Degree in English, UO

(Considered by the Board's Committee on Academic Affairs, Personnel, and Public Affairs, May 19, 1969.)

The University of Oregon requests authorization to offer a Doctor of Arts (DA) degree in the field of English.

The complete statement of the University of Oregon request and the analysis of the request by the Board's Office of Academic Affairs are presented in the document, Request from University of Oregon for Authorization To Offer a Doctor of Arts Degree in English, prepared for the Board's Committee on Academic Affairs for its May 19, 1969, meeting. The document referred to is bound in a separate volume and is considered an integral part of these minutes.

The proposed Doctor of Arts program is the same as the present Ph.D. program offered at the University of Oregon, except for the dissertation requirement which applies to the Ph.D. degree, but not to the Doctor of Arts degree. Requirements are:

1. **Residence Requirement.** Three years of full-time work beyond the bachelor's degree. At least one year must be spent in residence on the Eugene campus.

2. **Course Requirements**
   a. English 540 (Bibliography, 3 hours)
   b. Any two of the following seven courses: 511 (Old English), 512 (Old English), 517 (Middle English), 520 (History and Structure of the English Language), 521 (History and
3. **Foreign Language Requirement.** The candidate must demonstrate, by examination or course work, a reading knowledge of two of the following languages: French, German, Russian, Spanish, Italian, Latin, or Greek. Instead of two languages he must demonstrate, by examination or course work, a very high competence in one. In special circumstances other languages than those listed may be offered.

4. **Teaching Requirement.** All candidates are required to have supervised professional experience as classroom teachers in the department. Candidates are occasionally exempted from the required seminar in the teaching of college composition; to be considered for exemption they must have at least a year's experience teaching high school or college composition. However, those exempted are invited to take the seminar and frequently do.

5. **Examination Requirements.** Examinations at two levels must be passed: the field examinations during the first two years of full-time graduate study, the doctoral examination during the third year of full-time study.

   a. **Field Examinations.** The doctoral candidate must pass two-hour examinations in each of six of the ten sections listed below: (1) Old English literature, (2) Middle English literature, (3) Renaissance dramatic literature, (4) Renaissance non-dramatic literature, (5) English Literature 1660-1780, (6) English literature 1780-1830, (7) English literature 1830-1914, (8) American literature to 1914 (9) Contemporary British and American literature, (10) Special studies (some non-period approach or field of investigation regularly considered in graduate courses - e.g., linguistics, folklore, critical theory, black literature, comparative philology, comparative literature, English education.)

   Exemption from three of the foregoing six examinations may be obtained by completion of three graduate courses (one at the 500 level) in each section with a GPA of at least 3.25. Field examinations successfully completed in meeting MA requirements will count toward the required six. Transfer students may be given credit for up to three of the six field examinations, but at least three must be passed by examination at the University of Oregon.
b. Doctoral Examination. After completing six field examinations (or their course equivalents) the candidate must submit a statement of the nature and scope of his doctoral field to the graduate committee. If the committee approves the field as appropriate for specialization and examination, it will appoint the candidate's doctoral committee of three examiners. The candidate will then meet with this committee to explore his preparation in the field, to agree on further work needed, and to agree on the coverage of his doctoral examination.

The doctoral examination is a four-hour written and/or oral examination. The major field must be of comparable scope to one of the traditional periods recognized in graduate study, and the examination will ordinarily cover the primary literature of the field, the significant scholarship and criticism (especially including recent developments and problems), and a relevant supporting field.

Having completed the foregoing requirements, the doctoral candidate would be awarded the Doctor of Arts degree. Those having the interest and the capacity to complete a doctoral thesis could subsequently qualify for the Ph.D. degree.

Board's Office Recommendation. The Board's Office recommended that the Board's Committee on Academic Affairs recommend to the Board that it authorize the University of Oregon to offer the Doctor of Arts degree in English.

This recommendation rests upon the following principal points, discussed in more detail in the document prepared for the Board's Committee, but summarized briefly as follows:

1. There is evidence that there would be a demand for persons holding a Doctor of Arts degree of the character which the University proposes.

2. The degree would serve well the needs of those doctoral candidates who, after completion of all of the degree requirements for the Ph.D. degree, except the dissertation, desire to enter upon a teaching career, either permanently, or during the interim period before going on to the completion of a doctoral dissertation and qualifying for the Ph.D. degree. For the former, the Doctor of Arts would be a terminal degree; for the latter, an interim degree. Those for whom the Doctor of Arts would be an interim degree would, by graduate school policies, have a maximum of three calendar years from the date of the completion of the doctoral examination in which to complete the doctoral dissertation and qualify for the Ph.D. degree.
3. The University presently has all the resources necessary to the offering of the Doctor of Arts degree, since it has for years offered the Ph.D. degree in English.

Discussion and Recommendation of the Committee on Academic Affairs.

At its May 19 meeting, the Board's Committee discussed with Dr. Romney and others present the evidences of a demand for persons with the preparation which the proposed Doctor of Arts in English would provide.

There was an extended discussion, too, of possible alternatives to the establishment of the Doctor of Arts degree. Among those discussed were:

1. Alterations in the Ph.D. requirements so as to permit the student to complete the degree sooner than he usually does. Dr. Romney noted that the requirements for the Ph.D. degree have remained little altered over many years, except for some minor modifications, in some instances, in the foreign language requirement. He said that there was little disposition on the part of colleges and universities to change Ph.D. requirements, although the University of Oregon program now makes it possible for an individual to compete a Ph.D. degree in four years of full-time study beyond the baccalaureate. Few are able to complete degree requirements in so short a period, he noted.

2. Establish a designation "Ph.C.", which stands for "candidate in philosophy," and is taken to signify that the individual has completed all of the requirements for the Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) except the dissertation. Some institutions prefer this designation to the Doctor of Arts designation. Dr. Romney indicated. He pointed out, however, that such a designation connotes an interim stage, suggesting that the student intends to go on to a Ph.D. degree. This he said makes it less suitable as a designation than the Doctor of Arts designation because a fair number of those who complete all of the Ph.D. requirements except the dissertation may be counted upon never to return and complete that requirement. For them, then, the Ph.C. becomes a terminal degree rather than an interim one, as the title suggests. Moreover, Dr. Romney noted, Ph.C. is a less "elegant" title than either the Master of Philosophy or the Doctor of Arts designation.

3. Establish a master's degree of greater rigor than the traditional Master of Arts or Master of Science degree. This is the approach preferred by some institutions, notably Yale University, which began offering in 1968 a Master of Philosophy degree, which denotes the same thing as the proposed Doctor of Arts progra at the University of Oregon would indicate, namely, that the individual has completed all of the requirements for the Ph.D. degree except the dissertation.
The master's designation is not considered by some institutions, including the University of Oregon, as being as appropriate a designation as the Doctor of Arts designation since in the minds of many, the master's degree has been devalued. And although the Master of Philosophy is clearly not the Master of Arts or Master of Science degrees, which may be earned in a year, there is always the danger, it is asserted, that the Master of Philosophy degree will be confused with the latter degrees.

4. Establish the Doctor of Arts degree. This is the preference of the University of Oregon and of numerous others in higher education.

The Committee asked whether, if the proposed Doctor of Arts program in English were approved, it should anticipate that other departments of the University would request a similar authorization. Dr. Romney responded that it was possible, indeed likely, that some other departments wish a similar degree authorization. He said that the Doctor of Arts program, being a teaching degree, ought in all cases, to reflect that fact by providing within its requirements opportunities for the candidate to acquire experience and skill in the arts of teaching. Most Ph.D. programs, being research-oriented, provide the candidate with opportunities to demonstrate his research capabilities, but no opportunities to acquire and demonstrate teaching skill. He said that it would be desirable were the institutions to recognize that many Ph.D. recipients, particularly in the humanities and social sciences, go into teaching, to provide them with supervised experience in acquiring and demonstrating teaching skills. In the case of the Doctor of Arts program, such opportunities are indispensable.

Dean Duncan commented that the University of Oregon has made an effort over the past two years to introduce supervised teaching experience into its Ph.D. programs. At present supervision in college teaching is almost always the responsibility of the individual department, although Dr. Romney suggested that eventually, as the importance of teaching experience becomes more apparent, departments may draw upon the expertise of schools of education.

The Committee was assured that the Doctor of Arts degree in English, being but the first three years of the Ph.D. program which the University has long offered, would not involve additional financing.

The Committee on Academic Affairs agreed to recommend to the Board that it authorize the University of Oregon to award the Doctor of Arts (DA) degree in English as proposed.

Discussion by the Board. Mrs. Johnson summarized the report of the Committee, emphasizing the need to understand the proposal because of the varied nomenclature that is developing across the nation for similar intermediate degree programs. In respect to the effective
date, Dr. Romney observed that doctoral candidates in English at the University of Oregon would be eligible for the Doctor of Arts degree upon completion of all the requirements for the Doctor of Philosophy degree except the dissertation. He pointed out that undoubtedly there are some candidates presently at this point in their programs and it is presumed that they would be granted their degrees in 1969-70.

Members of the Board took particular note that the D.A. degree would be a terminal degree for those who did not choose to complete a dissertation and an interim degree for those who subsequently completed the dissertation and were awarded the Ph.D. Dr. Romney observed that the University of Oregon recommended the designation "doctor of arts" as "the fairest statement of the situation of the candidate or holder of the degree." He said that every discipline has advanced students who do not wish the intense emphasis on research characteristic of the Ph.D.; that many of these persons are planning a career in college teaching and for them a terminal degree providing preparation for teaching makes a great deal of sense. He noted that the University of Oregon Ph.D. program in English has been recognized nationally as one where there is concern in preparing people to teach. He said because other disciplines have students with similar needs and interests, the Board could expect similar requests from other departments in the institutions, and that increased use of the intermediate degree could be expected not only in Oregon but throughout the United States.

Board Action. Mrs. Johnson moved that the Board approve the request from the University of Oregon for authorization to award the Doctor of Arts degree in English as proposed.

The Board approved the motion as presented.

In accordance with Board regulations, Mrs. Elizabeth H. Johnson represented the Board at the 1969 Spring Commencement exercises at the University of Oregon and acted for the Board in approving candidates for degrees and diplomas.

The signed copies of the lists of approved candidates are on file in the Board's Office.

The Board confirmed the action of Mrs. Johnson in approving the candidates for degrees and diplomas.

(Considered by Building and Finance Committees, May 20, 1969.)

Architects Wolff/Zimmer/Gunsul/Frasca/Ritter and officials of the University of Oregon have filed with the Board's Office a copy of the completed preliminary plans for the proposed Administrative Services Building. This facility (and the related Utility Extension) has been assigned Priority No. 3 in the listing of educational and general plant projects requested for 1969-1971. Since a portion
of the utility extension costs would be financed from self-liquidating
bond borrowings and/or other restricted funds, the item also appears
on the listing of auxiliary enterprises projects as Priority No. 3.

Generally, the preliminary plans conform to the revised basic studies
which were reviewed and approved by the Board on December 9, 1968;
but a few modifications have been made as a result of further study
and planning:

1. The gross area of the building has increased approximately
   1,674 square feet (from 104,850 square feet to 106,524 square
   feet), but efforts will be made during final planning to
   reduce the area to the original figure.

2. Some reassignment of spaces has occurred. For example, areas
   on the first floor originally allocated to Student Affairs,
   Fiscal Affairs and Student Conduct have been supplanted by
   offices for Student Placement and Campus Security. Student
   Conduct offices have been reassigned to the third floor.
   Counseling has been allocated space on the fifth floor rather
   than on the second floor, and offices for Fiscal Affairs and
   Student Affairs have been reassigned from the first floor to
   the seventh floor.

3. Except for an area of approximately 823 square feet on the
   fifth floor which would accommodate the Director of Counseling
   and his staff, the fifth and sixth floors have been allocated,
   on a temporary basis, to offices for the Eugene staff of the
   Division of Continuing Education. This assignable area of
   approximately 10,486 square feet is 1,256 square feet more
   than had been contemplated by the basic studies and results
   from the reassignment of other departments as well as the
   consolidation of the Division of Continuing Education into
   two floor levels instead of portions of three levels as
   described earlier.

In confirming the budget estimates for direct construction, assuming
the price level early in 1970, the architects have indicated it may
be necessary to make minor modifications to the outline specifica-
tions such as to substitute a gear-type elevator in lieu of the gear-
less type and to omit certain portions of the landscaping and court
paving to stay within the total of $2,928,000. The estimated direct
construction costs for the building itself, exclusive of site develop-
ment and utility connections, are expected to be approximately
$2,811,000, or $26.39 per square foot.

As noted when the revised basic studies were presented, the proposed
structure would be a nine-story reinforced concrete building with
a three-story wing and would be located in the block bounded by
Thirteenth Avenue, Franklin Boulevard, Beech Street and Agate Street.
The low-rise section of the building, containing the registrar’s office, business office and other functions requiring large areas to serve students and staff, would have its principal access from Thirteenth Avenue. Each of the three levels in the low-rise portion would be connected with office areas in the tower portion of the building on the east. The nine-story tower section, containing other administrative departments, would have structural capacity adequate for the addition of three floor levels at some future time. Provision would be made for the horizontal expansion of the low-rise unit.

It is expected that the exterior surface of the Administrative Services Building would be of brick veneer. All office areas would be air conditioned, utilizing steam and chilled water from the central heating, power and cooling plant for air heating and cooling.

A total of approximately 355 office stations would be provided within both the high-rise and low-rise sections of the building.

Preliminary plans for the utility extensions, budgeted as a part of the total project, are being prepared by Engineers J. Donald Kroeker & Associates. Tentatively, it is expected that $535,000 of the total project budget of $4,040,000 for the Administrative Services Building (and Utility Extension), will be required for these utility improvements.

With the concurrence of the Chancellor, Acting President Johnson recommended that the preliminary plans for the proposed Administrative Services Building be approved and that the appropriate Board officials be authorized to instruct the architects to prepare final plans and specifications therefor following legislative approval of the project.

RECAPITULATION AT COMPLETION OF PRELIMINARY PLANS

Project - UO Administrative Services Building

Architects - Wolff/Zimmer/Gunsul/Frasca/Ritter, Portland

Legislative authorization - Being requested in 1969

Board's priority - No. 3 in 1969-1971 (Educational and General Plant)

Approximate gross area - 106,524 square feet

Estimated total project cost (including Utility Extension, $535,000) $4,040,000

Estimated direct construction costs:
Total (Administrative Services Building only excluding site improvements and utility connections of $117,000) $2,811,000
Average (per square foot) - $26.39
Tentative schedule:
Bidding – February 1970
Completion – September 1971

Tentative financing plan (including Utility Extension, $335,000)
State funds (General Fund appropriation and/or bond borrowings under Article XI-G of Oregon Constitution) $3,920,000
Self-liquidating bond borrowings under Article XI-F(1) of Oregon Constitution and/or other restricted fund balances 120,000
Total 4,040,000

At the beginning of the presentation, Mr. Hunderup informed the Board that the Administrative Services Building at the University of Oregon, although No. 3 on the Board's priority list, had been removed from the 1969-1971 capital construction listing by legislative action.

During the Committee discussion, it was pointed out that the Administrative Services Building was planned and located in accordance with the master campus plan for the University of Oregon. It was not designed to provide for the expansion of enrollment but to replace the substandard facilities which currently exist in Emerald Hall, a wood war-surplus structure which was moved to the campus in 1946 following World War II. Mr. Hunderup reported that the Legislature did approve construction of a utility distribution extension at an estimated cost of $635,000 which is part of the Administrative Services Building project.

Mr. Hunderup indicated that an effort would be made to prepare the working drawings during the 1969-1971 biennium although financing the cost of final plans for such a large project would be difficult. It is anticipated that if working drawings can be completed, construction could be started as soon as authorization is obtained from the 1971 Legislature.

In view of the recommendation of the Joint Ways and Means Committee that the project not be approved for the 1969-1971 biennium, Mr. Hunderup said that the recommendation to the Committee should be modified to recommend approval of the preliminary plans but to defer authorization to proceed with the final plans for the project.

The Building and Finance Committees recommended that the Board approve the preliminary plans but that the preparation of final plans for the project be deferred for the present.

During the Board discussion, Mr. Hunderup said that the Ways and Means Committee report issued after the May 20 meetings of the Board's Committees anticipated that the Board would preserve the high priority for this project. As a result, it is expected that within the next
few months a recommendation to proceed with the preparation of final plans and specifications will be brought to the Board in order that the plans and specifications would be completed and construction could begin as soon as legislative authorization is obtained in 1971.

In response to a question, it was indicated that the master plan for the University of Oregon was based on an enrollment of 16,500, which has subsequently been increased to 18,000 in the institutional planning. However, it was pointed out that the enrollment would not be as significant a factor for this building as it would in other types of spaces because the ratio of growth increase in administrative spaces is not as great. Potential vertical and horizontal expansion is also provided in the building. Mrs. Johnson indicated that she was concerned that the planning for this building provides sufficient space for the anticipated enrollment.

The Board approved the recommendation as presented with the understanding that a request will be presented to the Board at a later time for authorization to proceed with the final plans and specifications.

On January 15, 1969, upon the recommendation of University of Oregon officials, the Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs inspected and accepted the work performed by the construction contractor for the North Site Arts Buildings, subject to the completion of a few minor items. (Inadvertently, a report of this action was omitted from the docket of the March and April meetings of the Board.) A revised semifinal budget for the project is shown below in comparison with the budget reported to the Board on September 9-10, 1968:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project costs:</th>
<th>Revised Budget 1/15/69</th>
<th>Original Budget 9/9-10/68</th>
<th>Increase or Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct construction costs -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. A. Chambers &amp; Associates, Inc., Eugene</td>
<td>$ 230,162</td>
<td>$ 231,100</td>
<td>($ 938)(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional services fees</td>
<td>13,810</td>
<td>13,866</td>
<td>(56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furnishings and equipment</td>
<td>25,500</td>
<td>25,500</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction supervision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(physical plant and miscellaneous costs)</td>
<td>13,528</td>
<td>7,912</td>
<td>5,616 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,622</td>
<td>(4,622)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total project costs</td>
<td>$ 283,000</td>
<td>$ 283,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Includes modification to lumber specifications; modifications to concrete work and interior partitions; substitution of three free-standing high-temperature flue assemblies on concrete footings for two prefabricated chimneys; the reinstatement into the contract of two entry canopy roofs and other changes incorporated into seven approved change orders.
(2) Includes asphalt paving, security lighting and landscaping work performed by the Physical Plant.

Plans and specifications for the North Site Arts Building (as a part of the proposed additions and alterations to the Architecture and Allied Arts Complex at the University of Oregon) were prepared by Campbell-Yost & Partners of Portland. The three buildings (one for sculpture; one for ceramics; and one for painting and drawing) are connected by the canopied entryways at the west end and are separated by courts which will be used as outdoor laboratories. The structural system is of wood post and beam construction. Exterior walls are of prefabricated panels composed of wood studs sheathed with plywood on both the interior and exterior. The roof is framed of glue-laminated girders with large prefabricated panels of plywood decking covered with insulation and built-up roofing. Modular skylights have been built within the roof structure for maximum north light. The inclusion of storage mezzanines and mechanical space has resulted in a gross area of approximately 17,407 square feet.

The direct construction cost of $230,162 averages about $13.22 per square foot.

Funds for the North Site Arts Buildings were provided from the General Fund appropriation in Chapter 404, Oregon Laws 1967. (The remainder of the expenditure limitation of $1,645,000 approved for the Classroom, Office, Teaching Center: Architecture and Allied Arts and General Purpose Educational project is expected to be budgeted for the major addition and alterations to Lawrence Hall.)

RECAPITULATION UPON INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE

Project – UO North Site Arts Buildings (portion of Classroom-Office-Teaching Center: Architecture and Allied Arts and General Purpose Educational)

Architects – Campbell-Yost & Partners, Portland

Legislative authorization – Chapter 404, Oregon Laws 1967

Board’s priority – No. 15 in 1967-1969 (part)

Approximate gross area – 17,407 square feet

Total project cost (North Site Arts Buildings only) $ 283,000

Direct construction costs:
  Total (North Site Arts Buildings only) $ 230,162
  Average (per square foot) – $13.22

Financing plan:
  State Funds (General Fund appropriation in Chapter 404, Oregon Laws 1967) $ 283,000

The Board accepted the report as presented.
Report of Purchase of Bonnett Property, UO

At the March 10, 1969, meeting, the Board authorized condemnation, if necessary, of the Bonnett property located at 1804 Agate Street, Eugene. Further negotiations with the property owners resulted in the obtaining of an option in line with Board appraisals at $17,750. In accordance with authority granted by the Board at its meeting of October 22, 1968, the Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs accepted the option. The property consists of a lot containing about 5,000 square feet improved with a frame residence in poor condition. The acquisition cost is to be financed from the proceeds of sale of Article XI-F(1) bonds available to the University for purchase of dormitory sites.

The Board accepted the report as presented.

Report of Rejection of Bids for Architecture and Allied Arts Addition, UO

Bids were received in Eugene at 8 P.M. on May 28, 1969, for the proposed Addition and Alterations to Lawrence Hall at the University of Oregon which had been authorized by the 1967 Legislature. The four bids received—on a lump sum basis covering all general, mechanical and electrical work—ranged from a low of $1,495,700 to a high of $1,582,000.

Inasmuch as the lowest bid exceeded substantially the direct construction cost allowance of approximately $1,135,000, all of the bids are being rejected. Architects Campbell-Yost & Partners are being asked to review the composition of their estimate in comparison with the bid figures in order to determine what modifications need to be made in the plans for future rebidding. It is contemplated that a report will be presented to the Board when revised plans and specifications have been completed.

As contemplated by the action of the 1967 Legislature, the total expenditures for the Architecture and Allied Arts Addition, including $283,000 previously expended for the relocatable buildings in the Fine Arts Complex north of the Millrace, are expected to be limited to $1,645,000. The resources available for the Addition and Alterations to Lawrence Hall are, therefore, limited to approximately $1,362,000, including fees, equipment and related project costs. All of these funds are being provided from the General Fund appropriation in Chapter 404, Oregon Laws 1967, and from bond borrowings under the provisions of Article XI-G of the Oregon Constitution.

During the Board discussion, Mr. Hunderup said that the bids had not been analyzed completely but that two factors had contributed to the high bids — the necessity to place the building on piling because of the site conditions and the plan to bridge over an existing structure. He said that the bids were being analyzed and recommendations will be presented to the Board’s Committee for changes which would permit the construction of the addition within the expenditure limitation.

The Board accepted the report as presented.
The first building constructed on the Medical School campus was the first medical science unit, built in 1919. The second unit of this building was built in 1922 and was originally named Mackenzie Hall. A three-story laboratory wing on the west side of Mackenzie Hall was added in 1939. The general designation which has been used for many years to describe this three-unit building is the Medical Science Building.

In order to avoid confusion with the proposed new Basic Science Building and to retain the name Mackenzie Hall, Dean Holman, with the concurrence of the Chancellor, recommended that the whole building now be named Mackenzie Hall.

Dr. Kenneth A. J. Mackenzie was Dean of the Medical School from 1912 to 1920. He was instrumental in obtaining for the state of Oregon the gift of the land on which the Medical School campus is now located. At a time when the rocky hilltop was considered valueless by many, Dean Mackenzie envisioned the development of a magnificent medical center on the site.

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

Under the provisions of ORS 97.170 procedures are established for the University of Oregon Medical School to obtain unclaimed bodies for the purpose of medical instruction and the advancement of medical science. Section (2) of ORS 97.170 reads as follows:

"The educational institution receiving the body shall pay the licensed embalmer handling it such fee as shall be established from time to time by the State Board of Higher Education."

At the meeting of August 9, 1949, the State Board of Higher Education approved payment of a fee of $50 for embalmed bodies and $30 for unembalmed bodies.

Dean Holman recommended, with the concurrence of the Chancellor, that the fee be increased to $75 for embalmed bodies and $50 for unembalmed bodies to provide for increases in costs and other factors which cause the present fees to be inadequate for the funeral directors.

The Building and Finance Committees recommended that the Board approve the recommendations as presented.

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.
Increase in Estimated Indirect Cost Credits, UOMS

Dean Holman reports an increase in estimated indirect cost credits of $44,978 at the University of Oregon Medical School. The increase result from a greater volume of grants and contracts than was originally budgeted. Dean Holman, with the concurrence of the Chancellor, proposed that the amount be budgeted in year 1968-69 to cover additional expenses related to the increased volume of grants and contracts as follows:

| Indirect Cost Credits - Administration | $ 2,014 |
| Indirect Cost Credits - Libraries     | 1,007   |
| Indirect Cost Credits - Institutional Services | 15,175 |
| Indirect Cost Credits - Departmental Admin. | 8,590 |
| Indirect Cost Credits - Equipment Replacements | 6,561 |
| Indirect Cost Credits - Physical Plant Operation | 11,631 |
| **Total**                              | **$ 44,978** |

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

Acquisition of Shattuck School Property, PSU

(Considered by Building and Finance Committees, May 20, 1969.)

For a long period of time the Board has anticipated the purchase of Shattuck School for use by Portland State University.

In 1965 the Legislature authorized the Board to sell $6,000,000 of Article XI-G bonds with the proceeds to be expended for the acquisition of land in the area of development of Portland State University, including but not limited to land expected to be acquired by an Urban Renewal Project. It was anticipated that the bond proceeds would make possible the acquisition of the Shattuck School property, now owned by School District No. 1, Multnomah County.

The present use of the property for community college purposes will probably continue for another year or two. Nevertheless, School District No. 1 is willing to sell the property at this time.

The Shattuck School site is bounded on the north by S. W. Hall Street, on the east by S. W. Broadway Avenue, on the south by S. W. Jackson Street, and on the west by S. W. Park Avenue. The land area consists of 92,000 square feet, including Blocks 197 and 198, each containing 40,000 square feet, and in addition, 12,000 square feet of vacated College Street located between the two indicated blocks, with an east-west length of 200 feet and a north-south width of 60 feet. Said vacated street was authorized by a city ordinance to be used for school purposes. A sidewalk also was to be maintained. It seems likely that the City of Portland may be requested to recognize the planned use of the property for University purposes as contrasted with school purposes.

The main part of the school building was constructed in 1915 and a gymnasium addition in 1930. The gross building area is 67,940 square feet. Of the total ground area of 92,000 square feet, 25,840 square feet is the site of the building while the remainder of 66,160 square feet is used chiefly for automotive parking.
Negotiations have resulted in the formulation of a plan for the District to sell the property to the Board. The chief features of the program include the following:

1. The purchase price is to be $1,133,000, of which $500,000 is to be paid on or before June 30, 1969, and $633,000 without interest on or before June 30, 1971.

2. A title insurance policy, satisfactory to the Board, is to be furnished by School District No. 1 at the time of the initial payment of $500,000.

3. The property will be available for rent-free use during the period July 1, 1969, through June 30, 1971, to School District No. 1. It, in turn, may make the property available for community college purposes for all or part of the period. The District will be responsible for providing insurance and maintenance for the property.

4. The District will cooperate with the Board in seeking the transfer of vacation rights, if required, for College Street for the benefit of the Board.

The Board has obtained evaluations for the property from independent expert appraisers and the purchase price of $1,133,000 is in line therewith.

One of the appraisers assigned 88 percent of the total value to the land and about 12 percent to improvements, and the other assigned 75 percent of the total value to land and about 25 percent to the improvements.

Funds to purchase the property would include about $933,000 from proceeds of the 1966 bond sale and the remainder of about $200,000 from the 1967 land acquisition appropriation.

President Wolfe, with the concurrence of the Chancellor, recommended that the Board grant authorization to the appropriate officials to purchase the Shattuck School property, with the understanding that the contractual documents will incorporate therein the chief features outlined above and other essential elements.

During the Committee discussion, Mr. Bork introduced Mr. R. W. deWeese, member of the Board of Education and Chairman of the Committee on Building and Land, School District No. 1, Portland; and Dr. William A. Oliver, Assistant Superintendent, Portland Public Schools. They were present to respond to questions from the Board of Higher Education in connection with the acquisition of the Shattuck School property.
Mr. deWeese stated the Board of Education of School District No. 1 had approved unanimously the sale of Shattuck School on the conditions indicated by Mr. Bork, but that a formal contract or letter of intent from the Board of Higher Education was needed before the school board could pass the formal resolution completing the legal aspects of the sale.

The Building and Finance Committees recommended that the Board approve the recommendation as presented and that the appropriate officials be authorized to prepare and execute the documents required to complete the acquisition of the Shattuck School property.

During the Board discussion, it was suggested that it would be advantageous to grant authorization to the Executive Committee to act on any problems which might develop during further negotiations with the school district.

The Board approved the recommendation as presented, with the understanding that authorization was granted to the Executive Committee to approve any changes which might be proposed to the above program.

Report of Acquisition of Land Under Urban Renewal Program, PSU

Pursuant to the disposition agreement for land entered into with the Portland Development Commission, as reported at the December 9, 1968, meeting of the Board, a third acquisition of land has been consummated. The transaction (No. 3-69) consisted of twelve parcels involving a total of $588,757, summarized as follows:

1. Two parcels in Block 189, consisting of 7,250 square feet which constitute part of the site for Parking Structure II--College Services Building for a total price of $66,057.

2. Ten parcels in Blocks 190, 239, 268, and 270 involving 67,350 square feet of plotted land plus 20,105 square feet of vacated street areas for a total of $522,700.

The Board accepted the report as presented.

Report of Bids for Science II, PSU

Pursuant to authorization granted by the Board on March 10, 1969, bids were received in Portland on May 15 for the construction of the proposed Science II at Portland State University. As adjusted for several deductive alternates expected to be exercised, these bids may be summarized as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of Work</th>
<th>No. of Bids</th>
<th>Low Bid</th>
<th>High Bid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Work</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$3,908,400</td>
<td>$4,049,720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Work</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$1,568,975</td>
<td>$1,746,970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Work</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$476,003</td>
<td>$611,245</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sum of the lowest base bids was $6,031,000, or approximately 8.6 percent above the direct construction cost allowance of $5,550,084 which had been indicated to the project architects. By utilizing the $410,000 which had been reserved tentatively for the elevated pedestrian walkway on S.W. Montgomery Street, a total of $5,960,084 could be made available for direct construction.
contracts. This amount is $70,916 less than the lowest base bids. Inasmuch as the bid documents included a requirement of the federal granting agency that the deductive alternates be exercised in the same numerical order in which they were listed on the bid form, if a contract award is to be made "within the availability of funds," it is proposed that Alternates 1 through 8, as applicable, be accepted. This would provide a total direct construction cost which would be closest to, but lower than, the said sum of $5,960,084. The budget would be substantially as follows:

Direct construction costs:
- General Work - Paul B. Emerick Co., Portland $3,908,400
- Mechanical Work - Harder Plumbing & Heating, Inc., Portland $1,568,975
- Electrical Work - The Jaggar-Sroufe Company, Portland $476,003

Total direct construction costs $5,953,378

Professional services fees (including studies of future science facilities, soil investigations, etc.) $447,961

Furnishings and equipment $280,000

Utility services (boiler and piping in Cramer Hall, primary electrical service distribution and sewer modifications) $158,224

Construction supervision, landscaping and miscellaneous costs $86,369

Contingencies (2% of direct construction costs) $119,068

Total $7,045,000

The total project costs of $7,045,000 would equal the expenditure limitation noted in Chapter 404, Oregon Laws 1967 and authorized by the State Emergency Board.

The sources of funds would include:

- General Fund appropriation in Section 1 of Chapter 404, Oregon Laws 1967 (for architectural planning and related costs) $284,000
- General obligation bond borrowings issued under the provisions of Article XI-G of the Oregon Constitution $4,699,042
- Federal grant under Title I of the Higher Education Facilities Act of 1963 (partial share; application pending for balance reflected in original grant application) $1,226,958
- Self-liquidating bond borrowings issued under provisions of Article XI-F(1) of the Oregon Constitution (for parking and food service facilities) and/or other restricted funds $835,000

Total $7,045,000

The building would be sited south of Science I and west of the Ione Plaza and would contain six floor levels in addition to the sub-basement mechanical room. The two basement levels would provide automotive parking for approximately 196 vehicles. A pedestrian bridge across S. W. Mill Street would connect Science II to Science I at the mall level.
Plans and specifications for the project were prepared by Architects Campbell-Yost & Partners, Portland. The building would be of reinforced concrete construction with some brick veneer, principally as the exterior finish of the eight vertical towers which provide structural support and accommodate the mechanical systems, including the fume hood exhaust system. Laboratories and other large teaching spaces would be located within the central area of the top four floors, with smaller spaces, such as offices, seminar rooms, etc., grouped along exterior walls where ceiling heights can be reduced to allow the installation of major mechanical systems between laboratory spaces and the vertical towers. The building would be air conditioned. The site was acquired and cleared by the Portland Development Commission under the Urban Renewal program.

Based upon the gross area of approximately 220,099 square feet, the direct construction costs of $5,953,378 average $27.05 per square foot. This is a composite of the facilities for science, automotive parking and food services, and does not include the boiler and piping to be installed in Cramer Hall for steam service to Science II, the primary electrical service distribution center or sewer modifications budgeted separately in the amount of $158,224.

Subject to the approval of the U. S. Office of Education, President Wolfe, with the concurrence of the Chancellor, recommends that the appropriate Board officials be authorized to award construction contracts and approve a budget for Science II at Portland State University within the statutory expenditure limitation of $7,045,000. It was also recommended that the Board authorize the Executive Committee to take such additional action as may be required in connection with this project with the understanding that a report thereof would be made at the next meeting of the Board.

(Although the initial recommendation for contract awards had anticipated slightly different amounts, but for the same construction contractors, telephone advice was received from the regional office of the U. S. Office of Education on June 6, 1969, to the effect that minor modifications would be required before the concurrence of the federal granting agency could be obtained. These modifications, which have been incorporated in the budget outlined above, relate to the strict compliance with the bid document requirement that the deductive alternates be exercised in numerical order to the extent necessary to stay within the funds available. It is expected that efforts will be made to conserve the funds budgeted for contingencies and other project costs in order to reinstate some of these alternates during the construction period.)

RECAPITULATION UPON RECEIPT OF BIDS

Project - PSU Science II
Architects - Campbell-Yost & Partners, Portland
Legislative authorization - Chapter 404, Oregon Laws 1967
Board's priority - No. 16 in 1967-1969
Approximate gross area - 220-099 square feet

Estimated total project costs $7,045,000

Estimated direct construction costs:
  Total $5,953,378
  Average (per square foot) - $27.05

Tentative schedule:
  Contract award - June 1969
  Completion - December 1971

Tentative financing plan:
  General Fund appropriation (Chapter 404, Oregon Laws 1967) $ 284,000
  General obligation bond borrowings issued under provisions of Article XI-G of Oregon Constitution 4,699,042
  Federal grant under Title I of Higher Education Facilities Act of 1963 1,226,958
  Self-liquidating bond borrowings issued under provisions of Article XI-F(l) of Oregon Constitution (for parking and food service facilities) and/or other restricted funds 835,000
  Total $7,045,000

During the Board discussion, Mr. Hunderup indicated that the bids were very close, particularly for the general and mechanical work, and that the low base bid for the general work from Hoffman Construction Company was only $5,000 less than that from Paul B. Emerick Co. He said that in order to stay within the funds available, it would be necessary to exercise Alternates 1 through 8, thus reducing the direct construction costs to $5,953,378, the next amount lower than the $5,960,084 reported previously as being available for direct construction costs. He indicated that Alternate 8 would substitute a different laboratory wall system for the one in the basic specifications. Paul B. Emerick Co. offered a deduction of $38,000 for this alternate while Hoffman Construction Company added $1,000 for this alternate. Consequently, after exercising Alternates 1 through 8 in numerical order on the general, mechanical and electrical contracts, as applicable, pursuant to the requirements of the U. S. Office of Education regulations, Paul B. Emerick Co. became the low bidder for the general work contract.

Mr. Hunderup said that he had received advice by telephone earlier in the day that written concurrence had been received in the Board's Office from the district engineer of the U. S. Office of Education to award contracts to Paul B. Emerick Co. in the amount of $3,908,400, to Harder Plumbing & Heating, Inc., in the amount of $1,568,975, and to The Jagger-Sroufe Company in the amount of $476,003, for a total direct construction budget of $5,953,378.
Mr. Hunderup indicated that both general work contractors were present with their attorneys and that the Hoffman Construction Company wished to protest the proposed award of a construction contract to Paul B. Emerick Co.

Mr. Rockne Gill, the attorney representing the Hoffman Construction Company said that the company wished to register its protest to the recommendation that was presented to the Board to award the contract to the Paul B. Emerick Co.

The protest was based on the following points:

1. Hoffman Construction Company submitted the lower base bid for the general work. The general, mechanical and electrical contracts were bid separately and there was no provision in the specifications or bid documents that an award to be made to the low bidder on the general contract would be compared and considered along with the other two contracts which are separate. He said that to consider all three contracts as a unit at this point creates a latent ambiguity.

2. The determination of the available funds is a basic problem. He said it was his belief that the original amount of funds available for the general work contract was indicated as $3,930,000, and that the Hoffman Construction Company bid fell within this amount for the general work at Alternate 7.

3. The adjustments in figures for furnishings and equipment, contingencies and other items have been done in an arbitrary manner, which is not in keeping with the intent of the bid instructions or the spirit of arriving at a low bidder.

4. The project should be resubmitted for bid if none of the above assumptions is accepted.

Mr. John Osburn, the Board's attorney said that the basic consideration was the definition of the term "funds available." He indicated that it is the position of the U. S. Office of Education that in terms of their regulations "funds available" consists of the total direct construction costs for general, mechanical, and electrical work. He said that in approving the recommendation of the Board's Office staff, the Board would be determining that the total direct construction cost is that figure which has been approved by the U. S. Office of Education. If, however, the term "funds available" is considered to mean the funds available for the general work only, then the contract award would need to be made to the Hoffman Construction Company, and there would be no federal funds available for the project.

Mr. Hunderup pointed out that estimates of the direct construction costs reported both to the Board and the State Emergency Board prior to bidding had referred to the total and that no analysis had been given to either public body as to what portion of that total was expected to be assigned to each of the three contracts.
Mr. Paul E. Emerick agreed that the construction funds available were the key to the situation but said that it was his understanding that these funds were established prior to any bids being submitted and they could not have been adjusted to affect the selection of a contractor. All bidders had fulfilled prequalification requirements. Furthermore, he said that the U. S. Office of Education insists that there be a prescribed manner in which the bids are evaluated and the alternates utilized because in the absence of a specific prescribed manner in which to select the alternates, it would be impossible to assure the construction industry of a competitive situation. He said that it is only if everyone uses the same rules that were established at the time bids are prepared through the point of the contract award that a competitive situation can be assured. He indicated also that the project had been considered as a total unit, not as three individual jobs, and that the procedures for bidding and awarding contracts had been set forth in specific terms.

Mr. Lloyd Weiser, attorney for Paul B. Emerick Co., pointed out that delay would increase the cost to the contractors and to the state.

Upon motion by Mr. Layman, the Board awarded contracts to Paul B. Emerick Co., Harder Plumbing & Heating, Inc., and The Jagger-Sroufe Company for the construction of Science II at Portland State University in the amounts indicated in the staff recommendation, subject to approval of the U. S. Office of Education, approved the project budget totalling $7,045,000, and authorized the Executive Committee to take such additional action as may be required in connection with the project with the understanding that a report thereof would be made at the next meeting of the Board.

(Considered by Building and Finance Committees, May 20, 1969.)

The capital construction program for 1969-1971 includes a request for state funds in the amount of $75,000 for the construction of a bypass route around the campus of Oregon College of Education. As indicated to the Board in September 1967, the completion of this project would permit the vacation of those portions of Monmouth Avenue and Church Street which are within the campus boundaries, consistent with the long-range development plan prepared by Architects Lutes & Amundson.

Within the past few days, officials of the City of Monmouth advised the College that the proposed new roadway west of Monmouth Avenue is to be paved for a total width of 54 feet, from curb to curb, rather than to have two 9-foot gravel parking lanes, as had been contemplated earlier. Assuming that the work would be undertaken within the next few months, they have estimated that the direct construction costs would be approximately $81,000 and that the total project requirements would be approximately
$90,000. This total reflects an increase of $15,000 above the amount which has been reflected as Priority No. 12 in the Board's listing of educational and general fund projects for the next biennium.

With the concurrence of the Chancellor, President Rice recommended that the capital outlay program for 1969-1971 be revised to include the current estimate of $90,000 in lieu of the earlier estimate of $75,000 and that the appropriate Board officials be authorized to enter into such agreements with the City of Monmouth, or with others, as may be required to accomplish the objectives of the project.

The Building and Finance Committees recommended that the Board approve the recommendation as presented.

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

**Report on Acquisition of Terry and Cook Properties, OCE**

At the December 12, 1967, meeting, the Board granted authorization to purchase the Terry and Cook properties by condemnation, if necessary. The Cook property involves a five-foot strip of land about 120 feet long lying adjacent to the Terry property. The latter property consists of a tract of about 11-1/2 acres of land improved with a three bedroom house located at 738 North Monmouth Avenue, Monmouth.

The property of Mr. and Mrs. Lloyd Cook has now been purchased for $100, without resorting to condemnation.

The Terry property has been acquired by condemnation at the jury determined value of $60,000.

The Board accepted the report as presented.

**Matching Funds for Teaching Equipment Grant, OCE**

President Rice, with the concurrence of the Chancellor, recommended that an amount of $18,082 be made available to Oregon College of Education in year 1968-69 as matching funds for a Title VI teaching equipment grant.

Federal funds are available totaling $24,582, with matching funds required on a dollar for dollar basis. The institution has provided $6,500 of matching funds, leaving $18,082 requested to be provided from the Board's Unappropriated Fund.

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

**Report of Purchase of Shelton Property, SOC**

Pursuant to Board policy adopted October 22, 1968, the Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs has accepted the option of Mr. and Mrs. Fred L. Shelton for real property located within the approved projected campus boundaries at 1458 East Main Street, Ashland. The property consists of a lot containing approximately 43,560 square feet (an acre) and is improved with a concrete block two-story
residence. The option price of $13,750 is in line with appraisals obtained by the institution. The property is located in an area designated for physical education purposes and funds to finance the acquisition are to be provided from the General Fund appropriation authorized in Chapter 404, Oregon Laws 1967.

The Board accepted the report as presented.

Based upon the recommendation of the Joint Ways and Means Committee, the 1969 Legislature authorized only one major project for the educational and general plant at Southern Oregon College. The budget report included the following statement: "In regard to the Music and Education Buildings on the SOC campus, the committee approved only one of the two and instructed the Board of Higher Education to select the one to be constructed. The amendments to HB 2201 reflect allowance for construction of the more expensive (Education) of the two."

Inasmuch as the proposed Classroom Building (Music), including land, estimated to cost $1,615,000, had been assigned Priority No. 7 in the capital outlay requests for the educational and general plant, as contrasted with Priority No. 20 for the proposed Classroom Building (Education), which was estimated to cost $1,735,000, President Stevenson recommended that the appropriate Board officials be authorized to instruct Architects Hamlin, Martin, Schultz & Orndson to complete the final plans and specifications for the music unit, acknowledge the acceptance thereof, solicit bids and award construction contracts within the original expenditure limitation of $1,615,000. The Chancellor concurred in this recommendation.

Following the completion of the preliminary plans for the proposed education unit, now being prepared by Architects Campbell-Yost & Partners, it is expected that consideration will be given to the preparation of final plans and specifications for that project also so that construction may be undertaken in mid-1971 if appropriate legislative authorization is obtained in 1971. The Joint Ways and Means Committee has recommended that the project not selected by the Board for construction at Southern Oregon College in 1969-1971 retain its ranking in the priority listing for the next biennium. Considerable time could be saved if the final plans and specifications for the proposed Classroom Building (Education) could be completed in advance of legislative action.

In response to a question during the Board discussion, it was stated that the Board's original priority determination for both the Music and the Education Buildings had considered academic needs as well as present physical facilities. It was indicated that the Education Building would probably have a high priority for 1971-1973.

The Board approved the recommendations as presented.
The Committee on Academic Affairs, Personnel, and Public Affairs met for a regular meeting at 9:00 A.M., May 19, 1969, in Room 327, Michael J. Smith Memorial Center, Portland State University. The Committee considered the following matters:

1. Request of the University of Oregon for authorization to offer a Doctor of Arts (DA) degree in English.

2. The status of medical technology programs in the State System in view of the revised Essentials of an Acceptable School of Medical Technology adopted by the Council on Medical Education of the American Medical Association, effective December 1, 1968.

3. Revised guidelines for foreign study programs in the Oregon State System of Higher Education.

4. Report on the use of teaching assistants in the department of English, University of Oregon, presented by Dr. Glen A. Love, Director of English Composition.

5. Report on the use of teaching assistants in the department of chemistry, Oregon State University, presented by Dr. Bert E. Christensen, Department Chairman.

The complete report of the Committee's meeting is presented in the document, Report of the Meeting of the Board's Committee on Academic Affairs, May 19, 1969. This document is bound in a separate volume and is considered an integral part of these minutes.

The Board accepted the report as presented.

CONDITION OF BOARD'S UNAPPROPRIATED FUND AND SPECIAL RESERVES ESTIMATED AS OF JUNE 10, 1969

For the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1969

I. Board's Unappropriated Fund

Balance reported as of April 21, 1969 $ 114,737.86

Add: Return of unused portion of funds approved by the Board in October 1968, for expenses in connection with the Governor's Project 70 Study by four OSSHE staff members, headed by Dr. Jarold A. Kieffer, U of O. Of $30,000 approved, $26,060 was expended 3,940.00

Less: Docket item for presidential search expenses at Oregon State University. Unexpended balance at June 30 to be carried forward to year 1969-70 12,300.00

$ 118,677.86
Docket item for matching funds for teaching equipment grant at Oregon College of Education 18,082.00

Docket item for funds to apply toward elimination of overdraft in Computational Services, OSU 56,900.00

Docket item for increases in institutional operating budgets and for physical plant rehabilitation projects 31,395.86

Balance on June 10, 1969, if above items are approved None

The Board accepted the report as presented.

Revision of Tuition and Fee Charges for 1969-70

During the meetings of the Board Committees on May 20, 1969, the Chancellor reviewed the actions of the Legislature concerning the operating budget for the next biennium, including the substantial increases of estimated income from tuition and fee charges assumed by the Ways and Means Committee. Reference was made also to a probable adjustment in the amount of the Incidental Fees because of increased operating costs of the various auxiliary enterprises, such as the student health services, student union, educational activities, athletics, gym suit service, etc., at all of the institutions.

Based upon the actions of the Legislature and the subsequent recommendations of the Interinstitutional Fee Committee, it was proposed that the annual rates of charge for tuition and fees for full-time students during the academic year 1969-70 be revised as follows:
### II. PER ACADEMIC YEAR (Full-time Students)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1968-69 (Actual)</th>
<th>Increases Proposed for 1969-70</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Board's Income</td>
<td>Incidental Fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UO, OSU, PSU</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident undergraduates</td>
<td>$369</td>
<td>$30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident undergraduates</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduates</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate assistants, etc.</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OCF, EOC, SOC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident undergraduates</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident undergraduates</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduates</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate assistants, etc.</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UOMS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident Medical Students</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident Medical Students</td>
<td>1,212</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduates, incl. Nursing</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate assistants, etc.</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident undergraduates in Nursing or Med. Tech.</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident undergraduates in Nursing or Medical Tech.</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UODS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident undergraduates (Dental)</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident undergraduates (Dental)</td>
<td>1,212</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident undergraduates (Dental Hygienists)</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident undergraduates (Dental Hygienists)</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduates (Basic Sciences)</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate assistants (Basic Sciences)</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident graduates (Orthodontics)</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident graduates (Orthodontics)</td>
<td>1,146</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident graduates (Other Clinical Curricula)</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident graduates (Other Clinical Curricula)</td>
<td>1,026</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate assistants (Clinical Curricula)</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTI</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident students</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident students</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>417</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Office of Business Affairs
June 3, 1969
The rate of charge for part-time students, except in special pro-
grams at the University of Oregon Medical and Dental Schools, would be increased from $15.50 to $17.00 per credit hour.

In order to exercise control of the numbers of new nonresident freshman students who may wish to enroll at Oregon State University and the University of Oregon, an entrance deposit of $75 is proposed for such students. This deposit would be required by July 15, 1969, or within two weeks after receipt of notice of admission to the institution, whichever is later. It would not be refundable to any student who does not request a refund by August 31, 1969.

It is proposed that the Division of Continuing Education be authorized to organize extension classes or programs which carry college and university credit or in-service college credit for responsible spon-
sors under contracts which would cover all class or program costs, including supervision, administration and other indirect costs. Individual fees may be waived for students or participants who register in classes or programs under terms of such individually formalized agreements properly approved and executed by appropriate officials of the sponsor and the State Board of Higher Education. Enrollment in such classes would be limited to employees or other persons under the control or influence of the sponsors. Enrollment limitations and student grading systems to be used would be governed by institutional standards.

The Chancellor recommended approval of the fee revisions noted above for the academic year 1969-70 and other minor modifications in the interinstitutional fee schedule which may be approved by the Chancellor, such as increases in the rates of charge for part-time students at the Medical and Dental Schools, deposits for students applying for admission to the University of Oregon Institute of Marine Biology at Charleston, changes in the schedule of library fines, etc.

The Chancellor said that the Legislature, in adopting the budget for the biennium, had made the following assumptions: (1) that resident tuition should be increased by $30 per year; (2) tuition for graduate students would be increased by $48 per year; and (3) nonresident tuition would be increased to cover the budgeted cost of instruction as estimated in the 1969-1971 biennial operating budget for both years of biennium 1969-1971. This represents an increase of approximately 11.5 percent for resident students, 14.5 percent for graduate students and slightly less than 40 percent for nonresidents. The incidental fee would also be increased $9 per year, with the exception of the Medical and Dental Schools where it would be raised by $24 per year. The Chancellor said that he had received numerous letters in opposition to the change in tuition charges and summarized the points brought out in the communications.
The Chancellor said that the Board had the following choices:

1. To follow without change the funding assumptions as they were built into the legislative action.

2. To modify these rates by reducing some of the rates, particularly those for returning nonresident students, and increasing the charges to residents or graduates in order to maintain the income estimates upon which the budget will be based.

3. To modify the rates by reducing some of them and delay any decision to increase other charges until after the income estimates are refined further following the September enrollment.

The Chancellor said that he had concluded that the returning foreign student is probably faced with the most crucial problem due to the tuition increase. He indicated that the recommendation presented above should include the recommendation that for the returning foreign students the tuition charges be increased by only 50 percent of the amount otherwise necessary to bring them to the cost of instruction for the first year of the biennium and that they be charged the full cost of instruction during the second year of the biennium.

The Chancellor indicated that this would result in an estimated reduction in Board income of $75,000 during 1969-70 and suggested that any adjustment in other tuition rates to provide this deficit should be deferred until the fall enrollment is known.

Mr. Forrester then invited Mr. Russell Sadler and other student body representatives who were in attendance to present statements concerning the tuition increase. The statements and letters referred to in the presentations made the following points:

1. It is difficult to obtain financial aid from loan funds, grants, scholarships, or other sources so late in the year, and foreign students face additional difficulties due to currency restrictions and other restrictions on their sources of funds.

2. The availability of loan funds is likely to decrease further as a result of the increase in the interest rate above the 7 percent limitation on the interest that banks can collect on guaranteed student loans. The banks can make more money by lending to borrowers other than students.

3. Many students, including juniors and seniors enrolled in specific programs which are available only in Oregon, may not be able to complete their education.

4. Students will lose credits in transferring to institutions in other states.
5. Admissions are closed in most of the private schools and in many of the public institutions that are comparable in quality to the Oregon institutions.

6. Under the terms of their visas, foreign students are restricted to summer work to earn money for their education and few students can earn the necessary amounts of funds during the summer.

7. A reduction in enrollment in the institutions due to increased fees would affect residence hall earnings.

8. Out-of-state students make a substantial contribution to the economy of Oregon.

9. The loss of returning out-of-state athletes might seriously harm the athletic programs.

The students requested that the Board delay until after the Emergency Board meeting on June 20 any decision regarding increases in tuition for returning nonresident students, both foreign and domestic. The students would present their case to the Emergency Board and explain the hardships resulting from the substantial increase in tuition and ask the Emergency Board to provide sufficient funds to eliminate the need for such large increases in fee rates.

In response to a question regarding the feasibility of delaying action on the proposed increase in nonresident fees until after the Emergency Board meeting, the Chancellor stated that approval of the recommended action would not preclude a reduction in the tuition if the students were successful in taking their case to the Emergency Board. On the other hand, a further delay in announcing the increases would give students even less time than they now have to make the necessary financial arrangements. He indicated also that it is probably too late now for the students to get an item on the agenda for the June meeting and they would probably not be able to appear until the July meeting of the Emergency Board.

Also in response to a question, the Chancellor indicated that there would probably be no difficulty for entering freshmen to be admitted to community colleges, but that it would be more difficult for upper-division students in Oregon institutions to transfer because they are locked into the circumstances of a curriculum.

Mr. Holloway said the recommendation of the Chancellor did not take into account the fact that the nonresident domestic student is being penalized just as heavily as the student from a foreign country. He asked what the cost would be if the modification of the application of the total tuition increase were extended to all of the currently enrolled nonresident students.
The Chancellor responded that this would amount to approximately $500,000 for the biennium and was a sufficiently large amount to require suggesting alternative ways of compensating for this loss of income, such as increasing the charges for other students. He indicated also that program curtailment, faculty salaries, and the staffing ratios were among other alternatives.

Mr. Layman said that he believed the Board should give serious consideration to the possible alternatives such as reducing the faculty salary increases by 1 percentage point; the hardship and sacrifice to the academic staff would be much less than that to students who are being asked to pay up to an additional 40 percent in tuition. He said that faculty salaries are very important but that the main purpose of the System is the education of students. He said that the Board may be committed to the faculty salary increase by legislative action, but that in one state the faculty voluntarily agreed to a 1 percent reduction in the salary increase in order to prevent the tuition rates going up so drastically. He suggested also that the Board assist the students in presenting this problem to the Emergency Board.

Mr. Payne said that he shared Mr. Holloway's concern in providing relief only for the foreign student. He said the Board had a moral commitment to all the returning nonresident students as a result of the publication of the present fee schedule in the catalog and the previous acceptance of students into the State System institutions. He said a small incremental increase was much different from the sudden 40 percent increase. He said that although Mr. Layman's suggestion concerning faculty salaries was a possibility, he believed it would be unwise to reduce them because it is always extremely difficult to get adequate salary increases from the legislature.

Mrs. Johnson pointed out that the 1963 Legislature had established a fee remission program which gives the Board 10 percent of the aggregate amount of money received from nonresident tuition to use for fee remissions. She said that an across-the-board action to reduce fees would not take into account the individual need and strongly advocated studying each individual case and the financial aid available for each student and then presenting to the Emergency Board a request based on the funds needed to meet these individually-determined requirements for nonresident students.

The Board discussed the feasibility of making a study on an individual basis, and it was concluded that such a study probably could not be completed in time to be of help for the fall term 1969. The Chancellor pointed out that the amount of income to be available from tuition fees represents one of the basic factors in the 1969-70 operating budget and that the budget needs to be prepared immediately. In addition, students need to know as soon as possible what the tuition and fees are going to be for the academic year 1969-70.
The Chancellor suggested that perhaps $500,000 could be reserved from the amount assigned to the budget for 1970-71, the second year of the biennium to be used in the amount necessary during year 1969-70 to cover any underrealization of fee income resulting from postponement of increases in nonresident fees for returning students. He said that as one alternative a request could then be made to the Emergency Board for the necessary funds to replace this deficit after information becomes available regarding student fee income realized during year 1969-70; or other adjustments could be made in programs or tuition fee rates to meet the fund requirements.

Mr. Payne moved approval of the Chancellor's recommendation to approve the fee revisions as presented, including the Chancellor's proposal that in the first year of the biennium (1969-70) the tuition charges for returning foreign students be increased by only 50 percent of the added amount necessary to bring them to the cost of instruction and that during the second year of the biennium these returning foreign students be charged the full cost of instruction. Mr. Layman moved that the Chancellor's proposal to cut by 50 percent in 1969-70 the recommended increase in the nonresident fee for returning foreign students be amended to extend to all returning nonresident students and that the Board apply to the Emergency Board for funds to meet as much as possible of the resultant deficit in tuition income and then re-examine the Board of Higher Education resources. He said that he appreciated Mrs. Johnson's suggestion that the students' cases be considered individually, but that it would be very difficult in the time available to examine the financial circumstances of such a large number of people on an individual basis and that it is already obvious that the proposed increase would represent a hardship for large numbers of students.

Mr. Payne accepted the amendment to his motion.

Mrs. Johnson said that there may not be a vast number of students who have serious financial difficulties because many domestic nonresident students are perfectly capable of paying the additional charges. She pointed out that the legislature is going to have serious problems in the funding of local school districts and that it would not be wise for the Board to incur a possible deficit to be adjusted in the second year of the biennium.

Mr. Snider said that it was time to build a case for the out-of-state student for several reasons, including: (1) consideration of the amount of money brought into the state by out-of-state students; and (2) the decrease in costs to all students by virtue of the volume created by out-of-state students.

Mrs. Johnson said that in taking this action the Board was changing legislative intent and complicating the budgeting procedure for higher education for this biennium and possibly the next. Furthermore, if the Emergency Board does not provide the needed relief, it would be necessary to reduce programs in the institutions.
The Board voted to increase the student fee rates during the first year of the biennium for returning nonresident students, both foreign and domestic, by 50 percent of the added amount required to bring the fee rates up to the cost of instruction and to charge all nonresident students the full cost of instruction during year 1970-71, the second year of the biennium. It was understood that the Board would request from the Emergency Board an amount of funds to meet any budget deficit which results from the lower fee for returning nonresident students, and that if the Emergency Board did not provide any relief, then the required amount would be taken from the operational budget in 1970-71, the second year of the biennium, in order to meet this deficit.

The Board also approved the other fee adjustments recommended by the Chancellor, with the understanding that the $75 enrollment deposit at Oregon State University and the University of Oregon would be effective during year 1969-70 but that the Board would reassess the need for this fee after the enrollment experience during year 1969-70 becomes known.

The fee schedule for 1969-70, as revised by the Board action, appears in the following table:
### SUMMARY TUITION AND FEE ANALYSIS FOR 1968-69 AND 1969-70

#### I. PER TERM (Full-Time Students)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>UO, OSU, PSU</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident undergraduates</td>
<td>$123</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>$3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident undergraduates</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident undergraduates (returning)</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduates</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate assistants, etc.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OCE, EOC, SOC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident undergraduates</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident undergraduates</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident undergraduates (returning)</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduates</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate assistants, etc.</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UOMS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident Medical Students</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident Medical Students</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduates, incl. Nursing</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate assistants, etc.</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident undergraduates in Nursing or Medical Technology</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident undergraduates in Nursing or Medical Technology</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UOUDS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident undergraduates (Dental)</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident undergraduates (Dental)</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident undergraduates (Dental Hygienists)</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident undergraduates (Dental Hygienists)</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduates (Basic Sciences)</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate assistants (Basic Sciences)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident graduates (Orthodontics)</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident graduates (Orthodontics)</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident graduates (other clinical curricula)</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident graduates (other clinical curricula)</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate assistants (clinical curricula)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTI</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident students</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident students</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident students (returning)</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Board's Income</td>
<td>Incidental Fee</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UO, OSU, PSU</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident undergraduates</td>
<td>$369</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>$9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident undergraduates</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident undergraduates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(returning)</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduates</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate assistants, etc.</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OCE, EOC, SOC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident undergraduates</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident undergraduates</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident undergraduates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(returning)</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduates</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate assistants, etc.</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UOMS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident Medical Students</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident Medical Students</td>
<td>1,212</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduates, incl. Nursing</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate assistants, etc.</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident undergraduates in Nursing or Medical Technology</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident undergraduates in Nursing or Medical Technology</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UODS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident undergraduates (Dental)</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident undergraduates (Dental)</td>
<td>1,212</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hygienists</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident undergraduates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Dental Hygienists)</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduates (Basic Sciences)</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate assistants (Basic Sciences)</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident graduates (Orthodontics)</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident graduates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Orthodontics)</td>
<td>1,146</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident graduates (other clinical curricula)</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident graduates (other clinical curricula)</td>
<td>1,026</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate assistants (clinical curricula)</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTI</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident students</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident students</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident students (returning)</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Office of Business Affairs
June 11, 1969
The Budget Report of the Ways and Means Committee of the 1969 Legislature pertaining to the Higher Education operating appropriation, House Bill 2040, advised of the elimination of unrestricted funds support for dormitory counseling for the next two years. The estimated total cost for 1969-70 for such counseling in the dormitories of the System is $331,150. The estimated amount per student occupant on a nine-months' academic-year basis at the Medical School is $78; and at the other institutions, the range is from $24 to $44.

Institutional Executives have advised of the importance of counseling and of the intent to continue the same during 1969-70 at essentially the present level. It is expected that a portion of the cost be financed by increased income from an adjustment in charges to dormitory occupants. During 1969-70 Institutional Executives are to evaluate the nature and extent of counseling services and to determine whether reductions can be made in the cost thereof.

It was recommended that for 1969-70 there be an increase in the rates of charge for residence halls for the regular school year at the Medical School of $50 and at the other schools of $13.

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

The Chancellor recommended that allocations be made to institutional budgets for nonrecurring items in the aggregate amount of $132,423.86 from the following sources:

- Balance remaining in Board's Unappropriated Fund $31,395.86
- Contingency reserve for 1968 summer sessions, not required for 1968 sessions $12,500.00
- Unallocated reserve for operation of new buildings $38,482.00
- Unallocated reserve for increased enrollments, year 1968-69, including estimated student fee income and portion of reserve originally held in State Emergency Fund for second year of current biennium $50,046.00

Total $132,423.86

The recommended allocations have been selected from lists of most urgently needed items received in the Chancellor's Office from the institutions as follows:
Operating Budget items (nonrecurring):

OSU - To apply toward costs of snow removal and other costs which resulted from January snow storm $ 10,000.00
UO - To apply toward costs of snow storm 10,000.00
OTI - To apply toward costs of snow storm 5,836.00
PSU - Purchase of equipment related to transfer of H 200 computer from Comptroller's Office to PSU $ 10,000.00
Total of above $ 35,836.00

Plant rehabilitation projects to be undertaken during summer of 1969:

OSU - Portion of cost of remodeling space in Industrial Building for Photo Art Laboratory (total estimated cost $25,000) $ 20,000.00

UO - Rehabilitate office space, Fenton Hall 3,300.00
Rehabilitate President's house 7,500.00
Alterations to improve lighting and audio-visual facilities in classrooms in older buildings such as Gerlinger Hall and Condon Hall 4,900.00
Add standby fuel oil heater to avoid heating plant shutdowns when it becomes necessary to shift heating plant from hogged fuel to oil 3,500.00

UOMS - Alterations in telephone equipment area including toilet rooms and restroom area 4,900.00
Telephone service conduit system from Administration Building equipment room to Telephone Company service connection point adjacent to parking structure on Sam Jackson Park Road 5,900.00

UODS - Renovate Crown and Bridge Clinic Oper- atory 2,000.00
Undergraduate student X-Ray station in Main Clinic to permit student access to X-Ray while treating patients 2,500.00

PSU - Rehabilitate men's restrooms in Old Main 15,000.00
Replace floor covering in stair wells in old Library Building 2,620.00
OCE - Modernize and increase capacity of electrical transformer service in Training School $4,255
Less: Unexpended balance in previous OCE project for improvement of electrical services 2,620 1,635.00
Portion of cost of reroofing Campbell Hall, North and West sides (total estimated cost, $6,000) 5,082.86

SOC - Renovate President's office, including lowered ceiling, new lighting and floor covering 3,000.00
Rehabilitate President's house 4,500.00
Remodel Room 130, Churchill Hall, into group of offices for Dean of Faculty and staff 3,000.00

EOC - Sidewalk and stairway construction, Administration Building and Library 2,000.00
Provide windbreak for east entrance of Science Building and ventilation and odor control system in small animal room 1,050.00
Twelfth Street improvement in married student housing area on East and campus on West, $6,900, of which $5,500 can be financed from balance in Married Student Housing 1,400.00

OTI - Augment campus entrance lighting $ 2,300.00
Install equipment for automatic operation of hot well pumps for heating system 500.00

Total plant rehabilitation items $ 96,587.86

Summary of Recommended Allocations

Operating budget items (nonrecurring) $ 35,836.00
Plant rehabilitation items 96,587.86
Total recommended allocations from resources summarized at beginning of this docket item $ 132,423.86

Note: The 1969 Legislature has provided additional plant rehabilitation funds for use in the next biennium. It is expected that recommendations for allocations from these funds will be presented to the Board early in fiscal year 1969-70.

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.
Transfers Among Functions, 1968-69 Operating Budget

At the Board meeting of April 21, 1969, a summary report was presented showing transfers between functions in the 1968-69 operating budget as required in the 1967 appropriation act (Chapter 530, Oregon Laws 1967). Following is a summary report of such budget transfers which have been requested by the institutions since the date of the report presented at the Board meeting April 21, 1969.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University of Oregon</th>
<th>Budget as Previously Reported</th>
<th>Adjustments (Increases or Decreases)</th>
<th>Budget as Last Adjusted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration &amp; Services</td>
<td>$3,100,384</td>
<td>$6,375</td>
<td>$3,106,759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction &amp; Libraries</td>
<td>12,937,546</td>
<td>17,784</td>
<td>12,955,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension &amp; Public Services</td>
<td>207,636</td>
<td></td>
<td>207,636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Plant Op. &amp; Maint.</td>
<td>1,268,244</td>
<td>4,388</td>
<td>1,272,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unallocated Reserves</td>
<td>135,493</td>
<td>(5,647)</td>
<td>129,846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEAF Reserves (anticipated savings in salaries and wages)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, U of O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$17,714,628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,209* $17,725,837</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Increase of $11,209 summarized as follows:

- $3,315 from Centralized Activities for alteration to Rooms 214 and 215 in Johnson Hall
- (620) to Centralized Activities for costs incurred in preparing and mailing employee's tax withholding statements (W-2)
- 8,514 from Board's Unappropriated Fund for costs incurred in connection with a special study for the Governor's Office called "Project 70."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oregon State University</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration &amp; Services</td>
<td>$2,678,506</td>
<td>(12,410)</td>
<td>$2,666,096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction &amp; Libraries</td>
<td>14,470,417</td>
<td>105,714</td>
<td>14,576,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension &amp; Public Services</td>
<td>271,560</td>
<td>(998)</td>
<td>270,562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Plant Op. &amp; Maint.</td>
<td>2,051,408</td>
<td>(4,304)</td>
<td>2,047,104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unallocated Reserves</td>
<td>146,970</td>
<td>(73,732)</td>
<td>73,238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEAF Reserves (anticipated savings in salaries and wages)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, OSU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$19,618,861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$14,270* $19,633,131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Increase of $14,270 summarized as follows:

- $10,135 from Board's Unappropriated Fund for costs incurred in connection with a special study for the Governor's Office called "Project 70."
- (865) to Centralized Activities for costs incurred in preparing and mailing employee's withholding statements (W-2)
- 5,000 from DCE for general support costs of extension and public service programs at the Marine Science Laboratory in Newport.

$14,270
### Portland State University

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Previously Reported</th>
<th>Increases or Decreases</th>
<th>Last Adjusted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration &amp; Services</td>
<td>$1,988,670</td>
<td>$3,675</td>
<td>$1,992,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction &amp; Libraries</td>
<td>8,064,223</td>
<td>20,407</td>
<td>8,084,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension &amp; Public Services</td>
<td>107,222</td>
<td>6,313</td>
<td>113,535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Plant Op. &amp; Maint.</td>
<td>677,636</td>
<td>(351)</td>
<td>677,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unallocated Reserves</td>
<td>110,384</td>
<td>(28,268)</td>
<td>82,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERA Reserves (anticipated savings in salaries and wages)</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2,270</td>
<td>2,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, PSU</td>
<td>$10,948,265</td>
<td>$4,046*</td>
<td>$10,952,311</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Increase of $4,046 summarized as follows:

- $7,411 from Board’s Unappropriated Fund for costs incurred in connection with a special study for the Governor’s Office called "Project 70."
- (365) to Centralized Activities for costs incurred in preparing and mailing employee’s withholding statements (W-2)
- (3,000) to Centralized Activities for partial funding of a classified position

### Oregon College of Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Previously Reported</th>
<th>Increases or Decreases</th>
<th>Last Adjusted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration &amp; Services</td>
<td>$678,732</td>
<td>$5,661</td>
<td>$684,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction &amp; Libraries</td>
<td>2,229,966</td>
<td>(15,921)</td>
<td>2,214,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension &amp; Public Services</td>
<td>7,827</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Plant Op. &amp; Maint.</td>
<td>275,775</td>
<td>10,150</td>
<td>285,925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unallocated Reserves</td>
<td>111,414</td>
<td>(22,802)</td>
<td>88,612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERA Reserves (anticipated savings in salaries and wages)</td>
<td>5,750</td>
<td>22,777</td>
<td>28,527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, OCE</td>
<td>$3,309,464</td>
<td>(135)*</td>
<td>$3,309,329</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Decrease of $135 to Centralized Activities for costs incurred in preparing and mailing employee’s withholding statements (W-2).

### Southern Oregon College

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Previously Reported</th>
<th>Increases or Decreases</th>
<th>Last Adjusted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration &amp; Services</td>
<td>$790,864</td>
<td>$4,874</td>
<td>795,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction &amp; Libraries</td>
<td>3,093,811</td>
<td>(6,243)</td>
<td>3,087,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension &amp; Public Services</td>
<td>15,016</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>24,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Plant Op. &amp; Maint.</td>
<td>386,218</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>388,118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unallocated Reserves</td>
<td>8,310</td>
<td>10,268</td>
<td>18,578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERA Reserves (anticipated savings in salaries and wages)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, SOC</td>
<td>$4,294,219</td>
<td>$19,799*</td>
<td>$4,314,018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Increase of $19,799 summarized as follows:

- $160 (160) to Centralized Activities for costs incurred in preparing and mailing employee’s withholding statements (W-2)
- 3,059 from Board’s Unappropriated Fund for Presidential Search Committee expenses
- 1,900 from Board’s Unappropriated Fund to provide additional funds for Churchill Hall roof replacement
- 15,000 from Board’s Unappropriated Fund for small teaching equipment and materials for the new Science Building

$19,799
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eastern Oregon College</th>
<th>Budget as Previously Reported</th>
<th>Adjustments (Decreases)</th>
<th>Budget as Last Adjusted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration &amp; Services</td>
<td>$ 363,956</td>
<td>$ 973</td>
<td>$ 364,929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction &amp; Libraries</td>
<td>1,258,723</td>
<td>( 2,032)</td>
<td>1,256,691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension &amp; Public Services</td>
<td>4,074</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Plant Op. &amp; Maint.</td>
<td>234,676</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>234,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unallocated Reserves</td>
<td>17,887</td>
<td>( 4,286)</td>
<td>13,601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEAF Reserves (anticipated savings in salaries and wages)</td>
<td>( 159)</td>
<td>5,226</td>
<td>5,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, EOC</td>
<td>$ 1,879,157</td>
<td>( 60)</td>
<td>$ 1,879,097</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Decrease of $60 to Centralized Activities for costs incurred in preparing and mailing employee's withholding statements (W-2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oregon Technical Institute</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration &amp; Services</td>
<td>$ 556,010</td>
<td>( 7,894)</td>
<td>$ 548,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction &amp; Libraries</td>
<td>1,380,268</td>
<td>3,790</td>
<td>1,384,058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension &amp; Public Services</td>
<td>8,137</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Plant Op. &amp; Maint.</td>
<td>312,351</td>
<td></td>
<td>312,351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unallocated Reserves</td>
<td>10,674</td>
<td>4,049</td>
<td>14,723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEAF Reserves (anticipated savings in salaries and wages)</td>
<td>( 4,669)</td>
<td></td>
<td>( 4,669)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, OTI</td>
<td>$ 2,262,771</td>
<td>( 55)</td>
<td>$ 2,262,716</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Decrease of $55 to Centralized Activities for costs incurred in preparing and mailing employee's withholding statements (W-2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division of Continuing Education</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration &amp; Services</td>
<td>$ 751,300</td>
<td>( 5,924)</td>
<td>$ 745,376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction &amp; Libraries</td>
<td>149,990</td>
<td></td>
<td>149,990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension &amp; Public Services</td>
<td>3,240,511</td>
<td>( 507)</td>
<td>3,240,004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Plant Op. &amp; Maint.</td>
<td>199,753</td>
<td></td>
<td>199,753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unallocated Reserves</td>
<td>33,171</td>
<td>3,870</td>
<td>37,041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEAF Reserves (anticipated savings in salaries and wages)</td>
<td>(12,669)</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>(11,968)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, DCE</td>
<td>$ 4,362,056</td>
<td>( 1,860)</td>
<td>$ 4,360,196</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Decrease of $1,860 summarized as follows:

$ 3,140 from restricted funds allocated by State Department of Education (Manpower Development Training)
(5,000) to OSU for reimbursement of general support costs of extension and public service programs at the Marine Science Laboratory in Newport
$(1,860)
### Teaching Research Division

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget as Previously Reported</th>
<th>Adjustments Increases or Decreases</th>
<th>Budget as Last Adjusted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration &amp; Services</td>
<td>$6,592</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$6,592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction &amp; Libraries</td>
<td>105,196</td>
<td></td>
<td>105,196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEA Reserves (anticipated savings in salaries and wages)</td>
<td>(1,085)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1,085)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, Teaching Research Division</strong></td>
<td>$110,703</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$110,703</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Centralized Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget as Previously Reported</th>
<th>Adjustments Increases or Decreases</th>
<th>Budget as Last Adjusted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration &amp; Services</td>
<td>$1,486,197</td>
<td>$7,788</td>
<td>$1,493,985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction &amp; Libraries</td>
<td>56,453</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>56,453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Plant Op. &amp; Maint.</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unallocated Reserves</td>
<td>27,015</td>
<td>(5,843)</td>
<td>21,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEA Reserves (anticipated savings in salaries and wages)</td>
<td>(15,779)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(15,779)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, Centralized Activities</strong></td>
<td>$1,554,105</td>
<td>$1,945*</td>
<td>$1,556,050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Increase of $1,945 summarized as follows:

- $(3,315) to U of O for alterations to Rooms 214 and 215, Johnson Hall
- 2,260 from institutions for costs incurred in preparing and mailing employee's withholding statements (W-2)
- 3,000 from PSU for partial funding of a classified position

Total: $1,945

### Board's Special Reserves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget as Previously Reported</th>
<th>Adjustments Increases or Decreases</th>
<th>Budget as Last Adjusted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instruction &amp; Libraries</td>
<td>$43,775</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$43,775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension &amp; Public Services</td>
<td>89,400</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>89,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Plant Op. &amp; Maint.</td>
<td>38,482</td>
<td></td>
<td>38,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unallocated Reserves</td>
<td>1,045,231</td>
<td>(46,019)</td>
<td>999,212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, Board's Special Reserves</strong></td>
<td>$1,216,888</td>
<td>(46,019)*</td>
<td>$1,170,869</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Decrease of $46,019 summarized as follows:

- $(26,060) to UO ($8,514), OSU ($10,135) and PSU ($7,411) for special study for Governor's Office "Project 70."
- (3,059) to SOC for Presidential Search Committee expenses
- (1,900) to SOC for Churchill Hall roof repairs
- (15,000) to SOC for small teaching equipment and materials for SOC new Science Building

Total: $(46,019)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Preceding Transfers</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration &amp; Services</td>
<td>$12,401,211 $3,118 $12,404,329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction &amp; Libraries</td>
<td>43,790,368 123,499 43,913,867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension &amp; Public Services</td>
<td>3,951,383 13,808 3,965,191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Plant Op. &amp; Maint.</td>
<td>5,444,762 11,842 5,456,604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unallocated Reserves</td>
<td>1,646,549 (168,410) 1,478,139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEA Reserve (anticipated</td>
<td>36,844 19,283 56,127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>savings in salaries and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wages)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, All Institutions</td>
<td>$67,271,117 $3,140* $67,274,257</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Increase of $3,140 from DCE restricted funds allocated by State Department of Education (Manpower Development Training).

See following pages for detailed report of budget transfers included above which amount to $1,000 or more.
DETAILS OF BUDGET TRANSFERS OF $1,000 OR MORE BETWEEN FUNCTIONS REQUESTED BY INSTITUTIONS SINCE APRIL 21, 1969

University of Oregon

From: Operating Reserves $ 4,026
To: Instruction and Libraries $ 4,026

Provides additional materials and expenses for School of Librarianship.

From: Operating Reserves $ 5,400
To: Instruction and Libraries $ 5,400

Provides equipment for Biology Department.

From: Operating Reserves $ 1,073
To: Physical Plant $ 1,073

Provides for alteration to Room 68 of Prince Lucien Campbell.

From: Administration and Services $ 2,924
To: Operating Reserves $ 2,924

Provides for transfer of materials and expense funds of Office of Federal Government Relations to operating reserve.

From: Operating Reserves $ 1,371
To: Instruction and Libraries $ 1,371

Provides funds for interview expenses for five prospective employees for the College of Business Administration.

From: Operating Reserves $ 1,998
To: Instruction and Libraries $ 1,998

Provides for additional teaching staff for spring term in Architecture and Allied Arts - Art Education.

From: VEAH Reserves $ 10,522
To: Administration and Services $ 10,522

Provides for additional materials and expenses for Admissions Office ($1,874) and additional wages for Office of Student Services ($8,648).

From: Operating Reserves $ 2,146
To: Instruction and Libraries $ 2,146

Provides additional academic staff in Speech ($1,000) and interview expense funds for prospective staff members in Psychology ($1,146).
Oregon State University

From: Operating Reserves $131,404
To: Instruction and Libraries $131,404

Provides for computer instruction and development in Computer Science.

From: Operating Reserves $10,089
To: Administration and Services $10,089

Provides salary funds in the Dean of Faculty account for appointees to the OSU President's Commission on University Goals.

From: Operating Reserves $3,907
To: Physical Plant Op. & Maint. $3,907

Provides for OSU's share (one-half) of cost of acquiring mobile offices for office space. Remaining one-half was paid by Centralized Activities (Comptroller's Office). Space required for state and federal auditors and for staff in Financial Management Systems study.

From: Instruction and Libraries $18,421
Extension & Public Services 998
To: Administration and Services $1,787
Operating Reserves 17,632

Provides for transfer of salary savings from departmental budgets to operating reserve. Also provides for increased staff FTE for Executive Assistant to the President.

Portland State University

From: Operating Reserves $3,800
To: Instruction and Libraries $3,800

Provides for a Lecturer in Experimental Honors Program and Sociology seminars.

From: Operating Reserves $23,127
To: Instruction and Libraries $23,127

Provides for additional lecturers in Sociology ($800), additional academic staff in Education ($10,521), Philosophy ($3,214), Foreign Languages ($2,400), Speech ($5,080) and General Science ($1,112).

From: VEA Reserve $20,220
To: Operating Reserve $20,220

Provides for transfer of funds from VEA Reserve to Operating Reserve for future allocation to departments.
From: Operating Reserve $ 3,299
To: Extension & Public Services $ 3,299

Provides for transfer from Operating Reserve to Special Studies for Governor's Office to cover costs incurred by PSU faculty member who served on the "Project 70 Task Force."

From: Operating Reserve $ 18,770
To: Administration & Services $ 6,282
    Instruction and Libraries 7,550
    Extension and Public Services 328
    Physical Plant Op. & Maint. 4,610

Provides for transfer from Operating Reserve to departmental accounts the cost of the April 1, 1969, classified pay adjustment, to be financed from wage adjustment funds provided by 1969 Legislature.

From: Administration & Services $ 2,822
    Instruction and Libraries 14,581
    Physical Plant Op. & Maint. 5,087
To: VEAF Reserves $ 22,490

Provides for transfer of salary and wage savings to VEAF Reserves, pending transfers to departments for needed salaries and wages.

Oregon College of Education

From: Operating Reserves $ 8,500
To: Instruction and Libraries $ 8,500

Provides for instructional projects in Biology ($1,500) First Aid ($1,500) and Educational Media and Materials ($5,500).

From: Instruction and Libraries $ 4,807
To: Operating Reserves $ 4,807

Transfers temporary savings in salary funds in Science and Mathematics ($1,075) and Off-Campus Student Teaching ($3,732) to Operating Reserves.

From: Instruction and Libraries $ 22,777
To: VEAF Reserves $ 22,777

Provides for transfer of unallocated salary funds to VEAF Reserves pending future allocations to departments for needed salaries and wages.
Southern Oregon College

From: Operating Reserves $ 7,682
To: Administration and Services $ 7,682

Provides for academic teaching assistants in Education and Psychology ($1,700); a visiting lecturer in Law Enforcement ($500); additional academic staff in Science ($2,016); additional academic staff in Science ($2,016); additional wages in Business Office ($1,975); a visiting instructor in Business ($275); and a visiting instructor in Social Science ($1,216).

From: Instruction and Libraries $ 2,950
To: Operating Reserves $ 2,950

Transfers temporary savings in salary funds to operating reserve, pending transfers to other departments for needed salaries and wages.

From: Instruction and Libraries $ 9,000
To: Extension and Public Services $ 9,000

Provides equipment for a 10-watt radio station to be used for instruction in speech and radio courses.

Eastern Oregon College

From: Operating Reserves $ 1,000
To: Administration and Services $ 1,000

Provides additional materials and expense for Office of Institutional Research.

From: Instruction and Libraries $ 1,302
To: VEAF Reserves $ 1,302

Provides for transfer of temporary salary "savings" to cover required VEAF salary savings.

From: Operating Reserves $ 3,550
To: VEAF Reserves $ 3,550

Transfers funds from Reserve to VEAF Reserves to cover required wage savings.

Oregon Technical Institute

From: Operating Reserves $ 3,032
To: Instruction and Libraries $ 3,032

To provide for an instructor in Auto Diesel.

From: Administration and Services $ 7,839
To: Operating Reserve $ 7,839

Transfers temporary savings in salary funds in Business Office to Operating Reserve, pending transfer to other departments for needed salaries or wages.
Division of Continuing Education

From: Operating Reserves $3,000
   To: Extension and Public Services $3,000

Provides for additional academic staff in Community and Organization Program.

From: Administration and Services $6,400
   To: Operating Reserves $6,400

From increase in estimated service credits for mailing ($3,900) and telephone ($2,500).

Centralized Activities

From: Operating Reserves $1,240
   To: Administration and Services $1,240

To provide equipment for Office of Business Affairs.

From: Operating Reserves $1,288
   To: Administration and Services $1,288

Provides for funding of State Purchasing assessment as required by State Department of General Services.

The Board approved the transfers as presented.
Confirmation of Advertising Interest Rate and Maximum Coupon Rate on Bond Sale, Series 1969 A

Chapter 63, Oregon Laws 1969, includes provisions to the effect that bonds of the state shall bear interest at not to exceed a net effective rate of seven percent and shall be sold at not less than par value. In the program developed to sell the Board of Higher Education Series 1969 A bonds, with a par value of $7,290,000, the net effective interest rate was determined not to exceed seven percent per annum and the maximum coupon rate was determined not to exceed seven and one-half percent, and has been incorporated in the public advertisement for the bonds. The Chancellor recommended that the Board adopt the following resolution on roll call vote to confirm said advertising:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, pursuant to authorization of this Board on April 21, 1969, the Secretary of the State Board of Higher Education was authorized to advertise STATE OF OREGON, STATE BOARD OF HIGHER EDUCATION BUILDING BONDS, SERIES 1969 A, in the amount of $7,290,000, and said notice of bond sale was published in accordance with statute on the 21st day of May, 1969, and the 28th day of May, 1969; and

WHEREAS, the Board, pursuant to ORS 351.360 and Chapter 63, Oregon Laws 1969, deems it appropriate to limit the net effective interest rate to be no more than seven percent per annum, and the maximum coupon rate to be no more than seven and one-half percent per annum on par value;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED that the action of the Secretary of the Board in publishing the notice of bond sale and contents of said notice of bond sale and all acts performed in connection with said notice of bond sale be and are hereby fully approved, ratified, and confirmed.

Upon motion by Director Joss, the Board approved the recommendation as presented and adopted the above resolution with the following voting in favor of adoption: Directors Holloway, Johnson, Joss, Layman, Payne, Snider, and Forrester.

Those voting no:  None.

The President of the Board thereupon declared said resolution duly adopted by a unanimous vote.

Resolution in Connection with Series 1969 A Bond Issue

The Chancellor recommended that the Board adopt the following resolution in connection with the offering, selling and issuing of Series 1969 A, State of Oregon, State Board of Higher Education Building Bonds:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the Secretary of the State Board of Higher Education has responsibilities in connection with the bond issue, and may be absent when performance is required in connection therewith; and
WHEREAS, the Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs of the State Board of Higher Education has responsibilities in connection with the bond issue, and may be absent when performance is required in connection therewith;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that functions of the Secretary of the Board in advertising, receiving of bids, selling of bonds, preparing and providing of transcript material, and other actions relating to the bond issue shall be performed by the Secretary of the Board or by the Assistant Secretary of the Board; and correspondingly, functions of the Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs shall be performed by the Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs or by the Comptroller.

Upon motion by Director Joss, the Board approved the recommendation as presented and adopted the above resolution with the following voting in favor of adoption: Directors Holloway, Johnson, Joss, Layman, Payne, Snider, and Forrester.

Those voting no: None.

The President of the Board thereupon declared said resolution duly adopted by a unanimous vote.

(Considered by Ad Hoc Committee on Extension and Continuing Education, May 19, 1969.)

Dr. J. W. Sherburne, Vice Chancellor for Continuing Education, distributed the report of the Committee on Extension and Continuing Education entitled, "Proposed Policies of the Oregon State Board of Higher Education for Extension and Continuing Education." The report is on file in the Board's file and is considered a part of these minutes.

There was an extended discussion of the report and it was agreed that no action would be recommended to the Board at the June 10, 1969, meeting.

The Board received the report without action on the recommendations. It was also agreed that proposed policies for extension and continuing education would be considered further at a subsequent meeting.
Residence Hall Live-In Policy Change, UO

(Discussed at joint meeting of Board Committees on Academic Affairs, Buildings and Finance, May 20, 1969.)

On March 31, 1969, the Building and Finance Committees, with members of the Academic Affairs Committee present, discussed the University of Oregon recommendation relating to the discontinuance of the residence hall living-in requirement for freshmen at the University of Oregon effective with the start of the 1969-70 academic year. Background information available to the Committees included reference to the original living-in plan for freshmen students, which was adopted by the Board at its meeting of November 21, 1953. The adoption of the living in plan in November 1953 was related to the proposed construction of a dormitory.

At the March 31 Board Committee meetings, Acting President Johnson, in answer to questions from Board members described the studies and the factors considered in connection with the proposal to eliminate the living-in policy for freshmen. The Building and Finance Committees voted to continue consideration of the recommendation at the regular Board Committee meetings in May. It was requested by the Committees that added information be made available at the May Committee meeting on the following points:

1. The source, or sources, of the pressure to abandon the live-in policy.

2. The goals to be accomplished by changing the policy.

3. An indication of the individuals and groups which have been consulted in reaching a decision to recommend this change.

4. The opinions of students, faculty, parents, alumni, the local community, and others regarding the proposed change, including both those in favor of and opposed to the policy change.

5. Information concerning the quality of living in the dormitories, particularly as it relates to the enforcement of rules and regulations.

6. The ability of the local community to provide housing for students who do not choose to reside in the dormitories.

7. The effect on the adjustment to the academic community of students if they are not required to live in the dormitory, particularly for some of the disadvantaged students.

8. The financial implications of the change for both the institution and the System.

9. A copy of the study on student housing prepared by Dr. Robert A. Ellis and Dean Robert L. Bowlin, together with pertinent statistical data developed by the University as related to the proposed change in policy.
10. A statement regarding any other innovations which might be planned in student housing.

11. Possible compromise proposals.

12. The reactions of the institutional executives at all of the institutions since it is expected that a policy change of this nature at one institution will affect other institutions within the System, particularly in terms of financing.

13. Housing policies, separating the financial aspects and the general policies relating to the types of housing to be provided.

14. Other questions which may be submitted by individual Board members.

15. The possibility that the Board should seek authorization to provide dormitories at Portland State University.

At the Board meeting on April 21, 1969, the report of the Board Committees was accepted with the understanding that the residence hall living-in policy would be considered jointly by the Board Committees on Academic Affairs, Buildings, and Finance on Tuesday, May 20, 1969.

There were mailed to Board members on May 9, 1969, packets of detailed information prepared at the University of Oregon and a Summary Paper prepared at the University of Oregon. The information contained in these packets is available in the Board's files. There was also mailed to Board members a summary of replies from the institutions to questions from the Chancellor’s Office regarding the living-in policy.

On the following pages there are shown four exhibits, drawn largely from the information previously mailed to Board members and a recommendation from the Chancellor's Office as indicated below:

1. Statement from the University of Oregon on the proposed revision in the residence hall living-in requirement.

2. A Summary Paper from the University of Oregon.

3. A report of answers from the other institutions in the State System to questions from the Chancellor's Office regarding the residence halls living-in policy.


5. Recommendation to the Board.
Statement of Revision in Freshman Living-In Policy

From the University of Oregon

The University of Oregon has decided to recommend a modification in its present freshman living-in requirements. After an extensive review of the policy, including surveys of the opinions of students, faculty, parents and alumni, the University has concluded that where students live while attending the University should be a matter of family (student-parent) rather than institutional decision. Therefore, beginning with the 1969-70 academic year, the University recommends a change in policy so that it will no longer require any student to live in University housing as a condition of enrollment.

We continue to believe that students, especially those who are in their first year at the University, benefit in many ways from living in our dormitories. A rich mix of students, readily-available personal counseling and academic guidance, a multitude of special interest programs, regularly prepared and balanced meal service, proximity to campus, and many other features make the dormitories wise housing choices for many students. Therefore, although we do not believe dormitory living should be mandatory, we will maintain a system of active cooperation with first year students who wish to live in dormitories. In reserving available dormitory spaces, we shall give first priority to entering freshmen and first year students.

This policy will allow all students to choose their living arrangements from among University dormitories, cooperative houses, fraternities, sororities, private dormitories, private homes, apartments, and other available accommodations. We believe the proposed new policy is consistent with the position taken by the State Board of Higher Education in their December 1966 statement that the Board based its student housing planning primarily on the consideration that the provision of some student housing is essential if educational opportunity is to be extended to students of all geographical regions in Oregon.

University of Oregon
February 1969
Exhibit 2

(References to Point Numbers are to points mentioned at March 31, 1969, Board Committee meetings.)

University of Oregon

April 28, 1969

FRESHMAN LIVING-IN PROGRAM

Summary Paper

Reason for the Policy Review
(Point No. 1)

A fundamental responsibility of University administration is to maintain continuing surveillance of the operational policies of the institution to insure that its policy structure remains valid with changing times and is not merely an unexamined residual of an earlier era. The single most important pressure leading to the University's recommendation that mandatory freshman live-in be eliminated, therefore, was the self-imposed pressure upon responsible administrators to examine the basic assumptions underlying the policy to determine the extent to which its philosophy, assumptions and operations are reflective of current conditions and objectives in Higher Education. The mandatory element of the freshman live-in policy has been put to such a test. As presently constituted, the policy does not seem wisely reflective of the social and educational context of the present era, and it does not meet the test of providing such significant educational benefits and values to all students that it should be required of all entering freshmen.

The reassessment also finds its roots in Objective XVI of the University's Statement of Guidelines as approved by the State Board of Higher Education, to wit:

XVI. To maintain a program of self-study for evaluating the University's objectives and operations and for planning the development of the University.

Continuing self-study is essential to improve higher education and to utilize the resources of the University to best advantage.

The above statements are not intended to suggest--naively or spuriously--that specific pressures have not also existed with respect to the live-in requirement for, indeed, they have, and from many directions and points of view. They are, however, intended to convey the fact that the University responded to whatever pressures existed by re-examining policies and basic assumptions, not by ipso facto changing of its operational rules. Further, this matter did not originate during the very recent past, although, indeed, it has achieved most visibility very recently. For several years, President Flemming had the matter of the freshman live-in requirement under advisement.
Within such a broad frame of reference, it would be accurate to say that the most visible and sustaining sources of pressure have been the officials of student government. It is their continuing inquiry and questioning, and their emphasis on its inconsistencies, that led to full formal consideration of this particular policy.

A broad spectrum of attitudes exists regarding the live-in requirement and the University's overall responsibility for housing. Information from a variety of sources was considered in arriving at a recommendation. The University's recommendation does not reflect a majority vote--nor a minority vote--nor does it reflect consensus. It constitutes a set of conclusions arrived at after reviewing a wide range of points of view--many of which were conflicting. Since the conclusions were arrived at as a result of an interpretive process, it follows that they are also properly subject to review and testing.

Conclusions from the Review

The mandatory freshman live-in rule was initially established on the presumption that living in University dormitories would result in such significant educational benefits and values to students that it should be required of all entering freshmen. Its continuation, therefore, can be justified only on the basis of evidence that residence hall living programs are successful in achieving the educational objectives on which they are based: that a mandatory live-in rule improves the learning-study environment of the dormitory and successfully reinforces the academic program of the institution; and that the educational benefits and values do, indeed, accrue to all students. Further, the evaluative criteria ought to be hard evidence, not merely statements of opinion or good intentions.

The freshman live-in policy was developed during the period of 1948-1950 and, as implemented during the 1950's, it seemed to be a generally satisfactory reflection of the social and educational conditions of the times. It is a truism, however, that a policy that may have stood an institution in good stead during one era and under one set of social conditions, may not be adequate for another era under a differing set of conditions.

The requirement has suffered from inconsistencies and contradictions. An obvious inconsistency is the fact that Eugene-Springfield residents (and usually out-of-town students who can live with relatives in Eugene) have never been required to live-in, thus compromising the concept of "live-in" as a required educational objective for all students. Further, as an example that freshman live-in is not a universally held value, Portland State University does not require freshmen to live in institutionally-owned housing--and we would guess that it does not intend to attempt to do so in the future as its freshmen classes grow and larger proportions do not live in their familial homes. Examination of the social and educational context of the present era indicates that the University should maintain a continuing, active interest in its residence halls and their educational programs, but that a mandatory live-in rule is not warranted.

The decision that the University should not have a mandatory freshman live-in rule is rooted in the fundamental assumption that determination of student residence should be a family (student-parent) decision: that by the mere
existence of a policy requirement, the University is maintaining a quasi-parental role which is inappropriate at this stage in the life of the University, and in the evolution of student rights and responsibilities. The problem is well described in the following comments paraphrased from the statement of a Stanford Law School professor on student conduct:

"The rising proportion of graduate students in major universities, the changing patterns of child-rearing at home, changes in legal and social standards of behavior all argue for a re-evaluation of the in loco parentis rule. Social standards are changing rapidly. The University increasingly is being required to come to grips with complex behavioral problems in an area of social ordering currently under challenge and in the throes of change where even the so-called experts are in disagreement. If the University continues to act in loco parentis, it is certain to find itself confronted with a myriad of what are essentially legal and moral problems in areas where both law and morals are themselves in considerable ferment. Moreover, it will be continuing to proceed on the basis of assumptions which are certainly questionable as applied to graduate students, are rejected by an increasing majority of undergraduates, and may well not be shared by many parents."

Goals To Be Accomplished (Point No. 2)

Elimination of the mandatory element, however, does not imply that living in the University’s residence halls is not an educationally valuable and beneficial experience. To the contrary, the University believes that residence hall living and programs will continue to have great relevance and value for large numbers of students. There is simply no hard evidence to support the premise that all students benefit from a mandatory live-in requirement.

Actually, observational evidence suggests that forcing everyone to live in causes some disruption of the educational programs and diminution of educational values that might obtain. The attitude and behavior of each individual who lives in the dormitories is a significant part of the overall environment. A person who is forced to live in a dormitory may not benefit the total environment. Indeed, there is consensus that establishment of a program in which residents of the dormitory would be there only if they want to be would be beneficial and strengthening to the educational program. With a volunteer clientele the University hopes to organize a variety of alternative living arrangements which will be more satisfactory to a larger number of persons--parents and students--than under the present forced live-in requirement.

The University's overall expectation is that a change in policy can result in a substantially improved study atmosphere within the dormitory complex resulting in the elimination of much of the discipline-noise problem; that the inclusion of more upper-classmen and graduates will provide a significant stabilizing influence with more meaningful behavior models, and opportunities for group interaction and learning for freshmen; and that a broader mix with less separation of freshmen from upper-classmen, and an open system with no "captive" occupants will provide a total dormitory environment that is supportive of, and complementary to, the total academic-learning environment of the University. Further, such a change in policy will be consistent with emerging patterns of student rights and responsibilities.
Information Input Sources
(Point No. 3)

Information was collected from a wide range of sources including:

(1) Board minutes--to review existing policies and historical background.
(2) The Office of Student Services--through discussions and various position papers.
(3) Parents of freshman men, Mother's Club officers, Dad's Club officers, alumni, a sample of "influential" students, a sample of juniors and seniors, student leaders, and a sample of University faculty--through a comprehensive, scientifically prepared survey of attitudes toward campus issues by Ellis and Bowlin.
(4) ASUO officials--through direct consultation with the President, Dean of Students, and other University officials.
(5) ASUO Senate--through resolution.
(6) University of Oregon student body--from election results on the matter of the Freshman Live-In Policy (February 27-28, 1969).
(7) The Inter-Coop Council and Pan-Hellenic--through discussions and letter.
(8) The Student Conduct Committee and the Ad Hoc Committee which is presently reviewing the Student Conduct Code--through minutes and discussion.
(9) The University Advisory Council.
(10) The President's staff.
(11) Occupancy and financial statistics--studies by the Dormitory Administration.
(12) Legislator reaction--from Committee meetings.

The Board minutes of 1966 emphasize clearly that the Board of Higher Education bases its student housing planning primarily on the "objective of providing student housing for the purpose of extending educational opportunities to students of all geographical regions in Oregon." The policy indicates also that living conditions established in institutionally-owned housing as a basis for providing experiences relevant to educational objectives of the institution, will vary and be determined independently for the several institutions. The mandatory live-in rule, therefore, does not emanate from Board policies, but from educational objectives, and the means of attaining those objectives which have been developed independently at each institution.

Opinions of Students, Faculty, Parents, Alumni and Local Community
(Point No. 4)

The previously referred to Ellis-Bowlin Study is included in Appendix A. It is submitted as an objective, scientifically-prepared attitudinal survey of campus issues. The credentials of both persons to conduct research are impeccable. To my knowledge, the study was conducted independently as part of an experiment by the researchers: It was not an administrative study conducted to gather information about a change in policy, per se.

The overall study consisted of two phases. The first was conducted in the summer of 1966 with a mail communication to the parents of 1,362 freshmen male applicants to the University of Oregon. The follow-up study was conducted in the spring of 1967. In addition to the report (Appendix A), Ellis and Bowlin have also made available the tables listed as Appendix B which provide information regarding the attitudes of the Eugene community, parents of freshman men, Mother's Club officers, etc.
The findings of the report and supplementary tables are clear. The large majority of off-campus adult publics—Eugene community, parents of freshman men, Mother's Club officers, Dad's Club officers, alumni influential, are opposed to elimination of the mandatory live-in rule. The faculty sample was 33 percent opposed, 55 percent in favor of elimination of the rule. Survey results showed that students (juniors and seniors) were 50 percent in favor of eliminating the requirement and 45 percent opposed to elimination of the requirement, student leaders were 47 percent in favor of eliminating the requirement, 44 percent opposed to its elimination.

One other item of information is significant, i.e., results of the student referendum held on February 27-28, 1969—502 students voted to continue the freshman live-in requirement; 1,551 voted to approve living off-campus with parental permission; 1,153 voted to abolish the requirement.

Two significant inferences can be made from these data: (1) it can be expected that off-campus adult groups will oppose elimination of the freshman live-in requirement; (2) it can also be expected, however, that parents will bring strong pressures on their sons and daughters to live in University-owned housing, and that such parental pressure will be a significant element in maintaining full occupancy.

Quality of Living in the Dormitories
(Point No. 5)

Attachment II prepared by Mr. Barnhart, Director of Dormitories, presents a compendium of facts relative to dormitory environment, including the Student Conduct Program as it relates to dormitories, a list of visiting hours, etc. (Attachment II is in the packet previously mailed to Board members. It consists of printed leaflets, announcements and fact sheets issued by the University of Oregon Residence Halls Office.)

Housing Capacity of the Local Community
(Point No. 6)

Realistically, analysis of community housing capacity for student housing must deal with the campus environs and the University residence halls as a total unit, since single students in large numbers will not move long distances off campus into the community. The campus environs apartment area has been relatively saturated during the past several academic years; and the law of supply and demand can be expected to prevail in the campus area. Modification of the freshman live-in requirement would not change the total numbers of spaces available nor the total number of spaces needed; rather, it would change the composition and mix within University housing and private housing. If single students move out in larger numbers than there is housing available on the environs of the campus, then other students will simply be forced to move into institutionally-owned housing. No additional load is placed on private housing in the campus area because of the change in rule per se.

Effects on Student Adjustment
(Point No. 7)

Considering the magnitude of the enterprise, very little research has been directed toward examination of outcomes of dormitory living. The relatively few number of studies show conflicting results and lack of carefully controlled
conditions. Without such hard evidence, therefore, it is necessary to fall back to cumulative observation and informed opinion.

The University's position is relatively clear—and has already been stated in this paper. Its dormitories have living conditions and educational programs that are beneficial for many students and, indeed, these programs should be extended and strengthened for those large numbers of students who can profit therefrom. The matter of adjustment will be significant for a certain proportion of students who might be helped by the dormitory environment and who, without a mandatory live-in rule, may not find their way into the dormitory. Although true, this does not seem to support a mandatory requirement for all students. Rather, the University should strengthen its orientation and counseling procedures to insure early identification and assistance to such students.

Financial Implications
(Point No.8)

The matter of financing of dormitories which were constructed under certain implicit, if not explicit, understandings is significant, and must be given full consideration. The University's dormitory administration has made careful projections of student occupancy under varying conditions. Although it must be acknowledged that no one can guarantee the absolute validity of a projection under changed circumstances, they were able to conclude with assurance that the University's dormitories will maintain their levels of occupancy of recent years. This conclusion is arrived at from weighing and evaluating a number of possible effects. Present cash reserves under Board requirements are approximately $2,000,000—sufficient to meet debt service for from 2 to 3 years. The policy would be reviewed after the first year to assess its fiscal effects.

Specific Outline of Effects on Dormitory Occupancy with Elimination of Freshman Living-In Plan. * (Refer to Attachment I, Enrollment and Occupancy Statistics)

The student composition of the University is changing. It is rapidly becoming an upperclass and graduate school. The freshman class in 1961 represented 30.9 percent of the student body while in 1968, 17.5 percent. There are 845 more sophomores than freshmen; and, if present trends continue, by fall term 1969, there will be more juniors than freshmen. The graduate student population has increased from 15 percent of the enrollment in 1961 to 27 percent in 1968.

The University of Oregon dormitories house approximately 70-75 percent of the total freshman class. The freshmen in dormitories occupy approximately two-thirds of the total dormitory spaces. In fall term 1968, 300-400 upperclass students were either denied a space in the dormitories or were discouraged from applying because of the lack of space.

Fraternities have a maximum of about 950 beds, and sororities have approximately 750 beds. Approximately half of the fraternities' and sororities' occupants are sophomores.

The number of single students living off-campus has increased from about 1,400 in 1960 to almost 4,800 in 1968. During each of the last three years more than 600 more single students have taken off-campus housing. In addition, approximately

* Prepared by Mr. Barnhart.
Meeting #374-76

240 additional married, graduate students each year seek apartments in the community. The total number of students living at home has varied little in the last eight years.

If the freshman live-in requirement were dropped and students permitted to live where they choose, of those freshmen who are now required to live in dormitories, it is estimated that no more than 5 percent or 100 would be housed in apartments in this city based on the following.

If the fraternities and sororities pledged and moved into their houses the same number of freshmen as they have sophomores now, this would mean that the dormitories would lose approximately 425 freshman men and 350 freshman women. The present freshmen pledge class now housed in dormitories (sophomores next year) will want to move into the fraternities or sororities in fall 1969; these freshmen (fall, 1969) and sophomores would equal the number of people already in the houses. The fraternities would be overloaded by approximately 300 and the sororities would be overloaded by approximately 160. If all these students should decide to move off campus, the fraternities and sororities together would put about 400 to 450 students on the local market. This coupled with the normal ** annual enrollment increase, plus about 100 freshmen who might choose to live off-campus and part of the additional married student demand, would throw a substantial load on the campus periphery.

In addition to the overload on an already saturated apartment area there are other margins of safety. Last year three or four hundred students were turned away from the dormitories even under the present apartment conditions. The evidence suggests clearly the parents will put pressure on their sons and daughters to stay in dormitories. The relatively high cost of rent on the campus periphery, compounded by the substantial increases that are expected in the fall because of the latest round of construction cost increases and the fact that existing units tend to move up to meet the rentals set by new construction, will seriously limit the availability of off-campus apartments. It is very likely that for programmatic and organizational purposes sororities will want their women to stay in dormitories rather than being off campus. The increasing number of undergraduate married students is a further press on the market.

Point No. 9

(Covered in Appendix A -- The Ellis-Bowlin Follow-up Study, previously mailed to Board members.)

Potential Innovations
(Point No. 10)

The dormitory administration and staff have already given considerable attention to the potential for creative innovative arrangements which will be made possible by more flexible regulations. As previously stated, the University's expectation is that a larger number of options which would be satisfying to a larger number of people—parents and students—would be sought under the more flexible situation which would exist if the mandatory rules were not in effect.

** May be affected by current Legislative review.
Voluntary self-selection would be extremely significant with respect to organizational rules that students would develop for each unit. Some units might want to organize themselves as completely closed dormitories, i.e., where it is agreed prior to admission that there will be closing hours and no visitation of the opposite sex. Units could consider a wide range of controlled visitation arrangements with respect to such factors as floor level visitation, closing hours, etc. A variety of special interest curricular groups can be arranged. For example, the Departments of German and French are interested in housing groups of students in a specific dormitory. There has long been a demand on the campus for the organization of graduate student units. The School of Community Services and Public Affairs has indicated a desire to experiment in this regard.

A select number of single rooms may be made available in Hamilton Hall. Hamilton Hall is the largest dormitory housing 832 students. It is an exceptionally large number to feed on two cafeteria lines and it would be highly desirable to develop some rooms as singles. Adequate savings might be made in other areas to take care of the slight loss in net income.

Range of Alternative Policy Statements
(Point No. 11)

Most alternatives that can be formulated relative to a freshman living-in program are rooted in one (or a combination) of three basic assumptions:

(1) that determination of student residence should be an institutional decision, justified by the educational objectives of the institution.

(2) that determination of student residence should be a family (student-parent) decision.

(3) that determination of student residence should be an institutional decision, as an extension of family control.

At least the following alternatives exist with regard to freshman living-in:

(1) to continue the present policy of requiring freshmen under 21 to live in residence halls, granting exceptions to Eugene residents and, in rare cases, other special exceptions on an individual basis.

(2) to continue the present policy—with the additional provision that all Eugene freshmen would be required to live in residence.

(3) to modify the present policy by expanding the category of "authorized group housing" to include fraternities, sororities and cooperatives, in addition to University residence halls.

(4) to continue the policy as a general University requirement—either as existing or modified by (3)—with expansion of the category of exceptions that can be granted on an individual basis.

(5) to continue the present policy as a University requirement—either as existing or modified by (3)—except that freshmen could live off campus at their parents' request.
(6) to **provide freshman living-in programs** for all students for whom such programs are requested by the students' family.

(7) to **maintain an optional live-in policy with active encouragement** by the University for freshmen to live in University residences giving first priority to freshmen in reserving dormitory spaces.

(8) to **offer University housing** on a first-come, first-serve basis to all qualified students, with no administrative distinctions—institutional or familial—between freshmen and other students for purposes of requiring or stimulating freshmen to live in.

Any of the alternatives can, of course, be established on a contingent, experimental basis; i.e., with definite time limits to evaluate effects such as on dormitory income, freshman drop-out, the intellectual climate, etc.
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Reference: Point No. 12, mentioned at Board Committee Meeting of March 31, 1969.

Report of a Survey of Five Institutions in the State System Regarding Residence Hall Living-in Requirements

Following consideration by the Board's Committees on Building and Business Affairs on March 31, 1969, of the recommendations of the University of Oregon to discontinue its residence hall living-in requirement for freshmen, questions were put to the presidents of Oregon State University, Oregon College of Education, Southern Oregon College, Eastern Oregon College, and Oregon Technical Institute regarding their present living-in policies, their plans for the near future, and comments regarding the living-in policy. The questions asked and a digest of the replies follows:

1. What is the policy regarding freshmen living in dormitories?

OCE--All freshmen except those living at home are required to live in college-operated residences. Last year, 13 percent of the freshmen lived in private housing in Monmouth, exclusive of those living at home, and others did not attend OCE because dormitory housing or satisfactory non-institutional housing was unavailable.

OSU--Single freshmen under the age of 21 must live in residence halls, fraternities, sororities, or cooperatives unless living with parents. Some exceptions allow students to live with close relatives. Other exceptions must be cleared by Student-Faculty Housing Committee and require parental permission.

SOC--Women students under 21 years of age and men at the freshman and sophomore levels are required to live in. Exceptions are made where students are living with immediate family, or in some cases because of work or health, they may live off campus in housing which meets institutional standards.

EOC--All unmarried students under the age of 21 years, not living at home or with relatives, are required to live in college-operated residence halls. Exceptions may be made by Housing Committee upon petition from students who work for their room and board, who feel they have hardship cases, or who have medical excuses.

OTI--Single, non-commuting women students under 21 years of age are required to live in college housing on campus. All international students and all single, non-commuting men students under 21 years of age must live in college housing on campus or in Craig Hall. If space is not available, students must choose college-approved, off-campus housing.

2. Are there live-in policies for non-freshmen?

OCE--The stated policy would require sophomores, except those living at home, to reside in college-operated residences. Since space is not available for all freshmen, this policy has been deferred.
OSU—Single sophomore students under 21 years of age must live in University-operated residence halls, fraternities, sororities, cooperatives, or other approved off-campus housing. The latter does not include privately operated residence halls, apartment houses, motels, hotels, or houses. Exceptions must be approved by Student-Faculty Housing Committee.

Single junior students under 21 years of age may live wherever they choose with parental permission.

Students 21 years of age or older, seniors, or married students may live wherever they choose.

SOC—Women students under 21 years of age and men at the freshman and sophomore levels are required to live in. Exceptions are made where students are living with immediate family, or in some cases because of work or health, they may live off campus in housing which meets institutional standards.

EOC—All unmarried students under the age of 21 years, not living at home or with relatives, are required to live in college-operated residence halls. Exceptions may be made by Housing Committee upon petition from students who work for their room and board, who feel they have hardship cases, or who have medical excuses.

OTI—Single, non-commuting women students under 21 years of age are required to live in college housing on campus. All international students and all single, non-commuting men students under 21 years of age must live in college housing on campus or in Craig Hall. If space is not available, students must choose college-approved, off-campus housing.

3. Are changes contemplated in the near future?

OCE—Not for freshmen, and there is little expectation of being able to implement sophomore live-in policy. There is a need to have upper-classmen in dormitories to exercise leadership which they can provide.

OSU—No change recommended for 1969-70. Student-Faculty Housing Committee has recommended that single sophomore students under 21 years of age with parental permission be permitted to live wherever they choose effective with 1970-71. No change recommended for freshmen students.

SOC—No changes anticipated for this year or next except for liberalizing closing hours.

EOC—No changes anticipated, with possible exception of some modification to closing hours.

OTI—No changes contemplated.
4. What would be the impact if the live-in policy at the University of Oregon for freshmen were abandoned?

OCE--A change in policy would very likely create some pressure to do likewise.

OSU--The elimination of the freshman live-in requirement at the University of Oregon would have some effect on students at Oregon State University, but it is difficult to predict how much.

SOC--There would be no change in the Southern Oregon College regulations or time limits as a result, even though the pressure would be tremendous.

EOC--It would be difficult for Eastern Oregon College to maintain existing policies in the face of complete relaxation of policies by any other institution. The philosophy of administrative personnel at EOC continues to be that residence hall living should be an integral part of a college student's educational experience.

EOC expressed concern that the financial policies applicable to auxiliary enterprises would necessitate a greater financial burden for those students who live in residence halls as a result of the relaxation of live-in requirements. It was noted that this would significantly affect EOC's future plans for construction of a centralized food service center and college center.

OTI--It would create an undue amount of pressure from freshmen demanding the right to live in housing of their choice. This would create a financial hardship in meeting debt service payments for new residence hall, because it is doubtful that 90 percent minimum occupancy could be maintained. There is a shortage of acceptable housing in Klamath Falls, and since parents have previously been reluctant to have students live in substandard housing in Klamath Falls, it would be likely that there would be a reduction in enrollment.

5. Are there observations on other live-in regulations and problems?

OCE--In the current debate on the live-in regulations, the issue is whether the institution or the student and his parents should have the final say as to whether the student is required to live in campus housing. It was indicated that provision is made at OCE for necessary exceptions to the live-in policy, and it was suggested that the student and the parent cannot always know in advance the educational and other values in dormitory living. It was stated that officials at OCE believe the institution should exercise the responsibility for deciding whether the freshman should miss this experience taking into consideration what is best for the student and best for the institution.

OSU--Emphasis should be on providing housing for first-year students and other students who desire to live in University housing. Group living accommodations should be places where students want to live.

Evidence indicates students living in group housing make a better adjustment to the University.
Social, recreational, and educational facilities within residence halls assist students in gaining a better perspective in his ultimate educational goals.

Changes in policy would affect the cities in which the institutions are located; smaller communities would have greater difficulty in handling larger segments of the student body than would cities such as Eugene or Portland.

Consideration must be given to the effect changing the rules for single students would have on the availability of off-campus housing for married students.

SOC—There are no other problems or major rules which could not be overcome with more money, say for counselors, etc.

EOC—No comment.

OTI—Before authorizing students under 21 to pursue their choice of housing, it will be necessary to settle satisfactorily the problems surrounding Craig Hall.
May 15, 1969

Dr. Roy E. Lieuallen, Chancellor
Oregon State System of Higher Education
Post Office Box 3175
Eugene, Oregon 97403

Dear Dr. Lieuallen:

At the March and April meetings of the Board Sub-Committee on Dormitories, a number of questions relative to live-in policy were raised. One of these addressed the housing needs of Portland State University students. This matter has been given considerable thought in preparation for decisions at the May committee meetings in Portland.

The enclosed staff report prepared by the University's Office of Facilities Planning provides documented information as well as background and trends relative to the current housing situation as it affects Portland State. (Copies of this report are available for distribution to the Board.) The recommendation I am making will require a small dollar commitment as well as an indication from the Board that it recognizes housing at the Portland State campus as a problem of some urgency. The urgency reflects the need for Board and institutional sensitivity to student needs, to important negotiations with the Development Commission in Portland, and the deadline for beginning the first phase of the housing "solution" by the beginning of Fall term 1969. We consider this beginning as both reasonable and possible. PSU's last policy statement with respect to student housing was made in December 1965. "It (Portland State) has no dormitories, is presently unrelated to any cooperatives, sororities, or fraternities with living facilities and has no aspirations involving a change in this situation." This statement was modified slightly by the University's decision last summer to provide some living accommodations for a number of disadvantaged students in the Project TEACH program. Experience under the program and consideration of the relationship of housing as a function of education for the disadvantaged now prompts the
recommendations that the University go further with the provision of living quarters for students in this vital program. Moreover it is increasingly clear the University should elaborate its former statement on housing freeing itself to provide student housing, only as private enterprise does not fulfill demand at costs and on terms the market requires. The market presently fills demand for relatively high-cost dormitories and apartments. But, there is undoubted need for some vehicle through which less prosperous and the more individualistic students (married, or less traditional dormitory-oriented-type students) can find suitable, low-cost housing.

The enclosed report points up the fact that approximately 3500 Portland State students will seek student housing in the fall of 1969. The proportion of freshmen is low, but a substantial number of young sophomores and upper-division students seek housing. The reasons for students leaving home are many but it is clear that the desire for independence, involvement in academic community life or perhaps simply to live among peers is strong and that the resources to support reasonable independence are available.

During this academic year a responsible, innovation-oriented group of students has studied the formation of a non-profit housing corporation. The University administration and the Urban Center have given encouragement to this group who now are prepared to move into an implementation situation. Essentially the students propose the formation of a cooperative corporation with a Board of Directors consisting of students, faculty, and community leaders. The Corporation will purchase or lease residential properties in various parts of the city to establish student living centers. The advantages of this effort are clear: the student origin of the project, involvement of professional members of the academic community without the University serving in loco parentis and the potentials for contributing to constructive solutions of community problems through providing community service and by reducing blight. The project is intended to provide adequate low-cost housing in modest sized clusters. It would also provide community leaders opportunities for involvement with and institutional support for Portland State University.

There is also an important linkage of the housing corporation concept and partial solution of the transportation and parking problems that confront the University. It is doubtful that parking needs at Portland State will ever be fully satisfied and therefore it is important for us to address a less than total solution of the parking problem, if our resources are to be directed toward the purposes of higher education and not toward four-wheeled dinosaurs. Student housing that is within commuting distance of the University is one means of addressing this
serious logistics problem. If residential student clusters are established near freeway access the University can provide transportation from them as we do now from the Coliseum parking lot. Even if only half the 3500 students now living in apartments and driving to the campus can be induced to cluster and use bus transportation, parking space use at Portland State could be reduced by a total of 1500 spaces. Because we are still growing this relief could be extremely significant.

To make a decisive move toward implementation of the housing proposal, I would like to recommend that the Board approve funding for a staff position for the next one to two years and provide secretarial assistance for a total estimated cost of $30,000. The occupant of the position would aid in preparing a comprehensive program, finding a suitable roster for membership on the Board of Directors, assist in getting documents of incorporation ready and in studying and selecting the initial living group locations and buildings, investigating sources of capital and relating these to assistance of federal, state, and city governments. This project has almost universal appeal in the Portland State community but the students, planning staff, and I all realize that without provision for continuity and follow-through the idea cannot easily ripen into a reality.

The student housing group has met with the administration to suggest an interim step that will permit the housing proposal to begin immediately. The request is that certain apartment buildings within the Portland State development area, which are scheduled for razing in the summer of 1969, be purchased by the Board and leased back to the corporation for a limited time period -- not to exceed two years. This purchase will enable student housing opportunities to be offered in the fall of 1969, allow the corporation to achieve operating status and enable it to accumulate some working capital as well as demonstrate to the community the merits of its long-term goals. Our relations with the PDC and HUD are such that I feel confident arrangements can be successfully made with them. Before we can move forward, however, it will be important for the Board to approve in principle the planned recommendations I am making in behalf of Portland State.

With respect to housing for the disadvantaged students under the three per cent program: during the past year it was necessary to change the living quarters once and we now face demolition of the existing ones at the end of spring term. The nature of Project TEACH makes continuity of the environmental situation very important. For this
purpose we propose to retain one 45-unit apartment building for Project TEACH student housing for the next two-year period. While this building could be rented from PDC, it is less costly to buy it outright at a cost of about a dollar per square foot. PDC proposes a price of $25,250.

At the end of the two-year period the University would re-evaluate the program and make appropriate recommendations. Although the capacity of the building (the King George II on Harrison Street between 10th and 11th) is somewhat greater than the one currently used we anticipate an increase in the number of student participants sufficient to utilize the facility when it becomes available. The staff report delineates the possible sources of funds for both recommendations contained in this letter.

Please let me know if there is further information that needs to be provided by the University.

Sincerely,

Gregory B. Wolfe
President

enclosure

cc: Mr. Bork
    Mr. Hunderup
    Mr. Romney

(Signed in his absence.)
Recommendation from the Board's Office

Generally, the Board establishes policies which have broad System application. Only in unusual circumstances has the Board established policies which apply to a single institution.

An illustration of a policy which affected a single institution was the adoption in 1953 of the "living-in" policy for freshman students at the University of Oregon. The policy was adopted at the request of the University of Oregon and, at first glance, it might be assumed that, at the University's request, the Board should have no hesitation in dropping the policy.

Careful review of the proposal, however, reveals probable broad implications for several other State System institutions. If the University of Oregon's "living-in" policy is dropped and dormitory living becomes a decision to be reached jointly by the parent and student, the dormitory living regulations at other System residential institutions, and their levels of dormitory occupancy, may be adversely affected.

It is the proposal of the Board's Office that no major changes in dormitory "living-in" policies be made at this time. Rather, it is proposed that, in order to involve parents more meaningfully in the determination of where a student lives, each residential institution include among the bases for exceptions to its "living-in" requirements a parental request that a student be permitted to live off-campus.

Thus, if the proposal is approved, unless unusual circumstances exist, an official request from a parent would be considered an adequate basis for making an exception to an institution's living-in requirement.

During the discussion at the Board Committee meeting, the Chancellor said that legislative actions which will be incorporated in the Board's operating budget were closely related to the residence hall living-in policy. These related factors are: (1) the enrollment limitations which will have an impact mainly on the second year of the biennium; (2) the modification of support for counseling in the residence halls which will withdraw all state support for counseling which may make it necessary to impose a further increase in board and room charges of $26 to $28 per student per year; and (3) the substantial increase in tuition especially for nonresident students which may have some implications for the occupancy level.

The Chancellor, therefore, recommended that the Board delay any consideration of significant modifications of the present institutional requirements for living-in until the impact of these factors can be assessed. He recommended that the Board take no policy action at this time but merely express the view that institutions should be increasingly sensitive to the stated wishes of parents in considering requests for exceptions to the live-in policy.
During the Committee discussion, Mrs. Johnson reported that she had corresponded with a number of counselors in high schools of varying sizes and locations in Oregon requesting their opinions of the proposed change in the mandatory live-in policy for freshmen. She said replies had been received to approximately one-third of the letters so far and of these replies 71 percent were opposed to the elimination of the requirement, 23 percent favored the proposal, and three replies presented arguments on both sides of the question. She said that she would summarize the comments to be included as a part of the Board record of the consideration of the live-in requirement and that the letters be made a part of the records of the Board.

Acting President Johnson said that the University position on the live-in policy is based on the assumption that where a student lives while he attends the University should be a student-parent decision rather than an institutional decision. Mr. Barnhart, Director of Dormitories at the University of Oregon, said that he did not believe that there would be any difficulty in filling the dormitories if the live-in policy were eliminated, even though the University of Oregon would probably be hurt more extensively by the restriction in out-of-state students than most of the other institutions. He said that a better dormitory system might result from a larger percentage of upperclass students than is the case with the present freshman live-in requirement.

During the discussion of the residence hall live-in policy, President Wolfe presented a letter dated May 15, 1969, which he had addressed to Chancellor Lieuallen. This letter is included as Exhibit 4, preceding. Attached to President Wolfe's letter was a staff report entitled "Student Housing" prepared by the Portland State University Office of Facilities Planning and Operations, dated May 8, 1969. This staff report has been placed in the Board's files. Copies of President Wolfe's letter and the attached staff report were also distributed to Board members at the Committee meeting on May 20, 1969. In his presentation, President Wolfe said that available housing in and around Portland State University has been decreasing as property is acquired under the Urban Renewal program for academic buildings. He indicated that Portland State University was requesting a modification in the existing policy to allow Portland State University to provide housing in two special cases: (1) for the disadvantaged students in order to develop for these students tutorial programs which the institution considers essential for their educational success; and (2) for students in special programs involving only small groups of students who will be enrolled in programs which are now under study.

Mr. Stanley Amy, Chairman of the Portland State University Housing Committee, a student organization, appeared before the Board to present the study and a request to the Committee that consideration be given to assisting the students in acquiring apartments in the Urban Renewal area for temporary use. He was particularly concerned with one apartment building on which bids for demolition are scheduled to be received on May 23.
The committee proposed that the apartment structures be acquired by one of the following methods:

1. Portland State University to purchase the campus area apartment buildings from the Portland Development Commission, rehabilitate them to code standards, if necessary, and lease them to the student housing corporation, charging the costs of purchase, rehabilitation, and demolition to the two-year accumulated profit from the rental of the buildings by the student corporation.

2. Or, Portland State University to purchase the buildings from the Portland Development Commission and lease them immediately to the student housing corporation which would be responsible for the rehabilitation costs. The purchase and demolition costs would be charged to the student housing corporation, to be paid from the two-year proceeds from rental of the buildings.

3. Or, the student housing corporation to lease the buildings directly from the Portland Development Commission and be responsible for all leasing and operating costs.

Mr. Lemman said that Portland State University was asking the Building and Finance Committees to indicate whether there is sufficient interest to warrant the institution's interceding with the Development Commission to delay the demolition of the apartment building until a specific recommendation can be presented and acted upon at the June Board meeting.

The Committee members agreed that they were interested in pursuing the matter and expressed the hope that a deferral of the demolition plans could be worked out to allow time for adequate study of the proposal.

Mrs. Johnson proposed that the President of the Board appoint a sub-committee on housing to become more familiar with the housing problems and philosophies and to rework the housing policies.

The Academic Affairs, Building, and Finance Committees recommended that the Board approve the recommendation of the Chancellor that the Board delay any consideration of significant modifications of the present institutional requirements for living in but that the institutions be increasingly sensitive to the stated wishes of parents in considering requests for exceptions to the live-in policy.
During the Board discussion, it was pointed out that there was a difference of opinion as to the meaning of the Committee recommendation as it related to the extent to which parental wishes would be considered. One opinion interpreted the recommendation to mean that the action placed the main responsibility on the parents for the decision concerning the students' living arrangements.

It was agreed that the intent of the recommendations was that there would be no change in the present living-in policy. However, the institutions could consider a parental request as one of the factors in evaluating applications for exceptions, but such a request alone would not be sufficient justification for exceptions to the policy.

The Board approved the recommendations as presented.

Dean Sherburne reports an increase in estimated student fee income resulting primarily from an increase in the noncredit course work provided through the Division of Continuing Education. He recommended, with the concurrence of the Chancellor, that the estimated amount of $35,638 be added to the 1968-69 operating budget to cover expenses in connection with the additional services provided.

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

On June 11, 1969, a budget plan for 1969-70 will be presented to the Committee on Finance and Business Affairs and other Board members. Report will be made of the amounts of appropriations and expenditure limitations established by the 1969 Legislature relating to the next two-year period. Recommendations will be made for 1969-70 establishing tentative expenditure limitations for various institutions and activities, by funds. Recommendations also will be made with regard to pay adjustments for both the academic and classified staffs, and other important elements.

In order to permit the carrying on of regular institutional activities prior to the time that the Board officially adopts the 1969-70 budget, the Chancellor recommended that authority be granted to Board and institutional officials to incur obligations and expend funds prior to consideration of the budget by the Board on July 21, 1969, in conformity with the budget plan endorsed by the Committee on Finance and Business Affairs on June 11, 1969. Recommendation also is made that similar authority be granted for approved capital outlay projects, auxiliary activities, central service departments, student aid activities, and other activities of the institutions and divisions in the State System of Higher Education.

The Board approved the recommendations as presented.
PRESIDENT'S REPORT
Mr. Forrester announced that the next meetings of the Board's Committees will be held at Portland State University on Monday, June 30, 1969.

Next Meeting Dates
The next regular Board meeting is scheduled for 9:00 A.M., Monday, July 21, 1969, on the campus of Southern Oregon College in Ashland.

Presentation of Plaque to E. N. Stevenson
Mr. Forrester then presented President E. N. Stevenson with a framed plaque in recognition of his many years of service to Southern Oregon College and his contributions to the development of the institution.

CHANCELLOR'S REPORT
Chancellor Lieuallen announced that Vice Chancellor J. W. Sherburne was attending his last meeting as Vice Chancellor and that he would be returning to teaching responsibilities at Oregon State University. He asked Mrs. Johnson to present the following resolution:

Resolution Commending J. W. Sherburne
Resolution of the Oregon State Board of Higher Education
On the Tenth Day of June, 1969
Honoring Vice Chancellor James W. Sherburne

The members of the Oregon State Board of Higher Education wish to express their sincere and deep appreciation to Dr. James W. Sherburne for the high caliber of leadership that he has provided in the development of continuing education opportunity in this state.

Vice Chancellor Sherburne, for 13 years at the helm of the Division of Continuing Education, stimulated sound but innovative approaches in extending the resources of the State System of Higher Education and the member institutions to all parts of the state and, over the years, to hundreds of thousands of Oregonians.

He exercised educational statesmanship in insisting that the Division of Continuing Education devote its best efforts to meeting the needs of an area, a community, a group, or an institution — consistent with the objectives of the State System and its institutions. He inspired his staff to combine this commitment with flexibility so that the Division of Continuing Education could be both an innovative force and an avenue for extending existing institutional resources to meet the problems of rapid change.

Thus, the regional and national recognition accorded Dr. Sherburne in continuing education and extension was well deserved.
We, the members of the Board, then take this opportunity to make public expression of our gratitude to Dr. Sherburne for his outstanding contributions to higher education and to the state as a whole. We take additional pleasure from the fact that he will move from administration into teaching, another field in which the record of Sherburne excellence is clear. And we wish him and his charming wife, Mildred, abundant success and happiness in the future.

Upon motion by Mrs. Johnson, the Board adopted the resolution as presented.

Reorganization Plan for Chancellor's Office

The Chancellor then indicated the following changes in the organization of the Chancellor's staff and requested Board approval of the proposed changes before they are included in the 1969-70 budget:

Appointment of Dr. Duane Andrews as Director of the Division of Continuing Education under the surveillance of the Office of Academic Affairs.

Appointment of Dr. James Beaird to succeed Dr. Jack Edling as Director of the Division of Teaching Research, and the placing of this division under the Office of Academic Affairs.

Appointment of Mr. Keith Jackson as Budget Officer under the Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs.

Continuation of Mr. R. L. Collins as Secretary of the Board, with the added designation of Administrative Assistant to the Chancellor.

Separation from the Office of Business Affairs of the operation of the Office of Facilities Planning and appointment of Mr. J. I. Hunderup as Vice Chancellor for Facilities Planning. This change is expected to permit increased emphasis on the development of campus plans and will carry with it the general surveillance of physical plant operation and maintenance.

It is anticipated that most of these changes would be effective July 1, 1969.

The Chancellor also indicated that Mr. H. A. Bork would continue as Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs until Mr. Freeman Holmer arrives in late September, and that Mr. Bork would also continue to serve on a full-time basis until November 1, after which he would be budgeted at 50 percent time.

The Board approved the plan as presented.
The Chancellor introduced Dr. Roy A. Young, who has been designated as Acting President of Oregon State University, effective June 15. He indicated that President Jensen would be placed on leave of absence with the title of Professor of Botany and Plant Pathology, and that it was anticipated that at a later date he might return to teaching and research activities at Oregon State University.

The Chancellor stated that Mr. Forrester was presiding at his last meeting as President of the Board and would be retiring to the newspaper business. He asked Mr. John W. Snider to present the following resolution:

Resolution of the Oregon State Board of Higher Education
On the Tenth Day of June, 1969
Honoring President J. W. Forrester, Jr.

We associates of J. W. (Bud) Forrester, Jr., wish to express our profound appreciation for:

--- His outstanding public service performance as a member of the State Board of Higher Education since 1957.

--- His quiet leadership as president and, before that, in various committee capacities.

--- The sound judgment, stability, and wisdom he has brought to the Board's councils during a period of great growth and equally great problems.

--- The confidence he has instilled among the institutional executives, legislators, the governor, and the public at large.

--- His excellent effort, both in his Board capacity and as a private citizen, to advance higher educational opportunity in Oregon.

For these and many other reasons, we voice our gratitude to Mr. Forrester -- and know that our sentiments are echoed by former associates and many other Oregonians who understand the impact his public service has had upon public higher education.

We wish him and his charming wife, Eleanor, every happiness in the future -- and know that his efforts for increased educational opportunity will continue.

Upon motion by Mr. Snider, the Board adopted the resolution as presented.
Meeting #374-94

Upon motion by Mr. Joss, the Board voted to recess the meeting until 10:00 A.M. (PDT), June 11, 1969, in Room 201, Division of Continuing Education Building, Portland, Oregon.

The Board reconvened at 10:00 A.M. (PDT) on June 11, 1969, in Room 201, Division of Continuing Education Building, Portland, Oregon.

Mr. George H. Layman, Vice President of the Board, called the meeting to order at 10:00 (PDT), A.M. and on roll call the following answered present:

Mr. Chas. R. Holloway                     Mr. Ancil H. Payne
Mrs. Elizabeth H. Johnson                 Mr. John W. Snider
Mr. Philip A. Joss                        Mr. George H. Layman

Absent: R. E. Purvine, M.D., and Mr. Ray T. Yasui were absent for business reasons; Mr. J. W. Forrester, Jr. was absent for personal reasons.
The Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs reported that at 9:00 A.M., Pacific Daylight time, on June 11, 1969, sealed bids were received, with Mr. James C. George, Investment Officer of the State Treasury Department, present, pursuant to an advertisement authorized by the Board of Higher Education at its regular meeting on April 21, 1969, for the proposed sale of $7,290,000 State of Oregon, State Board of Higher Education Building Bonds, Series 1969 A. The bonds were to be sold at not less than par value thereof. Bids received for the bonds were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Bidder</th>
<th>Designated Maturities</th>
<th>Interest Rate</th>
<th>Total Coupon Interest Cost</th>
<th>Deduct Premium</th>
<th>Total Aggregate Interest Cost</th>
<th>Effective Interest Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harris Trust and Savings Bank,</td>
<td>1972-1988</td>
<td>7.50%</td>
<td>$8,273,017.50</td>
<td>$196.91</td>
<td>$8,272,820.59</td>
<td>5.7494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First National Bank of Oregon,</td>
<td>1990-1992</td>
<td>5.75%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Chase</td>
<td>1993-1996</td>
<td>5.80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manhattan Bank, N.A., and Associates</td>
<td>1997-1999</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>$8,273,017.50</td>
<td>$196.91</td>
<td>$8,272,820.59</td>
<td>5.7494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Errill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner, &amp; Smith, Inc., and Associates</td>
<td>1972-1983</td>
<td>7.50%</td>
<td>$8,318,167.50</td>
<td>$1,312.20</td>
<td>$8,316,855.30</td>
<td>5.78000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan Guaranty Trust Company, and New York, and Associates</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>7.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First National City Bank, Bankers Trust Company, and Associates</td>
<td>1985-1988</td>
<td>5.70%</td>
<td>$8,336,487.00</td>
<td>$2,277.00</td>
<td>$8,334,210.00</td>
<td>5.7921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuhn, Loeb &amp; Co., and Associates</td>
<td>1987-1989</td>
<td>5.75%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990-1997</td>
<td>5.80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998-1999</td>
<td>4.50%</td>
<td>$8,366,240.00</td>
<td>$867.51</td>
<td>$8,365,372.49</td>
<td>5.8137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997-1999</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>$8,377,035.00</td>
<td>$1,020.60</td>
<td>$8,376,014.40</td>
<td>5.821123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Bidder</td>
<td>Designated Maturities</td>
<td>Interest Rate</td>
<td>Total Coupon Interest Cost</td>
<td>Deduct Premium</td>
<td>Total Aggregate Interest Cost</td>
<td>Effect Inter. Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dillon Read</td>
<td>1972-1984</td>
<td>7.50%</td>
<td>$8,394,100.00</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>$8,388,100.00</td>
<td>5.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipals</td>
<td>1985-1986</td>
<td>5.75%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorporated</td>
<td>1991-1996</td>
<td>5.90%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997-1999</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
<td>$8,394,100.00</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>$8,388,100.00</td>
<td>5.829</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halsey, Stuart &amp; Co. Inc.</td>
<td>1972-1986</td>
<td>7.50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continental</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>6.90%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>1988-1997</td>
<td>5.80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Bank &amp; Trust Co. of Chicago, and Associates</td>
<td>1998-1999</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>$8,390,670.00</td>
<td>$2,405.70</td>
<td>$8,388,264.30</td>
<td>5.82963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The First</td>
<td>1972-1983</td>
<td>6.50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>6.30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank of Chicago, and Associates</td>
<td>1989-1993</td>
<td>5.75%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994-1999</td>
<td>5.80%</td>
<td>$8,450,117.50</td>
<td>$579.50</td>
<td>$8,449,538.00</td>
<td>5.87222</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank of America</td>
<td>1972-1973</td>
<td>7.50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. T. &amp; S. A., and Associates</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>6.10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>5.60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981-1985</td>
<td>5.70%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986-1988</td>
<td>5.75%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989-1991</td>
<td>5.80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992-1999</td>
<td>5.90%</td>
<td>$8,498,942.50</td>
<td>$962.00</td>
<td>$8,497,980.50</td>
<td>5.9058</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Following the reading of the bids, the Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs indicated that the most favorable bid was that of Harris Trust and Savings Bank, First National Bank of Oregon, The Chase Manhattan Bank, N.A., and Associates with a total aggregate interest cost of $8,272,820.59 and an effective interest rate of 5.7494 percent. He also stated that the bonds were to be in denominations of $5,000. He recommended, with the concurrence of the Chancellor, that said bid be accepted.

It was moved by Director Payne that the bid of Harris Trust and Savings Bank, First National Bank of Oregon, The Chase Manhattan Bank, N.A., and Associates be accepted. The Vice President of the Board stated that it had been moved that the Board accept the bid as indicated.

On roll call vote the Board voted on the adoption of the motion as follows: Those voting yes: Directors Holloway, Johnson, Joss, Layman, Payne and Snider.

Those voting no: None.

The Vice President of the Board thereupon declared the motion carried unanimously.

With the concurrence of the Chancellor, the Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs recommended that the following resolution be adopted:
RESOLUTION

BE IT RESOLVED that the SEVEN MILLION TWO HUNDRED NINETY THOUSAND DOLLARS ($7,290,000) STATE OF OREGON, STATE BOARD OF HIGHER EDUCATION BUILDING BONDS, SERIES 1969 A sold at 100.0027 on the 11th day of June, 1969, be issued to bear date the 15th day of April, 1969; to bear interest at the rate of 7.50 percent per annum for the bonds of the issue maturing on April 15, 1972 to 1988 inclusive, at the rate of 5.70 percent per annum for the bonds of the issue maturing on April 15 of the year 1989, at the rate of 5.75 percent per annum for the bonds of the issue maturing on April 15 of the years 1990 to 1992 inclusive, at the rate of 5.80 percent per annum for the bonds of the issue maturing on April 15 of the years 1993 to 1996 inclusive, at the rate of 4.00 percent per annum for the bonds of the issue maturing on April 15 of the years 1997 to 1999; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the bonds be issued to mature serially on the dates provided in the resolution of the Board duly adopted at the regular meeting of the Board duly and legally held on April 21, 1969, and that both the principal of and interest upon the bonds be paid at the Fiscal Agency of the State of Oregon, in the City and State of New York; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the said bonds and coupons annexed thereto be executed in the form prescribed in the resolution adopted by the Board at the meeting thereof held on April 21, 1969; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the bonds be in denominations of $5,000, as provided in the resolution adopted by the Board at the April 21, 1969 meeting; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the April 21, 1969 resolution of the Board authorizing the issuance of said bonds, and all acts performed by Board in adopting the said resolution, and by the Secretary and Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs in connection with the issuance and sale of said bonds, be and they hereby are fully approved, ratified, and confirmed.

It was moved by Director Payne that the above resolution be adopted. The Vice President of the Board stated that it had been moved that the above resolution be approved.

On roll call vote the Board voted on the adoption of the motion as follows: Those voting yes: Directors Holloway, Johnson, Joss, Layman, Payne and Snider.

Those voting no: None.

The Vice President of the Board thereupon declared the resolution duly adopted by a unanimous vote.
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PERSONNEL

June 10-11, 1969

Adjustments & Appointments

It was recommended that the following personnel adjustments and appointments as submitted by the Institutional Executives concerned be approved, the necessary funds being provided in the 1968-69 budgets, or as indicated. Unless otherwise stated, appointments and adjustments in salary, rank, title, and months of service are effective April 1, 1969. The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

Budgeted Name, Rank, and/or Title, Department

Mo. of Present Recommended Source

Service Salary Rate Salary Rate of Funds

Oregon State University

Dr. Norman R. Goetze, Professor, Jordan Wheat Research Project

12 $15,720 $17,292 Grant

(Increase to provide overseas differential, effective May 1 to June 2, 1969.)

12 15,036 18,000 Grant

(To bring his salary in line with others engaged in the same project; effective May 1, 1969.)

Dr. A. W. Anderson, Professor of Microbiology

12 15,336 17,328 Budget

(To retain his services; effective May 1, 1969.)

Dr. Warren C. Hovland, Professor, Department of Religious Studies

9-12 16,920 21,842 Budget

(Increased responsibilities, President's Commission on University Goals.)

Dr. Emery N. Castle, Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics

12 23,880 25,080 Budget

(Increased responsibilities, President's Commission on University Goals.)

Dr. James G. Knudsen, Professor, School of Engineering

12 23,316 24,516 Budget

(Increased responsibilities, President's Commission on University Goals.)

University of Oregon

Mr. Edward Ray Blodgett, Safety and Security Supervisor

12 9,600 10,320 Budget

(Change in title to Assistant Director of Safety and Security, Instructor; effective March 21, 1969.)

Dr. Philip K. Fiele, Assistant Professor of Education and Associate Director of ERIC

9 11,500 13,000 Budget

(Designation as Director of ERIC; effective June 16, 1969.)

Mr. John A. Robinson, Assistant Football Coach with rank of Assistant Professor of Physical Education

12 11,210 13,210 Budget

(Promotion to position vacated by Mr. Max B. Coley; effective March 1, 1969; no change in title or rank.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budgeted Name, Rank, and/or Title, Department</th>
<th>Mo. of Service</th>
<th>Present Salary Rate</th>
<th>Recommended Salary Rate</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of Oregon Medical School</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Richard E. Bailey, Associate Professor, Department of Medicine</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$19,055</td>
<td>$23,555</td>
<td>Grant (Funds provided in grant; effective February 1, 1969.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. George Porter, Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>Grant (Increase provided in grant; effective January 1, 1969.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Miles J. Edwards, Associate Professor of Medicine</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20,200</td>
<td>24,200</td>
<td>Grant (Increase provided in grant.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Edward J. Goldblatt, Program Coordinator and Assistant Professor of Public Health and Preventive Medicine</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>Grant (Increase provided in grant.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Delbert M. Kole, Coordinator for Project Development and Community Organization and Assistant Professor of Psychiatry</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>Grant (Increase provided in grant.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Charles J. Zerzan, Director of the Circuit Postgraduate Program</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>Budget (In recognition of outstanding work in developing and implementing the circuit courses.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following gifts and grants to the institutions have been approved for acceptance and expenditure by the institutions and the Board’s Office in accordance with Board action on January 27-28, 1964. It was recommended that the Secretary of the Board be authorized to make suitable acknowledgement to the donors and grantors. The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

Oregon State University

Scholarships & Fellowships

Gifts totaling $16,034.93 from the following donors for scholarships and fellowships:

Albany Parent-Teacher Association Coordinating Committee, Albany $ 121.00
Alcoa Foundation, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 1,200.00
American Legion Auxiliary, Department of Oregon, Dundee 150.00
Bank of America, Santa Rosa, California 123.00
B N Mobile Homes, McMinnville 132.00
School District No. 6, Central Point 766.65
Douglas Medical Foundation, Roseburg 104.30
Grants Pass Public Schools 312.00
Elks Lodge, Hepner 200.00
Ford Motor Company Fund, Dearborn, Michigan 583.00
Forest Clinic Foundation, Spokane, Washington 166.66
Foundry Educational Foundation, Cleveland, Ohio 660.00
Dwight D. Gardner Scholarship Fund, Columbus, Ohio 250.00
International Association of Machinists, Washington, D.C. 330.00
Lions Club, McMinnville 132.00
Massachusetts Life Fund, Salem 200.00
McLoughlin Union High School, Milton-Freewater 200.00
National Society Daughters of the American Revolution, Washington, D.C. 123.00
Oregon Association of Future Farmers of America, Salem 900.00
Oregon Congress of Parents and Teachers, Portland 1,494.00
Oregon Federation of Business and Professional Women's Clubs, Portland 500.00
Oregon State University Folk Club, Corvallis 467.42
Oregon State University Foundation, Corvallis 243.00
Oregon State University Mothers' Club, Corvallis 3,198.00
Portland Rose Festival Association, Portland 550.20
Mr. and Mrs. Ray H. Reeser, Eugene 123.00
Rotary Club, Florence 125.00
Seattle-First National Bank, Richland, Washington 460.00
Sherman County Scholarship Association, Moro 100.00
South Salem High School, Salem 150.00
Southwest Optimist Club of Portland 150.00
Western Kraft Corporation, Portland 1,320.00
Woolley Logging Company, Drain 499.00
Grant of $1,500 from the Academy for Educational Development, Inc., New York City, "to cover many small services provided to the State Department of Education and to local school districts and occasionally to other educational associations. This particular grant is a travel expense advance." The grant is under the direction of Dr. Keith Goldhammer, Dean of the School of Education.

Grant of $1,182 from Barry Research, Palo Alto, California, for research entitled, "Engine Performance with Wide-Gap Spark Plugs," April 13 through July 14, 1969, under the direction of Mr. Hans J. Dahlke, Associate Professor of Mechanical Engineering.

Grant of $5,297 from the Clackamas County Department of Welfare, Oregon City, Oregon, "to accommodate expenditures for an employment training program to be conducted by the Oregon State University Cooperative Extension Service," April 14 through July 14, 1969, under the direction of Miss Bernice Strawn, Extension Home Management Specialist.

Grant of $7,500 from Columbia University - The American Assembly, New York City, "to accommodate expenditures for a regional assembly on Uses of the Seas," beginning April 1969, under the direction of Dr. Herbert F. Frolander, Professor of Oceanography.

Gift of a 116 Thermocouple Welder, valued at $625, from Dynatech Corporation, Cambridge, Massachusetts, for use in the Department of Mechanical, Industrial and Nuclear Engineering.

Grants totaling $117,600 from the National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., for research, training programs, and other purposes, as follows:

1. $82,000 - "Optical Proportion of Carbohydrates," April 1, 1969, through March 31, 1971, under the direction of Dr. Walter C. Johnson, Jr., Assistant Professor of Biochemistry and Biophysics.

2. $7,800 - "To accommodate expenditures for costs under a Student Research Training Program," April 1, 1969, through March 31, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Joel W. Hedgpath, Professor of Oceanography.

3. $27,800 - "Laser Plume Spectroscopy," April 15, 1969, through April 14, 1971, under the direction of Dr. Edward H. Piepmeier, Assistant Professor of Chemistry.

Grant of $2,500 from the Oregon Heart Association, Portland, for research entitled, "Arterial Enzymes and Metabolism," April 17, 1969, through August 31, 1969, under the direction of Dr. Wilbert Gamble, Assistant Professor of Biochemistry.
Oregon Seed Council

Grant of $9,780 from the Oregon Seed Council "to accommodate expenditures for a public education program relating to the Oregon Seed industry and its relationship to air quality and field sanitation," March 3 through September 6, 1969, under the direction of Mr. Jean Willard Scheel, Assistant Director, Federal Cooperative Extension Service.

OSU Foundation

Grants totaling $22,084 from the Oregon State University Foundation, Corvallis, for salary payments as follows:

1. $2,084 - "To provide a portion of the salary of one staff member," during the 1968-69 academic year.

2. $20,000 - To pay the salary for Dr. Lamar P. Bupp, who has been appointed to the newly created "Electric Power Industry Chair in Nuclear Engineering," July 1, 1969, through June 30, 1970, under the direction of Dean G. W. Gleeson, Dean of the School of Engineering.

U. S. Air Force


U. S. Atomic Energy Commission

Grants totaling $63,893 from the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Richland, Washington, for research as follows:

1. $43,000 - "Radiation Chemistry, Photochemistry and Excited States of (a) Oxyanions and (b) DNA," January 1 through December 31, 1969, under the direction of Dr. Malcolm Daniels, Associate Professor, Chemical Radiation Center.

2. $20,893 - "The Role of Naturally Occurring Quinones in Photosynthesis," January 1 through December 31, 1969, under the direction of Dr. Norman I. Bishop, Professor of Botany.

U. S. Office of Education

Grants totaling $652,283 from the U. S. Office of Education, Washington, D.C., for research, training programs, institutes, and other purposes, as follows:

1. $60,000 - To carry costs for an "Institute for Junior College Student Personnel Specialists, Teaching Staff and Administrators," June 1, 1969, through June 1, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Lester Beals, Professor of Education.

2. $5,000 - "Personalized Education Program To Improve Education of Neglected Youth, Phase I," April 11, 1969, through April 10, 1970, under the direction of Mr. Ronald E. Kaiser, Unit Director, Research Coordinating Unit.
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3. $1,000 - To accommodate expenditures for an instructional program entitled, "Planning Grant for Pre-Service Training," April 7 through May 31, 1969, under the direction of Mr. Ronald G. Petrie, Instructor in Education.

4. $240,205 - "To provide Educational Opportunity Grants to students to further their education," July 1, 1969, through June 30, 1970, under the direction of Mr. Richard E. Pahre, Director of Financial Aid.

5. $338,335 - "To provide funds for the participants of the Work-Study program," January 1 through December 31, 1969, under the direction of Mr. Richard E. Pahre.

6. $7,743 - "Issues and Problems in Elementary School Administration," June 18, 1968, through December 31, 1969, under the direction of Dr. Keith Goldhammer, Dean of the School of Education. This grant is to increase the travel category.

U. S. Dept. of the Interior

Grants totaling $49,648 from the U. S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C., for research and other purposes, as follows:

1. $1,608 - "The Employment of Weather Satellite Imagery in an Effort to Identify and Locate the Forest-Tundra Ecotone in Canada," April 9 through September 8, 1969, under the direction of Dr. R. D. Rudd, Professor of Geography. This is a grant under the Geological Survey of the Department of the Interior.

2. $26,240 - "Impairment of the Flavor of Fish by Water Pollutants," April 1, 1969, through March 31, 1970, under the direction of Mr. Dean L. Shumway, Assistant Professor of Fisheries.

3. $4,000 - "To accommodate expenditures for Computer Services," January 1 through December 31, 1969, under the direction of Dr. D. D. Aufenkamp, Director, Computer Center.

4. $17,800 - "Fault Locator Logic System," January 1 through December 31, 1969, under the direction of Mr. H. A. Perkins, Assistant Professor of Electrical and Electronic Engineering. This grant is from the Bonneville Power Administration.

U. S. Dept. of the Navy, Office of Naval Research

Grants totaling $52,500 from the U. S. Navy Department, Office of Naval Research, for research, as follows:

1. $27,500 - "Ecology of the Eastern Pacific Ocean," February 1, 1969, through January 31, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Joel W. Hedgepeth, Director, Marine Science Center.

2. $25,000 - "Glass Delay Lines in Computer Memories," February 1, 1969, through December 31, 1970, under the direction of Dr. D. D. Aufenkamp, Director, Computer Center.
Grants totaling $242,357 from the U. S. Public Health Service, Washington, D.C., for research, fellowships, and other purposes, as follows:

1. $4,151 - "Predoctoral fellowship award in behalf of John W. Carnegie," May 1 through December 31, 1969, under the direction of Dr. George S. Beaudreau, Associate Professor of Agricultural Chemistry.

2. $46,948 - "Effects of Pesticides on Estuarine Organisms," May 1, 1969, through April 30, 1970, under the direction of Dr. R. E. Millemann, Associate Professor of Fisheries.


4. $27,350 - "Metabolism of Photosynthetic Mutants of Algae," January 1 through December 31, 1969, under the direction of Dr. Norman I. Bishop, Associate Professor of Botany.

5. $6,100 - "Predoctoral Fellowship Award in behalf of Stephen G. Martin," March 25, 1969, through March 24, 1970, under the direction of Dr. John A. Wiens, Assistant Professor of Zoology.

6. $34,960 - "Histone-Nucleic Acid Interactions," April 1, 1969, through March 31, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Irvin Isenberg, Professor of Biophysics.

7. $26,160 - "Biochemistry of Procarbazine and Related Hydrazines," May 1, 1969, through April 30, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Donald J. Reed, Professor of Chemistry - Radiation Center.

8. $16,312 - "Nutritional Imbalance and Metabolic Alterations," May 1, 1969, through April 30, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Leo W. Parks, Professor of Microbiology.

Grants to the several Agricultural Experiment Station departments and divisions for research work and other purposes from various donors, as follows:

- Agricultural Research Foundation
  - $9,043.13 - Representing the value of equipment transferred from the Agricultural Research Foundation to the various departments and branch stations.

- Amchem Products, Inc.
  - $500 - "Effects of Growth Regulators on Tree Fruit Growth and Development," under the direction of Dr. M. N. Westwood, Professor of Horticulture.
  - $500 - "Weed Control," under the direction of Dr. A. P. Appleby, Associate Professor of Agronomy.
Dow Chemical Company

$200 - "Control of Mites on Fruit Trees," under the direction of Dr. R. W. Zwick, Assistant Professor of Entomology.

$1,000 - "A Study of Disease of Vegetable Crops," under the direction of Dr. E. K. Vaughan, Professor of Plant Pathology.

E. I. duPont de Nemours & Company

$3,000 - "Weed Control Program," under the direction of Dr. W. R. Furtick, Professor of Agronomy.

$1,000 - "To support the testing of Benlate for control of fruit diseases," under the direction of Mr. Iain C. MacSwain, Extension Plant Specialist.

Herman Frasch Foundation

$2,325 - "A Study of the Effect of 2,4D on Protein and Nucleic Acid Biosynthesis," under the direction of Mr. Roy O. Morris, Research Associate in Chemistry.

Holly Society of America, Inc.

$100 - "Holly Research," under the direction of Dr. R. L. Ticknor, Associate Professor of Horticulture.

Iowa State University of Science and Technology

$14,426.03 - "Irrigation Feasibility," under the direction of Dr. Frank J. Conklin, Associate Professor of Agricultural Economics, and Dr. J. E. Vomocil, Extension Soil Specialist. The grant is to be distributed as follows: Agricultural Economics $6,193.56, and Soils $8,232.47.

Josephine County

$2,000 - "For the support of agronomic research in Josephine County," under the direction of Mr. J. A. Yungen, Assistant Professor of Agronomy.

Mallorie Dairy

$5,940 - "Comparative Values of Corn and Corn Waste Silages for Milk Production in Dairy Cattle," under the direction of Dr. R. H. Kliewer, Assistant Professor of Animal Science.

National Association of Animal Breeders

$2,000 - "Microstructure of Spermatozoa and Ova and the Relationship of DNA in Sperm Nuclei to Fertility of Cattle," under the direction of Dr. Arthur S. H. Wu, Associate Professor of Animal Physiology.

Northwest Pulp and Paper Association

$7,500 - "Research on the Effects of Pulp and Paper Waste on Fish," under the direction of Dr. Charles E. Warren, Professor of Fisheries.

The Oregon Cattlemen's Association

$806,35 - "Impact of Changes in Market Structure and Technology on the Beef Cattle Industry," under the direction of Dr. James Youde, Assistant Professor of Agricultural Economics.

Oregon Feedstuffs Transportation Committee

$5,000 - "For the purpose of expanding the feed grain research program at the Pendleton Station," under the direction of Dr. C. R. Rohde, Professor of Agronomy.

Oregon Orchard-grass Seed Producers Commission

$1,000 - "Billbug Control Program," under the direction of Dr. James Kamm, Research Entomologist.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oregon State Dept. of Agriculture</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>&quot;Economics of Producing and Utilizing Feed Grains in the Pacific Northwest,&quot; under the direction of Dr. James G. Youde, Professor of Agricultural Economics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon State Fish Commission</td>
<td>$3,224.10</td>
<td>&quot;A Study of Chum and Pink Salmon Propagation in Stream Side Incubators,&quot; under the direction of Dr. W. J. McNeil, Professor of Fisheries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$88,250 - &quot;Development of Shad Industry,&quot; &quot;Utilization of Hake, Dogfish, and By-Products of the Fillet Industry for Protein Supplements,&quot; &quot;Preparation of Marine Protein Concentrate from Hake,&quot; and &quot;Nutrition of Salmonid Fishes,&quot; under the direction of Dr. David L. Crawford, Program Director; Dr. James Langler, Assistant Professor of Food Science and Technology; and Dr. D. K. Law, Associate Professor of Food Science and Technology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon State Game Commission</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
<td>&quot;Effects of Logging on Fish Populations,&quot; under the direction of Dr. James D. Hall, Associate Professor of Fisheries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$800 - &quot;Investigation of Pathogenic vibrio in Lint Slough,&quot; under the direction of Dr. J. L. Fryer, Associate Professor of Microbiology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$12,626 - &quot;Steelhead Racial Study,&quot; under the direction of Dr. R. C. Simon, Leader of Cooperative Fisheries Unit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Strawberry Commission</td>
<td>$27,080</td>
<td>&quot;Research and Programs to Benefit the Oregon Strawberry Industry,&quot; under the direction of Dr. Ralph Garren, Associate Professor of Horticulture; Dr. R. M. Bullock, Professor of Horticulture; Dr. R. G. Rosenstiel, Associate Professor of Entomology; Dr. R. E. Wrolstad, Assistant Professor of Food Science and Technology; Mr. D. E. Booster, Associate Professor of Agricultural Engineering. The funds are to be distributed as follows: Food Science and Technology, $6,270; Horticulture, $8,100; Agricultural Engineering, $4,350; North Willamette Station, $7,550; and Entomology, $810.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhodia, Inc.</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>&quot;Weed Control,&quot; under the direction of Dr. Arnold P. Appleby, Associate Professor of Agronomy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rohm &amp; Haas Company</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>&quot;Weed Control Research,&quot; under the direction of Mr. W. A. Sheets, Sr., Instructor in Horticulture, and Dr. R. L. Ticknor, Associate Professor of Horticulture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$500 - &quot;Evaluation of Dikar for Control of Apple Scab and Powdery Mildew,&quot; under the direction of Mr. Iain MacSwan, Extension Plant Pathology Specialist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stauffer Chemical Company</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>&quot;Research Work with Insecticides,&quot; under the direction of Dr. R. E. Berry, Assistant Professor of Entomology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>&quot;Weed Research,&quot; under the direction of Dr. W. R. Furtick, Professor of Agronomy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
$370 - "Improvement of Forage and Turf Crops by Breeding," under the direction of Dr. R. V. Frakes, Associate Professor of Plant Breeding.

$1,000 - "A Study of Control of Parasitic Nematodes," under the direction of Dr. Harold Jensen, Professor of Nematology.

$30,000 - "Evaluate Potential Contributions National Farmer Bargaining Boards Make to Farmer Bargaining Power," under the direction of Dr. Leon Garoian, Professor of Agricultural Economics.

$10,000 - "Climatic Factors--Postharvest--Pears, Apples," under the direction of Mr. W. M. Mellenthin, Professor of Horticulture.

$2,000 - "To study the effect of balsam woolly aphid infestations on cambial activity of grand fir," under the direction of Dr. Frank H. Smith, Professor of Botany.

$171 - "Seed Research," under the direction of Dr. R. V. Frakes, Associate Professor of Plant Breeding.

Scholarships & Fellowships

Gifts totaling $1,645.63 from the following donors for scholarships and fellowships:

- American Legion Auxiliary of Oregon $150.00
- Britt Memorial Fund, Medford 116.00
- The Carpenter Foundation Scholarship, through School District No. 6, Central Point 466.63
- Lions Club, McMinnville 196.00
- Mildred Litster Scholarship Trust, Medford 417.00
- The Sears-Roebuck Foundation, Hayward, California 300.00

American Chemical Society

Grant of $10,000 from the American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C., for research entitled, "Stereochemistry of Hydrocarbon Rearrangements," May 1, 1969, through August 31, 1970, under the direction of Dr. John Baldwin, Professor of Chemistry.

American Council of Learned Societies

Grant of $2,500 from the American Council of Learned Societies, New York City, "in partial support of a conference to be held at the University of Essex involving Czechoslovakian and Yugoslavian colleagues of InCERBS personnel," under the direction of Dr. Robert E. Agger, Director, Institute for Comparative Experimental Research on Behavioral Systems.

The E. C. Brown Trust

Grant of $10,000 from The E. C. Brown Trust, Portland, "for the establishment of the Brown Center for Family Studies," under the direction of Dr. Theodore B. Johannis, Jr., Department of Sociology.
Grant of $12,000 from the Educational Coordinating Council, Salem, for support of a program entitled, "Regional Studies and Seminars on County Land Use Development," January 1 through December 31, 1969, under the direction of Mr. Kenneth Tollenaar, Acting Director, Bureau of Governmental Research.

Gift of $100 from the French Government and French Cultural Services, San Francisco, California, "for the Department of Romance Languages at the request of Mr. Henry Monteagle, Services Culturels Francais," to be used under the direction of Acting President C. E. Johnson.

Grants totaling $145,200 from the National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., for research, training programs, equipment, and other purposes, as follows:

1. $25,000 - "Thermogenesis and Heat Retention in Lower Vertebrates," May 1, 1969, through April 30, 1971, under the direction of Dr. Walter R. Moberly, Assistant Professor of Biology.

2. $79,300 - "Leadership Preparation for the Implementation of Man: A Course of Study," May 1, 1969, through July 31, 1970, under the direction of Dr. John H. Hansen, Associate Professor of Education.

3. $15,000 - "For the purchase of a Flash Photolysis and Fluorometry System," May 1, 1969, through April 30, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Virgil Boekelheide, Professor and Head of the Chemistry Department.

4. $23,000 - "Groups and Rings," May 1, 1969, through April 30, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Charles W. Curtis and Dr. David K. Harrison, Professors of Mathematics.

5. $2,900 - "Status Discussion Meeting on the Concept of Resonance in Subatomic Physics," April 15, 1969, through April 14, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Michael J. Moravcsik, Professor of Physics.

Grant of $1,000 from the Oregon Tuberculosis and Health Association, Portland, "to cover the final expenses of the Community Health Information Project," under the direction of Dr. Warren E. Smith, and Dr. Robert E. Kime, Associate Professors of Health Education.

Grant of $5,300 from the Research Corporation, New York City, for research entitled, "The Fine Structure of Spore Development in Selected Deuteromycetes," June 1, 1969, through May 31, 1971, under the direction of Dr. George C. Carroll, Assistant Professor of Biology.
Teleprompter of Oregon  Gift of coaxial cable and coaxial fittings, valued at $488, from Teleprompter of Oregon, Eugene, for use in the Division of Broadcast Services and Televised Instruction, under the direction of Mr. Ronald E. Sherriffs, Acting Director of the Division.


U. S. Dept. of Health, Education & Welfare  Grants totaling $1,694,805 from the U. S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Washington, D.C., for research and training grants, as follows:

1. $41,501 - "Psychological Assessment of Patients with Brain Damage," April 1, 1969, through March 31, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Peter M. Lewinsohn, Professor of Psychology.

2. $848,100 - "NDEA Title IV Graduate Fellowship Program for 1969-70," for the 1969-70 academic year, under the direction of the Graduate School and various departments.


4. $25,000 - "For development of a Special Education Component in the University Affiliated Center in Eugene," June 1, 1969, through June 30, 1970, under the direction of Dr. F. Arthur Benson, Associate Professor, College of Education.

5. $325,874 - "For support of the College Work Study Program," July 1 through December 31, 1969, under the direction of Dr. Nickerson.

6. $4,650 - "Supplemental stipend funds for additional dependency allowances for participants in the Institute - Advanced Study Program for Teachers of Disadvantaged Youth," during the 1968 summer session, under the direction of Dr. Harold Abel, Associate Dean, College of Education.

7. $46,800 - "Experienced Teacher Fellowships Program -- Support Personnel," December 23, 1968, through June 30, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Henry F. Dizney, Associate Professor of Education.
8. $21,600 - "Title V, Part E Fellowship Program in Accounting for 1969-70," March 15, 1969, through September 30, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Leona Tyler, Dean of the Graduate School, and Mr. Paul Frishkoff, Assistant Professor of Accounting.

9. $49,920 - "Fellowship Program for Library Training for 1969-70," March 14, 1969, through September 30, 1970, under the direction of Dr. LeRoy Merritt, Dean of the School of Librarianship, and Dr. Leona Tyler, Dean of the Graduate School.

10. $10,603 - "Supplemental funds for The Salishan Conference To Be Held March 24-25, 1969," February 7 through August 15, 1969, under the direction of Dr. Robert Mattson, Chairman of the Department of Special Education.

U. S. Office of Economic Opportunity
Grant of $92,600 from the U. S. Office of Economic Opportunity, to provide supplemental funds for the VISTA program, January 31 through August 17, 1969, under the direction of Dr. R. N. Lowe, Professor of Education.

U. S. Navy Dept., Office of Naval Research
Grant of $68,392 from the U. S. Department of the Navy, Office of Naval Research, Washington, D.C., for research entitled, "Conflict Control Training in Small Groups: A Social Learning Approach," January 1 through December 31, 1969, under the direction of Dr. Robert C. Ziller, Research Associate, Center for the Advanced Study of Educational Administration; Dr. R. L. Weiss, Professor of Psychology; Dr. Gerald Patterson, Professor of Special Education.

Rehabilitation Services Administration
Grant of $18,342 from the Rehabilitation Services Administration, Washington, D.C., "to provide a teaching grant in undergraduate education for rehabilitation and other helping services," March 25, 1969, through March 24, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Herbert Bisno, Professor, School of Community Service and Public Affairs.

U. S. Public Health Service
Grants totaling $309,716 from the U. S. Public Health Service, Washington, D.C., for research, supplies, and other purposes, as follows:

1. $5,286 - "Syntheses Related to Cardioactive Natural Product," September 1, 1968, through August 31, 1969, under the direction of Dr. Virgil Boekelheide, Professor and Head of the Department of Chemistry.

2. $28,439 - "Enzymatic Mechanisms in Ricinine Metabolism," June 1, 1969, through May 31, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Allan R. Larrabee, Assistant Professor of Chemistry.

3. $750 - "Postdoctoral supply allowance on behalf of Fred Delcomyn," March 10 through December 9, 1969, under the direction of Dr. Graham Hoyle, Professor of Biology.
4. $77,001 - "Biomedical Sciences Support Grant," June 1, 1969, through May 31, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Leona Tyler, Dean of the Graduate School.

5. $43,335 - "Peripheral and Central Studies of Neural Organization," May 1, 1969, through April 30, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Melvin J. Cohen, Professor of Biology.

6. $5,000 - "New Stable Nitroxide Chemistry," May 1, 1969, through April 30, 1970, under the direction of Dr. John F. Keana, Assistant Professor of Chemistry.

7. $47,570 - "Interactions of Nucleotides and Proteins," April 1, 1969, through March 31, 1970, under the direction of Dr. John A. Schellman, Professor of Chemistry.

8. $40,905 - "Mobility Stress and College Success: A Replication," May 1, 1969, through April 30, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Robert A. Ellis, Professor of Sociology.

9. $20,791 - "Studies on Organ Formation in Excised Root Cultures," May 1, 1969, through April 30, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Howard T. Bonnett, Jr., Associate Professor of Biology.

10. $23,427 - "Control and Mechanism of Protein Chain Termination," May 1, 1969, through April 30, 1970, under the direction of Dr. John Menninger, Assistant Professor of Biology and Research Associate, Institute of Molecular Biology.

11. $1,000 - "Supplementary funds for dependency allowance for George D. Buelow, Predoctoral Fellow in Anthropology," April 1, 1969, through March 31, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Vernon Dorjahn, Head of the Anthropology Department.

12. $1,000 - "Supply allowance for Postdoctoral fellow Thomas M. Hooker," February 1, 1969, through January 31, 1970, under the direction of Dr. John A. Schellman, Professor of Chemistry.

13. $6,800 - "Predoctoral fellowship for James C. Riddell, Department of Anthropology," under the direction of Dr. Leona Tyler, Dean of the Graduate School, and Dr. Vernon Dorjahn, Professor of Anthropology.


15. $5,100 - "Predoctoral fellowship award on behalf of George D. Buelow," April 1, 1969, through March 31, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Vernon Dorjahn, Head of the Department of Anthropology.
Gifts to the Library:

Gifts to the University of Oregon Library from various donors as follows:

**Mrs. S. Biles**
Collection of sheet music, music periodicals and recordings, valued at $125, from Mrs. Stuart Biles, Portland.

**M. B. Bishop**
Manuscript sheet music, valued at $107, from Mrs. E. Harden Bishop, Pacific Palisades, California. The gift consists of music by Rhys-Herbert and Deems Taylor, among others.

**Miss V. Branch**
Manuscripts and correspondence of Houston Branch, from his daughter, Miss Victoria Branch, Maui, Hawaii. The gift is valued at $4,635. Mr. Branch wrote for motion pictures, radio, and television.

**Mrs. R. O. Case**
Manuscripts and correspondence of Robert Ormond Case, from Mrs. Robert Ormond Case, Decatur, Georgia. The gift is valued at $3,420.

**G. Day**
Manuscripts and correspondence, valued at $1,280, from Miss Gerry Day, Hollywood, California. Miss Day writes for television and motion pictures.

**Mrs. L. Diggins**
Correspondence and manuscripts of the late Bailey Millard, California newspaper editor and author, from his daughter, Mrs. Lawrence Diggins, Los Angeles, California. The gift is valued at $2,141.

**J. G. Hopkins**
Records of James T. Gray, prominent Oregon businessman, from Mrs. Jeanna Greenlee Hopkins, Portland. Most of the material dates from about 1905 and the gift is valued at $253.

**R. Hyndman**
Manuscripts, correspondence, and illustrations from Mr. Robert Hyndman, Morristown, New Jersey. Mr. Hyndman is a children's author, and the gift is valued at $180.

**Mrs. L. Wyndham**
Manuscripts and correspondence, valued at $1,911, from Mrs. Lee Wyndham, Morristown, New Jersey. Mrs. Wyndham is an author of books for children and young people.

Gifts to Museum of Art:

Gifts to the University of Oregon Museum of Art from various donors, as follows:

**Anonymous**
Two paintings by Morris Graves, valued at $7,000, from an anonymous donor. The paintings are "Winter's Leaves" and "Guardian with Moon."

**Mr. & Mrs. W. S. Baldinger**
Gift of three paintings, valued at $600, from Mr. and Mrs. W. S. Baldinger, Eugene, for unstipulated use in the permanent collection of the Museum of Art.

**Dr. T. O. Ballinger**
Gift of an oil painting, "Kathmandu," by Lainsingh Bangdel, from Dr. Thomas O. Ballinger, Eugene. The gift is valued at $200.
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E. Bennett
Wood sculpture, "Totem," valued at $650, from Mr. Eugene Bennett, Jacksonville.

P. Horiuchi
Painting entitled "Winter Receding," valued at $3,000, from the artist, Paul Horiuchi, Seattle.

Kerns Bequest
Collection of 29 paintings and archival material, valued at $3,325, to make up the Maude I. Kerns Memorial Collection.

N. Lane
An oil painting, "Song for Tomorrow's Totems," valued at $250, from Mr. Norman Lane, Eugene.

Dr. & Mrs. C. Moore
One share of IBM stock, valued at approximately $300, from Dr. and Mrs. Carlisle Moore, Eugene, to be used by Friends of the Museum, Graves-at-Oregon Committee, for partial payment of the acquisition of a sculpture by Morris Graves entitled, "Instrument for New Navigation."

W. O. Paulson
Japanese carved cherry-wood cabinet, valued at $1,000, from Mrs. Walter O. Paulson, Portland.

Mrs. C. B. Reichel
Two groups of Chinese and Japanese textiles and garments, valued at $775, from Mrs. Cecil B. Reichel, Medford.

G. Robinson
Prints and photographs by Northwest artists from Mr. Gerald Robinson, Portland. The gift is valued at $280.

Mrs. G. Skeie
Japanese textile (framed obi), valued at $360, from Mrs. George Skeie, Eugene.

University of Oregon Dental School

Aquatec
Gift of $120 from Aquatec, Bellevue, Washington, representing $5.00 for each Waterpic purchased as a gift during the Christmas period.

U. S. Dept. of the Navy, Office of Naval Research
Grant of $41,150 from the U. S. Department of the Navy, Office of Naval Research, Washington, D.C., for research entitled, "Factors Influencing Establishment of Oral Flora and Their Effects on Oral Tissues, with Particular Reference to Hyperbaric Condition," October 1, 1967, through September 30, 1968, under the direction of Dr. Richard B. Parker, Director of Oral Biology. This grant was specifically for the purchase of chambers for use of the Navy at the Bethesda Naval Medical Research Institute.

W. L. San
Gift of $100 from Dr. W. L. San, Hong Kong, to support activities of the Dental School, under the direction of Dean L. C. Terkla. The gift resulted from an article in the official publication of the Dental School Alumni Association.
Gift of $250 from the Women’s Auxiliary to the Oregon State Dental Association, Eugene, to increase the current Grace Cole Memorial Loan Fund, under the direction of Mr. Darwin R. Reveal, Financial Aid Officer.

Grants totaling $143,408 from the U. S. Public Health Service, Washington, D.C., for training programs and other purposes, as follows:

1. $30,792 - "Dental Clinical Cancer Training Grant," July 1, 1969, through June 30, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Norman H. Rickles, Chairman of the General and Oral Pathology Department.

2. $3,389 - "To continue medical library resource support," April 1, 1969, through March 31, 1970, under the direction of Mr. Robert M. Donnell, Librarian.

3. $109,227 - "Continuation of the Health Professions Educational Improvement Grant Program - Special Improvement Grant Program," July 1, 1969, through June 30, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Louis G. Terkla, Dean.

Gifts totaling $4,465 from the following donors for scholarships and fellowships:

Clackamas County Medical Education Foundation, Oregon City $ 500.00
Lane County Chapter of the March of Dimes, Eugene 750.00
Leukemia Society of America, Inc., Portland 315.00
St. Elizabeth Hospital Auxiliary, Baker 100.00
University of Oregon Medical School Alumni Association, Portland 2,000.00
Scholarship Committee, Women's Auxiliary to the Marion-Polk County Medical Society, Salem 800.00

Grant of $11,488.43 from the American Medical Association - Education and Research Foundation, Chicago, Illinois, "to be used in the medical education programs of the Medical School," under the direction of Dr. Charles N. Holman, Dean.

Gift of a set of Encyclopedia Britannica, valued at $200, from Dr. Ralph C. Benson, Portland, to be used in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology.

Gift of $100 from Mr. Lawrence S. Black, Lake Oswego, to the Harold F. Wendel Memorial Fund, the income from which is presented annually to the outstanding resident or fellow in cardiology, under the direction of Dean C. N. Holman.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Contribution Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D. B. Blauvelt</td>
<td>Gift of a ten-year old Dun mare, valued at $350, from Mr. Dahl B. Blauvelt, Lake Oswego, for use in immunology production.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. T. Clark</td>
<td>Gift of a thoroughbred horse, valued at $1,200, from Mr. J. T. Clark, Culver, for use in immunology production.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Commonwealth Fund</td>
<td>Grant of $10,639 from The Commonwealth Fund, New York City, as a grant-in-aid on behalf of Dr. Howard S. Mason, for preparation of <em>Functional Biochemistry</em>, an experimental text.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cummins Oregon Diesel, Inc.</td>
<td>Gift of $100 from Cummins Oregon Diesel, Inc., Portland, as a contribution in memory of Mr. Earl Ritzheimer, to be used for cancer research under the direction of Dean Holman.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs. L. J. Ditter</td>
<td>Gift of $100 from Mr. and Mrs. Louis J. Ditter, Aumsville, as a contribution to the Doernbecher Hospital in memory of their son, James.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia-Pacific Corporation</td>
<td>Gift of $100 from Georgia-Pacific Corporation, Toledo Division, Toledo, as a contribution in memory of Mr. Earl Ritzheimer to be used for cancer research, under the direction of Dean Holman.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. E. Downing</td>
<td>Gift of $100 from Mr. A. E. Downing, Windsor, Ontario, Canada, for neurological research, under the direction of Dr. Roy Swank, Professor and Chairman of the Neurology Department. This amount is $92.40 in U. S. currency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Gehlhar</td>
<td>Gift of $100 from Mr. Max Gehlhar, Salem, for urology research, under the direction of Dr. Clarence V. Hodges, Professor and Chairman of the Department of Urology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Friedrichs</td>
<td>Grant of $7,000 from Mr. Martin Friedrichs, Berlin Laboratories, New York City, in support of &quot;A Cooperative Study to Assess the Palliative Efficacy of Cyproterone Acetate Vs. Diethylstilbestrol in Advanced Prostatic Cancer under Double-Blind Conditions,&quot; under the direction of Dr. Clarence V. Hodges, Professor and Chairman Urology Division.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. A. W. Johnson</td>
<td>Gift of $10,000 from Mrs. A. W. Johnson, Portland, in memory of Mr. A. W. Johnson. The gift to the Department of Radiation Therapy is to be used for teaching and research in the treatment of malignant disease, under the direction of Dr. Clifford Allen, Chairman of the Department of Radiation Therapy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladies Auxiliary to Veterans of Foreign Wars of the U. S.</td>
<td>Gift of $719 from the Ladies' Auxiliary to the Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States, Department of Oregon, Portland, to be used for cancer research under the direction of Dr. William S. Fletcher, Associate Professor of Surgery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manning Social Club</td>
<td>Gift of $100 from the Manning Social Club, Banks, to be used for research in cystic fibrosis, under the direction of Dr. Robert Campbell, Assistant Professor of Pediatrics.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
McDowell-Catt Foundation
Grant of $1,775.14 from the McDowell-Catt Foundation, Albany, for research at the University of Oregon Medical School, under the direction of Dr. C. N. Holman, Dean.

National Cystic Fibrosis Research
Grant of $20,110 from the National Cystic Fibrosis Research Foundation, New York City, for research entitled, "Cystic Fibrosis Care, Teaching, and Research Center," March 1, 1969, through February 28, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Robert A. Campbell, Associate Professor of Pediatrics.

New Eyes for the Needy, Inc.
Gift of $500 from New Eyes for the Needy, Inc., Short Hills, New Jersey, "for the purchase of new prescription glasses and artificial eyes for needy patients," April 1, 1969, through March 31, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Michael Baird, Medical Director.

Oregon State Elks Association
Gift of $5,000 from the Oregon State Elks Association, Visual Aid Program, Enterprise, "in continued support of the Children's Eye Clinic at the Medical School," under the direction of Dr. Kenneth C. Swan, Chairman of the Department of Ophthalmology.

Mrs. C. C. Pynn
Gift of $500 from Mrs. Carlton C. Pynn, West Linn, to be used for research in the Department of Physiology, under the direction of Dr. John M. Brookhart, Chairman of the Department of Physiology. The gift is in memory of her husband, Dr. Carlton C. Pynn.

Alfred P. Sloan Foundation
Grant of $3,000 from the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, Chicago, Illinois, in support of a study entitled, "Technics in Basic Biochemical Changes in Small Areas of the Eye," April 1, 1969, through March 31, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Robert P. Burns, Associate Professor of Ophthalmology.

U. S. Army Medical Research and Development Command
Grant of $33,900 from the U. S. Army Medical Research and Development Command, Washington, D.C., for research entitled, "Further Studies on the Human Eccrine Sweat Gland," December 31, 1968, through December 31, 1969, under the direction of Dr. Richard L. Dobson, Professor of Dermatology.

U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Grants totaling $57,753.60 from the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington, for research, as follows:

1. $25,911.36 - "Hyperosmolarity and Asymmetric Solute Movement," September 1, 1968, through August 31, 1969, under the direction of Dr. John T. Van Bruggen, Professor of Biochemistry.

2. $31,842.24 - "Studies on the Mediation and Mechanism of Immunosuppression," September 1, 1968, through August 31, 1969, under the direction of Dr. Benjamin V. Siegel, Professor of Pathology.
J. San Victores

Gift of $250 from Mr. Jose San Victores, Manila, Philippines, as a contribution in memory of Mr. Earl Ritzheimer, to be used for cancer research, under the direction of Dr. Charles N. Holman, Dean.

U. S. Public Health Service

Grants totaling $359,298 from the U. S. Public Health Service, Washington, D.C., for research, fellowships, and other purposes, as follows:

1. $21,643 - "Diagnostic Ultrasound in Pediatric Cardiology," May 1, 1969, through April 30, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Clarence L. Morgan, Assistant Professor of Pediatrics.

2. $29,840 - "Function Effect on Grafts and Implants of Collagen," May 1, 1969, through April 30, 1970, under the direction of Dr. William W. Krippaehne, Professor and Chairman of the Department of Surgery.

3. $72,500 - "Continue Studies of Mechanism of Chemical Carcinogenesis," March 17, 1969, through March 31, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Wesley Horton, Professor of Environmental Medicine and Biochemistry.

4. $46,077 - "The Chemistry and Biochemistry of the Neurohumorsals," April 1, 1969, through March 31, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Jack H. Fellman, Professor of Biochemistry and Neurology.

5. $3,801 - "Decreased Oxygen Affinity of Blood in Hypoxia," October 1, 1968, through September 30, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Miles Edwards, Associate Professor of Chest Diseases.

6. $6,426 - "Myocardial Hypoxia and Anaerobic Metabolism," September 1, 1968, through August 31, 1969, under the direction of Dr. William A. Neill, Associate Professor of Medicine.

7. $2,598 - "Thrombosis in Retinal Vessels," September 1, 1968, through August 31, 1969, under the direction of Dr. Arthur J. Seaman, Professor of Medicine.

8. $47,332 - "General Clinical Research Center," October 1, 1968, through September 30, 1969, under the direction of Dr. Daniel L. Dennis, Assistant Professor of Surgery.


11. $45,754 - "Epidermal Papillomas in Pleuronectid Fishes," January 1 through December 31, 1969, under the direction of Dr. Sefton R. Wellings, Chairman and Professor of Pathology.

12. $14,824 - "Medical Library Resource grant," January 1 through December 31, 1969, under the direction of Miss Margaret Hughes, Librarian.

13. $23,435 - "Connective Tissue Studies in Urogenital Cancers," March 1, 1969, through February 28, 1970, under the direction of Dr. J. Peter Bentley, Assistant Professor of Biochemistry and Experimental Biology.

14. $19,687 - "Hearing and Tinnitus," April 1, 1969, through March 31, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Jack A. Vernon, Professor of Otolaryngology, Director of the Kresge Hearing Laboratory.

Portland State University

Scholarships & Fellowships

Gifts totaling $4,686.20 from the following donors for scholarships and fellowships:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scholarship Fund</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albany Parent-Teacher Association Coordinating Committee, Albany</td>
<td>$123.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcoa Foundation Scholarship, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania</td>
<td>720.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al C. Giusti Wine Company, Portland</td>
<td>110.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaverton Lodge No. 100, A.F. &amp; A.M.</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark College, Vancouver, Washington</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missions Account, Mallory Avenue Christian Church, Portland</td>
<td>333.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Walton Manning, Portland</td>
<td>125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multnomah Kennel Club, Fairview</td>
<td>350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Congress of Parents and Teachers, Inc., Portland</td>
<td>800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon State Aerie, Order of Eagles, Salem</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Trail Chapter, The National Secretaries Association, Portland</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Wholesale Novelty Co., Inc., Portland</td>
<td>110.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland Rose Festival Association</td>
<td>550.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratner, Miller, Shafran Foundation, Cleveland, Ohio</td>
<td>125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronald Vail Scholarship Fund, Vancouver, Washington</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheraton Motor Inn, Portland</td>
<td>110.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willamette University, Salem</td>
<td>180.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Grant of $1,000 from American Securities, Inc., Portland, for the unrestricted use of the Investment Analysis Center, under the direction of Dr. Donald D. Parker, Dean of the School of Business Administration.

Gift of a Q-Meter and Coil Kit, valued at $975, from Hewlett-Packard, Bellevue, Washington, for use in the Advanced Physics Laboratory, under the direction of Dr. Mark Gurevitch, Head of the Physics Department.

Gift of $593.14 from the National Association of Accountants, Portland Chapter, Portland, representing the proceeds from the National Association of Accountants Budget Control Conference, under the direction of Dr. Donald D. Parker, Dean of the School of Business Administration.

Grants totaling $39,355 from the U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D.C., for research and other purposes as follows:


Gifts totaling $13,313.03 from the following donors for scholarships and fellowships:

- Alpha Gamma EGA Chapter #1761, Milton-Freewater, $ 150.00
- American Legion Auxiliary, Dundee, 300.00
- The Carpenter Foundation, through the Central Point School District No. 6, 300.00
- Central Maui Hawaiian Civic Club, Kahului, Maui, Hawaii, 130.00
- Crow Indian Agency, Crow Agency, Montana, 150.00
- Crown Zellerbach Foundation, San Francisco, California, 500.00
- Cleveland High School Girls' League, Portland, 100.00
- J. F. and R. W. Cooke Educational Fund, Condon, 300.00
- Crater High School Letterman's Club, Central Point, 150.00
Georgia-Pacific Foundation, Portland $ 750.00
Miscellaneous Donations 1,747.03
Oregon Congress of Parents and Teachers, Inc., Portland 6,139.00
Parkrose Senior High School Faculty Association, Portland 
Columbia Chapter No. 53, Royal Arch Masons, St. Helens 100.00
Sherman County Scholarship Association, Moro 100.00
Simpson Timber Company, M. E. Reed Scholarship, Seattle, Washington 200.00
South Salem High School, Salem 200.00
South Santiam Education and Research Project, through the Oregon State University Foundation, Corvallis 332.00
Woolley Logging Company, Drain 1,165.00
Zonta Club of Coos Bay Area, North Bend 250.00

Grants totaling $17,450 from the National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., for institutes, as follows:

1. $8,800 - "In-Service Institute in Sociology for Secondary School Teachers," March 28, 1969, through July 31, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Helen M. Redbird, Professor of Social Science.

2. $8,650 - "In-Service Institute in Earth Science for Secondary School Teachers," March 28, 1969, through July 31, 1970, under the direction of Mr. Ernie L. Cummins, Professor of Physical Science.

Grant of $18,909 from the Oregon State Board of Education, Salem, "To conduct a study to determine the reasons children are placed in classes for educable mentally retarded," March 1 through July 31, 1969, under the direction of Dr. Harold D. Fredericks, Assistant Research Professor.

Gifts totaling $34,154.44 from the following donors for scholarships and fellowships:

American Association of University Women, Klamath Falls Branch $ 100.00
American Legion Auxiliary, Department of Oregon, Dundee 100.00
Badger Estate, Ashland (to establish Victor Mills Trust Fund to provide scholarships) 10,000.00
Butte Falls Scholarship Fund, Butte Falls 115.00
Carpenter Fund Scholarships, through Ashland Senior High School, Ashland 2,176.00
Carpenter Foundation Scholarship, through School District No. 6, Central Point 1,966.61
The Carpenter Foundation, through Medford Senior High School, Medford 1,991.00
The Carpenter Foundation, through Phoenix High School 1,334.00
Crater High School, Central Point $413.00
Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon, Warm Springs 437.00
Crow Indian Agency, Crow Agency, Montana 148.00
Mrs. Virginia Dickey, Ashland (John Humbird Dickey Memorial Fund) 200.00
Elks Lodge No. 944, Ashland 400.00
Festival of Arts of Laguna Beach, Laguna Beach, California 250.00
St. Mary's High School, Medford 200.00
General Electric Association, Inc., Palmer, Alaska 500.00
Georgia Pacific Foundation, Portland 250.00
Harold Newlin Hill Foundation Trust, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 300.00
Klamath Union High School - Art Scholarship, Klamath Falls 100.00
Lake County Intermediate Education District, Lakeview 115.00
Mildred Litster Scholarship Trust, through the U. S. National Bank of Oregon, Medford 250.00
Medford Senior High School (Medford Rotary Academic), Medford 111.00
Medford Senior High School (Medford Rotary Educational), Medford 375.00
Medford Senior High School (Peggyann Hutchinson Award), Medford 111.00
Medical Research Foundation of Oregon, Hood Trust Foundation, Medford 7,500.00
Oregon Congress of Parents & Teachers, Inc., Portland 414.00
Oregon State Chapter, P.E.O. Sisterhood, Portland 114.00
P.E.O. Sisterhood, Chapter CZ, Coos Bay 150.00
Baseball, Office of the Commissioner, New York City 598.33
Red Raider Booster Scholarships, Ashland 2,357.50
Southern Oregon Office Management Association, Medford 125.00
Medford Senior High School, Frank Tou Velle Trust, Medford 412.00
Phoenix High School, Frank L. Tou Velle Trust Fund, Phoenix 166.00
U. S. National Bank of Oregon, Work/College Scholarship, Portland 375.00

City of Ashland
Grant of $1,000 from the City of Ashland, Ashland, for "Tree Research," during the coming year, under the direction of Dr. Richard Hammer, Assistant Professor of Geography. The study consists of inventorying existing street trees and developing a long-range tree planting program under the direction of a city planning commission.

Oregon Council on Economic Education
Grant of $200 from the Oregon Council on Economic Education, Portland, "to promote economic education in the southern Oregon area," under the direction of Dr. John Abernathy, Assistant Professor of Economics.
Grant of $60,025 from the U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D.C., for the college work-study program for students, July 1 through December 31, 1969, under the direction of Dr. Terry Brown, Director of Financial Aids.

**Eastern Oregon College**

Grant of $2,766.79 from the Alcel Community Center, La Grande, "for loans to students studying in the field of Agriculture or Elementary Education at Eastern Oregon College," beginning January 24, 1969, under the direction of Dr. Howard Anderson, Financial Aids Officer.

Grant of $8,416 from the National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., for an "In-Service Institute in Chemistry for Secondary School Teachers," March 28, 1969, through July 31, 1970, under the direction of Dr. Richard A. Hermens, Assistant Professor of Chemistry.

**Oregon Technical Institute**

Gifts totaling $8,808.70 from the following donors for scholarships and fellowships:

- Alpha Omega Foundation, Berkeley, California $ 600.00
- Bernard Daly Educational Fund, Lakeview 1,920.00
- Ford Motor Company, Dearborn, Michigan 5,985.70
- McCloud River Railroad Company, McCloud California 303.00

Gift of fuel pumps and fuel injectors, valued at $1,200, from Automotive Products, Portland, for use in the Auto-Diesel Department, under the direction of Mr. Paul T. Meier, Dean of Faculty.

Gift of a 20 hp. Curtiss Wright Rotary Combustion Engine complete with manuals, tools and accessories, valued at $650, from Kunze-Case, Inc., Klamath Falls, for use in the Auto-Diesel Department, under the direction of Mr. Meier.

Gift of an engine and automatic transmission, valued at $100, from Mr. Lloyd A. Thompson, Klamath Falls, for use in the Auto-Diesel Department, under the direction of Mr. Meier.

Grants totaling $245,321 from the U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D.C., for financial aid to students, as follows:

1. $66,813 - "NDEA Student Loans," under the direction of Mr. Lawrence Stevens, Director of Financial Aids.
2. $64,543 - "College Work-Study Program," January 1 through June 30, 1969, under the direction of Mr. Stevens.
3. $32,259 - "College Work-Study Program," July 1 through December 31, 1969, under the direction of Mr. Stevens.

4. $52,016 - "Education Opportunity Grant," July 1 1969, through June 30, 1970, under the direction of Mr. Stevens. This grant provides matching funds for grants to low-income students under a special program for the disadvantaged.

5. $29,690 - "Education Opportunity Grant - for student loans," July 1, 1968, through June 30, 1969, under the direction of Mr. Stevens.

Varian Associates

Gift of electrical equipment, valued at $6,510.84, from Varian Associates, Palo Alto, California, to be used in Junior-Senior Projects in the Electronics Engineering Technology Program, under the direction of Mr. Robert Baird, Department Head, Electronics Engineering Technology; and Mr. William King, Department Head, Mechanical Engineering Technology.

Division of Continuing Education

Bonneville Power Administration

Grant of $18,755 from the Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, "to purchase four Adtech, Inc., Model 401B Logic Laboratories to be used in Digital Systems Engineering Courses developed for Bonneville Power Administration by the Division of Continuing Education, Portland Center. This equipment will become the property of the government upon completion of this contract, effective January 7, 1969, through June 13, 1970, under the direction of Mr. Monroe Miller.

KMED Radio & Television

Gift of a Video Tape Recorder, valued at $7,500, from KMED Radio and Television, Medford, for use in the Educational Radio and Television Department.
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