STATE BOARD OF HIGHER EDUCATION
MINUTES OF MEETING HELD IN
HOKE HALL, EASTERN OREGON COLLEGE, LA GRANDE, OREGON

La Grande, Oregon

MEETING #396-1
A regular meeting of the State Board of Higher Education was held in Hoke Hall, Eastern Oregon College, La Grande, Oregon.

ROLL CALL
The meeting was called to order at 9:00 A.M. Wednesday, June 9, 1971, by the President of the Board, Mr. George H. Layman, and on roll call the following answered present:

Mr. George H. Corey
Mr. Chas. R. Holloway, Jr.
Mr. Robert D. Holmes
Mrs. Elizabeth H. Johnson

Mr. Loran L. Stewart
Mr. John W. Snider
Mr. George H. Layman

Absent: Mr. Philip A. Joss and Mr. John D. Mosser were absent for business reasons.

OTHERS PRESENT

Centralized Activities—Chancellor R. E. Lieuallen; Secretary R. L. Collins; Mr. Freeman Holmer, Vice Chancellor for Administration; Mr. J. I. Hunderup, Vice Chancellor for Facilities Planning; Dr. Miles C. Romney, Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs; Mr. H. A. Bork, Consultant; Mr. J. L. Watson, Controller; Mr. Keith L. Jackson, Budget Director; Mr. Fred Segrest, Director, Management Audit Unit; Dr. George Diel, Director of Communications Development; Dr. Duane Andrews, Director, Division of Continuing Education; Mr. Paul Wurm, Assistant Director, Division of Continuing Education; Mr. Ralph Steeble, Director of Program Coordination, Division of Continuing Education; Mr. James R. Zigler, Business Operations Coordinator, Division of Continuing Education; Mr. Raymond P. Underwood, Assistant Attorney General; Mr. John Richardson, Assistant to the Chancellor; Mr. Michael Jennings, Data Systems Coordinator; Mr. Robert L. Halvorsen, Management Analyst, Management Audit Unit.

Oregon State University—President R. W. MacVicar; Mr. M. Popovich, Dean of Administration; Dr. David B. Nicodemus, Dean of Faculty; Dr. Charles F. Warnath, Professor of Psychology.

University of Oregon—President Robert D. Clark; Dr. Harry Alpert, Dean of Faculties; Mr. J. O. Lindstrom, Director of Fiscal Affairs; Mr. R. C. Reynolds, Director, Erb Memorial Union.

University of Oregon Dental School—Dr. William Wescott, Associate Dean for Administrative Affairs.

University of Oregon Medical School—Dean C. N. Holman; Mr. W. A. Zimmerman, Associate Dean for Business Affairs.
MINUTES
APPROVED

CHANCELLOR'S
REPORT

Status of
Legislation
Pertaining
to Higher
Education

Portland State University—President Gregory B. Wolfe; Mr. W. T. Lemman, Jr., Vice President for Business and Finance.

Oregon College of Education—President L. W. Rice; Dr. Ronald L. Chatham, Assistant to the President.

Southern Oregon College—President James K. Sours; Mr. Donald E. Lewis, Dean of Administration.

Eastern Oregon College—President A. M. Rempel; Dr. R. S. Perry, Dean of Administration; Mr. J. C. Lundy, Business Manager; Mr. Harvey O. Bennett, Assistant Professor of Education.

Oregon Technical Institute—President W. D. Purvine.

Others—Mrs. Maxine Warnath, Assistant Professor of Psychology at Oregon College of Education, representing the American Association of University Professors Federation; Mr. Gilbert Polanski, Field Representative, Oregon State Employees Association; Mrs. John C. Cotton, member of the Educational Coordinating Council from Ashland.

Student Representatives—Mr. Ron Wilkinson, President, Associated Students, Oregon State University; Mr. Karl N. Auve, Senator, Eastern Oregon College, Interinstitutional Union of Students of the Oregon State System of Higher Education; Mr. David Thouvenel, Senator, Eastern Oregon College; Miss Carolyn McCord, Miss Juanita Talbott, and Mr. Frank H. Clementi, students at Eastern Oregon College; Mr. James P. Rowan, Jr., student, Stanford University.

The Board voted to dispense with the reading of the minutes of the last regular meeting of the Board held on April 30, 1971, and approved them as previously distributed.

The Chancellor summarized the current status of legislation pertaining to higher education. He said that the budget bills have been passed by both houses of the legislature and are ready for the Governor's signature. He also reported on the following specific bills:

SB 537 which states the functions and responsibilities of the Educational Coordinating Council. An amendment had been sought to this bill to eliminate or modify a reference to the role of the Educational Coordinating Council in approving programs, which is presently the responsibility of the Board of Higher Education. The amendment has not been adopted, and it is probable the bill will pass in its original form. (Note: The bill passed Senate May 12 and passed House June 8, 1971.)

SB 73 has been amended in accordance with suggestions which would permit the Board of Higher Education to establish the rules in terms of student records within the broad rules covered by the existing statutes. He said the bill passed the House on June 8. (Note: The bill passed the Senate on June 9.)
SB 728 which would place one voting and one non-voting student on the Board of Higher Education has neither been tabled nor voted out. (Note: Legislature adjourned on June 10, 1971, without voting on this bill.)

SB 287 would transfer responsibility for Oregon Educational Broadcasting to a commission. The Chancellor said the fate of this bill is uncertain. (Note: Legislature adjourned on June 10, 1971, without passing this bill.)

The Chancellor called attention to the annual report of gifts and grants which was distributed. He said that the report indicates that there has been relatively little change in the three biennia covered by the report in terms of federal or outside support for research. The gift and grant support for institutes has generally declined. Federal support for construction and overseas training grants is not included in the current biennium. Federal support for scholarships has declined and federally-supported loans have not increased as expected, apparently because the federal program has moved in the direction of the federally-guaranteed loan rather than the federally-supported loan.

In conclusion the Chancellor said that the totals for the 1969-1971 biennium showed an increase in both federal and other gifts and grants over the amounts received during the previous biennia. The report, as distributed, appears below:

**SUMMARY OF GIFTS AND GRANTS TO OREGON STATE SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RESEARCH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>17,683,069.46</td>
<td>16,578,228.62</td>
<td>34,261,298.08</td>
<td>18,749,441.75</td>
<td>14,578,226.64</td>
<td>33,327,668.39</td>
<td>17,807,775.11</td>
<td>16,001,978.61</td>
<td>33,809,753.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2,161,010.68</td>
<td>2,174,893.05</td>
<td>4,335,903.73</td>
<td>3,188,737.11</td>
<td>2,341,788.51</td>
<td>5,530,525.62</td>
<td>2,148,121.80</td>
<td>2,542,912.26</td>
<td>4,691,034.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$19,844,080.14</td>
<td>18,753,121.67</td>
<td>$38,597,201.81</td>
<td>21,938,178.86</td>
<td>16,920,015.15</td>
<td>38,858,194.01</td>
<td>19,955,896.91</td>
<td>18,544,890.87</td>
<td>38,500,787.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### PURPOSE OF GIFT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>$ 3,513,320.10</td>
<td>$ 35,971.28</td>
<td>$ 3,549,291.38</td>
<td>322 SOURCE OF GIFTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>15,622,012.01</td>
<td>335,327.80</td>
<td>15,957,339.81</td>
<td>371,299.08</td>
<td>19,506,631.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1965-1967 Biennium.</td>
<td>19,135,332.11</td>
<td>371,299.08</td>
<td>19,506,631.19</td>
<td>322</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>8,606,846.00</td>
<td>344,144.78</td>
<td>8,950,990.78</td>
<td>7,919,280.95</td>
<td>16,870,271.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>7,676,706.45</td>
<td>242,574.50</td>
<td>7,919,280.95</td>
<td>16,870,271.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1967-1969 Biennium.</td>
<td>16,283,552.45</td>
<td>586,719.28</td>
<td>16,870,271.73</td>
<td>322</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>8,837,879.66</td>
<td>1,392,047.98</td>
<td>10,229,927.64</td>
<td>13,074,000.76</td>
<td>23,303,928.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>11,424,459.40</td>
<td>1,649,341.36</td>
<td>13,074,000.76</td>
<td>13,074,000.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1969-1971 Biennium.</td>
<td>20,262,339.06</td>
<td>3,041,589.34</td>
<td>23,303,928.40</td>
<td>322</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CONSTRUCTION

| 1965-66 | 2,437,730.00 | 113,564.63 | 2,551,294.63 | 322 |       |       |       |
| 1966-67 | 4,746,693.00 | 4,746,693.00 | 4,746,693.00 | 322 |       |       |       |
| Total for 1965-1967 Biennium. | 7,184,423.00 | 113,564.63 | 7,297,987.63 | 322 |       |       |       |
| 1967-68 | 2,517,855.00 | 16,031.00 | 2,533,886.00 | 7,365,801.00 | 9,899,687.00 |
| 1968-69 | 7,357,401.00 | 8,400.00 | 7,365,801.00 | 9,899,687.00 |
| Total for 1967-1969 Biennium. | 9,875,256.00 | 24,431.00 | 9,899,687.00 | 322 |       |       |       |
| 1969-70 | 416,085.00 | 100,300.00 | 516,385.00 | 231,681.00 | 521,681.00 |
| 1970-71 | | 5,296.00 | 5,296.00 | 322 |       |       |       |
| Total for 1969-1971 Biennium. | 416,085.00 | 105,596.00 | 521,681.00 | 322 |       |       |       |

### OVERSEAS TRAINING GRANTS

| 1965-66 | 210,344.00 | 145,646.00 | 355,990.00 | 322 |       |       |       |
| 1966-67 | 52,240.00 | 4,502.65 | 56,742.65 | 322 |       |       |       |
| Total for 1965-1967 Biennium. | 262,584.00 | 150,148.65 | 412,732.65 | 322 |       |       |       |
| 1967-68 | 265,920.00 | 4,980.00 | 270,900.00 | 322 |       |       |       |
| 1968-69 | 429,267.00 | 429,267.00 | 429,267.00 | 322 |       |       |       |
| Total for 1967-1969 Biennium. | 695,592.00 | 4,980.00 | 700,572.00 | 322 |       |       |       |
| 1969-70 | | | | 322 |       |       |       |
| 1970-71 | | | | 322 |       |       |       |
| Total for 1969-1971 Biennium. | | | | 322 |       |       |       |

### SCHOLARSHIPS

| 1965-66 | 796,753.00 | 781,024.20 | 1,577,777.20 | 322 |       |       |       |
| 1966-67 | 2,237,140.38 | 898,283.01 | 3,135,423.39 | 322 |       |       |       |
| 1967-68 | 4,224,416.00 | 865,435.54 | 5,089,851.54 | 322 |       |       |       |
| 1968-69 | 2,002,039.66 | 865,534.04 | 2,867,573.70 | 322 |       |       |       |
| Total for 1967-1969 Biennium. | 6,226,455.66 | 1,730,969.58 | 7,957,425.24 | 322 |       |       |       |
### PURPOSE OF GIFT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>$1,207,754.00</td>
<td>$744,416.83</td>
<td>$1,952,170.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>544,555.00</td>
<td>615,176.59</td>
<td>1,159,731.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1969-1971 Biennium</td>
<td>1,752,309.00</td>
<td>1,359,593.42</td>
<td>3,111,902.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LOAN FUNDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>110,084.00</td>
<td>70,624.26</td>
<td>180,708.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>2,435,457.66</td>
<td>45,801.53</td>
<td>2,481,259.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1965-1967 Biennium</td>
<td>2,545,541.66</td>
<td>116,225.79</td>
<td>2,661,767.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>1,472,249.00</td>
<td>29,907.39</td>
<td>1,502,156.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>1,289,832.81</td>
<td>34,947.80</td>
<td>1,324,780.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1967-1969 Biennium</td>
<td>2,762,081.81</td>
<td>64,855.19</td>
<td>2,826,937.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>520,949.66</td>
<td>9,333.78</td>
<td>530,283.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>1,411,690.58</td>
<td>24,248.01</td>
<td>1,435,938.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1969-1971 Biennium</td>
<td>1,932,640.24</td>
<td>33,581.79</td>
<td>1,966,222.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### STUDENT FINANCIAL AID (Includes Economic Opportunity Grants)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>4,832,575.00</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>4,832,575.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>7,009,537.00</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>7,009,537.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1965-1967 Biennium</td>
<td>11,842,112.00</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>11,842,112.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>1,951,154.00</td>
<td>35,310.50</td>
<td>1,986,464.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>9,340,175.00</td>
<td>16,878.23</td>
<td>9,357,053.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1967-1969 Biennium</td>
<td>11,291,329.00</td>
<td>52,188.73</td>
<td>11,343,517.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>11,744,085.12</td>
<td>61,111.00</td>
<td>11,805,196.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>7,429,651.00</td>
<td>173,020.75</td>
<td>7,602,671.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1969-1971 Biennium</td>
<td>19,173,736.12</td>
<td>234,131.75</td>
<td>19,407,867.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### BOOKS, SUPPLIES AND EQUIPMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>271,875.00</td>
<td>77,891.78</td>
<td>349,766.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>257,017.58</td>
<td>135,498.74</td>
<td>392,516.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1965-1967 Biennium</td>
<td>528,892.58</td>
<td>213,390.52</td>
<td>742,283.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>406,830.00</td>
<td>207,227.88</td>
<td>614,057.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>424,237.00</td>
<td>159,838.14</td>
<td>584,075.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1967-1969 Biennium</td>
<td>831,067.00</td>
<td>367,066.02</td>
<td>1,198,133.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>312,493.00</td>
<td>187,560.24</td>
<td>500,053.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>65,467.85</td>
<td>167,939.93</td>
<td>233,407.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1969-1971 Biennium</td>
<td>377,960.85</td>
<td>355,294.17</td>
<td>733,255.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SOURCE OF GIFTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PURPOSE OF GIFT</th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ADVANCEMENT OF TEACHING PROGRAMS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>$3,391,466.00</td>
<td>$760,171.07</td>
<td>$4,151,637.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>1,625,497.00</td>
<td>301,885.09</td>
<td>1,927,382.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1965-1967 Biennium</td>
<td>5,016,963.00</td>
<td>1,062,056.16</td>
<td>6,079,019.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>2,725,593.00</td>
<td>430,589.23</td>
<td>3,156,182.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>3,966,421.50</td>
<td>599,653.88</td>
<td>4,566,075.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1967-1969 Biennium</td>
<td>6,692,014.50</td>
<td>1,030,243.11</td>
<td>7,722,257.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>8,969,422.22</td>
<td>386,395.61</td>
<td>9,355,817.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>3,309,679.09</td>
<td>3,921,779.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1969-1971 Biennium</td>
<td>12,279,101.22</td>
<td>998,495.83</td>
<td>13,277,597.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CARE OF MEDICALLY INDIGENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>23,000.00</td>
<td>722,000.57</td>
<td>745,000.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>19,843.86</td>
<td>19,843.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1965-1967 Biennium</td>
<td>23,000.00</td>
<td>741,844.43</td>
<td>764,844.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>24,348.85</td>
<td>24,348.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3,913.90</td>
<td>3,913.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1967-1969 Biennium</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>28,262.75</td>
<td>28,262.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>813,195.00</td>
<td>58,156.42</td>
<td>871,351.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>700.00</td>
<td>700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1969-1971 Biennium</td>
<td>813,195.00</td>
<td>58,856.42</td>
<td>872,051.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNRESTRICTED</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>62,498.13</td>
<td>63,498.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1965-1967 Biennium</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>148,871.12</td>
<td>149,871.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>176,781.88</td>
<td>176,781.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>79,001.00</td>
<td>205,485.44</td>
<td>282,485.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1967-1969 Biennium</td>
<td>79,001.00</td>
<td>303,266.32</td>
<td>382,267.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>901,500.56</td>
<td>901,500.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1969-1971 Biennium</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,088,965.99</td>
<td>1,088,965.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MISCELLANEOUS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>330.00</td>
<td>303,326.75</td>
<td>303,656.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>25,000.00</td>
<td>196,393.58</td>
<td>221,393.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1965-1967 Biennium</td>
<td>25,330.00</td>
<td>499,720.33</td>
<td>525,050.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>457,709.83</td>
<td>1,111,570.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>95,759.20</td>
<td>325,127.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1967-1969 Biennium</td>
<td>553,469.03</td>
<td>883,228.44</td>
<td>1,436,697.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>490,802.81</td>
<td>490,802.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>155,500.00</td>
<td>418,900.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1969-1971 Biennium</td>
<td>155,500.00</td>
<td>754,203.07</td>
<td>909,703.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Meeting #396-7

#### SOURCE OF GIFTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PURPOSE OF GIFTS</th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>URBAN PLANNING</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>$208,661.00</td>
<td>$169,096.00</td>
<td>$377,757.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>$208,661.00</td>
<td>$169,096.00</td>
<td>$377,757.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1965-1967 Biennium</td>
<td>$208,661.00</td>
<td>$169,096.00</td>
<td>$377,757.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>$292,264.17</td>
<td>$3,500.00</td>
<td>$295,764.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>$264,874.90</td>
<td>$41,870.00</td>
<td>$306,744.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1967-1969 Biennium</td>
<td>$557,139.07</td>
<td>$45,370.00</td>
<td>$602,509.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>$132,798.00</td>
<td>$37,504.00</td>
<td>$170,302.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>$8,862.00</td>
<td>$8,862.00</td>
<td>$17,724.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 1969-1971 Biennium</td>
<td>$132,798.00</td>
<td>$46,366.00</td>
<td>$179,164.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **TV INSTRUCTION** |         |       |       |
| 1965-66         |         | $35,871.00 | $44,098.50 |
| 1966-67         |         | $35,871.00 | $44,098.50 |
| Total for 1965-1967 Biennium |         | $35,871.00 | $44,098.50 |
| 1967-68         |         | $2,582.00  | $2,582.00  |
| 1968-69         |         | $10,100.00 | $10,100.00 |
| Total for 1967-1969 Biennium |         | $12,682.00 | $12,682.00 |
| 1969-70         |         | $3,800.00  | $3,800.00  |
| 1970-71         |         | $65,787.00 | $65,787.00 |
| Total for 1969-1971 Biennium |         | $69,587.00 | $69,587.00 |

| **TOTALS** |         |       |       |
| 1965-66         | $33,270,546.56 | $5,257,604.21 | $38,528,150.77 |
| 1966-67         | $50,834,355.25 | $4,352,050.94 | $55,186,406.19 |
| Total for 1965-1967 Biennium | $84,104,901.81 | $9,609,655.15 | $93,714,556.96 |
| 1967-68         | $41,670,278.75 | $5,983,436.50 | $47,653,715.25 |
| 1968-69         | $47,504,347.16 | $4,681,351.54 | $52,185,698.70 |
| Total for 1967-1969 Biennium | $89,174,625.91 | $10,664,788.04 | $99,839,413.95 |
| 1969-70         | $50,762,436.77 | $6,521,051.03 | $57,283,487.80 |
| 1970-71         | $40,342,981.44 | $6,316,203.81 | $46,659,185.25 |
| Total for 1969-1971 Biennium | $91,105,418.21 | $12,837,254.84 | $103,942,673.05 |

### Capital Outlay Program for 1971-1973

The Chancellor referred to the summary of the capital construction program as approved by the Legislature for the 1971-1973 biennium. He said that a comparison of the projects approved with those recommended by the Governor was shown in the summary report which appears on the following pages. He noted that the level of funding approved for Educational and General Plant was approximately twice the amount recommended in the Governor's budget.
## Comparison of Board's Requests and Governor's Budget Recommendations with Legislative Authorizations

**Cost Estimates in Thousands of Dollars**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority Nos.</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Board's Requests</th>
<th>Governor's Recommendations</th>
<th>Legislative Authorizations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>UOMS</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>UO</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>UO</td>
<td>4,050</td>
<td>2,950</td>
<td>2,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>OTI</td>
<td>3,260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>UO</td>
<td>8,375</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>OCE</td>
<td>1,975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>OSU</td>
<td>2,845</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>SOC</td>
<td>2,290</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>PSU</td>
<td>705</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>UOMS</td>
<td>1,235</td>
<td>1,155</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>EOC</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>OCE</td>
<td>2,505</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>SOC</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>SOC</td>
<td>900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>UO</td>
<td>2,025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>OSU</td>
<td>3,760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>OSU</td>
<td>1,110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>PSU</td>
<td>3,530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>UO</td>
<td>3,235</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>UO</td>
<td>335</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>OSU</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>OSU</td>
<td>7,370</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>OSU</td>
<td>275</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Priority Nos. and Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority Nos.</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Board's Requests</th>
<th>Governor's Recommendations</th>
<th>Legislative Authorizations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>UO Marine Biology Facilities, Charleston</td>
<td>$630</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>PSU Professional Schools Center, Phase II</td>
<td>2,370</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>UO Architecture Building and Equipment Restoration</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>OIT Library Building</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>SOC Classroom Building (Speech-Theatre)</td>
<td>2,590</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>OCE Physical Education Building, Phase II</td>
<td>2,395</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>PSU Old Main Alterations (and Shattuck School Furnishings)</td>
<td>1,315</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>SOC Nursing Education Building &amp; Science Remodel</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>OIT Roads B and D</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>UO Physical Plant Boiler Improvements</td>
<td>1,035</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>DCE Office &amp; Studio Building, Second Addition, Portland</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>OSU Feed Barn - Dairy Center</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>OSU Marine Science Center Improvements</td>
<td>1,910</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subtotals**

| Subtotals                                                                 | $72,035          | $6,000                      | $12,798                     |

### II. Educational and General Plant - Gifts, Grants, Etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority Nos.</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Board's Requests</th>
<th>Governor's Recommendations</th>
<th>Legislative Authorizations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>UOMS Mackenzie Hall and Administration Building Alterations</td>
<td>$235</td>
<td>$235</td>
<td>$235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>UO Marine Biology Facilities, Charleston</td>
<td>1,320</td>
<td>1,160</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>SOC Nursing Education Building &amp; Science Remodel</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>OSU Research Vessel and Facilities, Yaquina Bay</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>OSU Bio-Social Research Facilities</td>
<td>1,575</td>
<td>1,575</td>
<td>1,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>OSU Oceanography Research Service Facilities</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subtotals**

| Subtotals                                                                 | $4,795           | $3,420                      | $2,523                      |

**Totals - Educational and General Plant**

| Totals                                                                 | $76,830          | $9,420                      | $15,321                     |

### III. Auxiliary Enterprises (See Note)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority Nos.</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Board's Requests</th>
<th>Governor's Recommendations</th>
<th>Legislative Authorizations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>UO Physical Plant Utility Improvements</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SOC South Campus Utility Plant Improvements</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>OCE College Center Remodeling</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SOC Britt Student Center Remodeling</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>UOMS/ Parking Structure No. 2</td>
<td>2,540</td>
<td>2,540</td>
<td>2,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UODS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

June 9, 1970
Comparison of Board's Requests and Governor's Budget Recommendations with Legislative Authorizations, page 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority Nos.</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Board's Requests</th>
<th>Governor's Recommendations</th>
<th>Legislative Authorizations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$280</td>
<td>$280</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>OSU</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>UO</td>
<td>3,395</td>
<td>3,395</td>
<td>3,395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>PSU</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>OSU</td>
<td>1,805</td>
<td>1,805</td>
<td>1,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>OEC</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>280</td>
<td>280</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>OCE</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>610</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>OSU</td>
<td>1,030</td>
<td>1,030</td>
<td>1,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>SOC</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>855</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>UO</td>
<td>1,330</td>
<td>1,330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>SOC</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>560</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>OTI</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>EOC</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>UOMS</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>UO</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OSU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Totals - Auxiliary Enterprises</td>
<td></td>
<td>$18,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td>$95,110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Items (or portions thereof) included within "Various Alterations and Rehabilitation Projects".

# Includes amount authorized by Chapter 664, Oregon Laws 1969, but not released by State Emergency Board; subsequently, the General Fund appropriation for these projects was reduced by Chapter 40, Oregon Laws 1971.

Note: Board's Requests and Governor's Recommendations for Auxiliary Enterprises reflect adjustments approved through April 30, 1971.

Office of Facilities Planning
May 29, 1971
Retirement of Mr. J. O. Lindstrom

The Chancellor expressed appreciation to Mr. J. O. Lindstrom, Director of Fiscal Affairs at the University of Oregon, who will soon be retiring from that position. He indicated that Mr. Lindstrom had devoted over 40 years of dedicated service to the University of Oregon and the State System of Higher Education. Mr. Layman also commended Mr. Lindstrom for his long and devoted efforts on behalf of higher education.

Upon motion by Director Stewart, the Board authorized the Secretary of the Board to send a letter of appreciation to Mr. Lindstrom for his services.

Proposed Rules of Administrative Procedure - Contested Cases

Pursuant to order of Circuit Judge Val D. Sloper, of the Marion County Circuit Court, the Board is required to take additional evidence in the matter of Michael Papadopoulos, petitioner, v. Oregon State Board of Higher Education, respondent (No. 70049 - Marion County Circuit Court) under the conditions imposed in ORS 183.420, 183.440, 183.450 and 183.480(5), of the Oregon Administrative Procedure Act. In order for the Board to comply with the order of the Marion County Circuit Court, it was necessary for the Board to adopt rules of procedure, as required by the Administrative Procedure Act. A proposed set of such rules was prepared by the Board's attorney and was submitted for consideration by the Board for adoption. It was indicated that pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, notice had heretofore been published that a public hearing would be held on this date by the Board on proposed rules of procedure for notice and hearing in contested cases before the Board. It was recommended that such public hearing be held and that the Board thereafter consider for adoption the rules of procedure.

It was also recommended that a hearing officer be employed by the Board, to be selected by the Chancellor and to be compensated at the approximate rate of $100 per day, to conduct a hearing as required by the order of the Marion County Circuit Court and to hold such hearing as soon as feasible, after having given notice thereof to Professor Michael Papadopoulos, and to present as soon thereafter a summary of the evidence to the Board, along with a transcript of the hearing. It was also recommended that a court reporter be employed by the Board, to be selected by the hearing officer and compensated at the standard per diem rate, to transcribe the testimony at said hearing. It was also recommended that the Chancellor be authorized to take whatever action was necessary or advisable to implement the hearing.

Board Discussion and Action

Mr. Gilbert Polanski, Field Representative of the Oregon State Employees Association, suggested an addition to Rule 6(j) which would provide that the Board would consider conclusions drawn by the hearings officer since he may be the person who has the best opportunity to assess the evidence presented in contested cases. He indicated that this suggestion had the approval of the Employees Association.
Mr. Layman proposed the addition to 6(j) of the words "including the making of findings of fact, and recommendations to the Board." He said it was his intention that this power of the hearing officer would be permissive rather than mandatory in this respect.

Mr. Polanski indicated that this addition would be satisfactory. The Board discussed whether the power of making findings of fact and recommendations should be discretionary with the hearing officer or with the Board in assigning the officer to the case. Mr. Layman commented that the rules should be the same in all cases and that it was his intention that the proposed amendment would give discretionary power to the hearing officer to make findings of fact and recommendations to the Board.

Mr. Holmes moved that the suggested amendment to 6(j) be approved. The Board approved the amended language as presented.

Upon motion by Director Holloway, the Board then adopted the proposed Rules of Administrative Procedure in Contested Cases as amended. The amended rules, as approved, appear below:

STATE BOARD OF HIGHER EDUCATION
RULES OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE
IN CONTESTED CASES

Rule 1. Construction.

These rules shall be liberally construed to secure just, speedy and inexpensive determination of the issues presented. They are intended to carry out the intent of the Oregon Administrative Procedure Act (ORS 183.310 through 183.510) and are to be interpreted consistent therewith. Any situation not provided for in these rules shall be governed by the Act and, to the extent applicable, by the law applicable to suits in equity in the circuit courts of Oregon.


If a hearing of a contested case is to be held by the State Board of Higher Education, or by a hearing officer designated by the Board, the Board shall ascertain the time most convenient to it and shall give all parties thereto at least ten days' notice of the time and place of such hearing and the nature of such hearing. This time may be shortened or extended by stipulation of all parties.


Subpenas requiring the attendance of witnesses or the production of documentary or tangible evidence at a hearing may be issued by the Board, when authorized by law, upon request by any party to the proceeding, including the Board itself, upon proper showing of general relevance or reasonable scope of the evidence sought.
Rule 4. Assignment To Hear Proceeding.

The hearing shall be before the Board except in those cases assigned by the Board to a hearing officer.

The word "Board" in these rules shall include hearing officer wherever applicable.

Rule 5. Disqualification.

Any party to a proceeding before the Board may file an affidavit of personal bias of any officer conducting the hearing. Any hearing officer may withdraw from the proceeding whenever he deems himself disqualified because of personal bias or for any reason deemed sufficient by the Board. The Board, in its discretion, may order a hearing on a question of disqualification of a hearing officer.


(1) A hearing officer or the Board when authorized by law shall have the following powers:

(a) To give notice of and hold hearings;
(b) To administer oaths and affirmations;
(c) To examine witnesses;
(d) To issue Subpenas;
(e) To rule upon offers of proof and receive evidence;
(f) To regulate the course of the hearing, including the power to eject any person who in any manner interferes with the orderly procedure of a hearing;
(g) To hold conferences, before or during the hearing, for the settlement or simplification of issues, with consent of the parties;
(h) To dispose of procedural requests or similar matters;
(i) To make initial decisions;
(j) To take any other action proper under these rules, including the making of findings of fact, and recommendations to the Board.

(2) The hearing officer's authority in each case will terminate when:

(a) He shall have withdrawn from the case for any reason;
(b) The time for appeal has terminated.
Rule 7. Who May Appear.

(1) Each party may be represented by counsel.

(2) Any individual may appear for himself, and any member of a partnership which is a party to any proceeding may appear for such partnership upon adequate identification.


Contemptuous conduct by any person present at a hearing shall be ground for his exclusion by the presiding officer from the hearing.


The official record of the hearing shall be stenographically or mechanically recorded by a person or persons assigned by the Board capable of doing such recording. The method used shall be in the discretion of the Board.

Rule 10. Transcript of Testimony.

A transcript of the official record shall be furnished by the Board only for the purposes of rehearing or judicial review. The cost of the record shall not be taxed to parties other than the Board except as is specifically provided in ORS 183.480(4).


Motion for continuance or postponement of any hearing may be granted by the Board for good cause shown.


(1) In general

(a) The testimony of witnesses at a hearing shall be upon oath or affirmation administered by an officer of the Board authorized to administer oaths and shall be subject to cross-examination.

(b) Any witness may, in the discretion of the Board, be examined separately and apart from all other witnesses except those who are parties to the proceeding.

(c) The Board may limit oral argument in its discretion.

(2) Oath or affirmation

The oath or affirmation taken by a witness before he may testify shall be in the same form and manner as is provided by law. This may be eliminated by stipulation of all parties, but for the purpose of judicial review testimony not on oath or affirmation shall be excluded from the record of the case.
(3) Right to full and true disclosure of the facts

Every party shall have the right to present his case or defense by oral, documentary or other satisfactory evidence, to submit evidence in rebuttal, and to conduct such cross-examination as may be required for a full and complete disclosure of the facts.

(4) Burden of proof

The party entitled as of right to the hearing shall have the burden of proof.

(5) Admission and exclusion of evidence

(a) The rules of evidence and requirements of proof shall conform, to the extent practicable, with those in civil non-jury cases in the circuit court of the county in which the hearing is held, except as otherwise provided by ORS 183.450.

(b) Hearsay evidence shall not be admissible over an objection based on lack of opportunity to cross-examine.

(c) The Board may limit expert and opinion evidence in its discretion.

(6) Objections

If a party objects to the admission or rejection of any evidence or to the limitation of the scope of any examination or cross-examination, he shall state briefly the grounds of such objection, whereupon the Board shall give the party adversely affected by its ruling on the objection an automatic exception.

(7) Judicial notice

After first advising all parties of its intention to do so, the Board may take notice of judicially cognizable facts as is provided by law (ORS 41.410 to 41.480) and of general, technical or scientific facts within the specialized knowledge of the officers of the Board.


Informal disposition may be made of any contested case by stipulation, agreed settlement, consent order or default.

Rule 14. Record.

The stenographic or mechanical record of the testimony and exhibits, together with all papers, requests and rulings filed in the proceedings, shall constitute the exclusive record for decision. The record shall include any Board proceeding upon disqualification of any hearing officer and the final decision, if any.
Rule 15. Decision.

(1) Except as provided in subparagraph (2) of this rule, the Board shall render its decision within sixty days after completion of the hearing. The decision shall be accompanied by findings of fact and conclusions of law. A copy of the decision and the accompanying findings of fact and conclusions of law shall be mailed to each party.

(2) If a majority of the Board officials have not heard the proceedings or read the transcript, a proposed decision of the Board, including findings of fact and conclusions of law, shall be mailed to each party, who shall have fifteen days after receipt thereof to submit written exceptions to the proposed decision, to the Board and to request an opportunity to present argument to the Board thereon. The Board shall grant such opportunity for argument as soon as it can reasonably be arranged. The Board shall render its decision, accompanied by findings of fact and conclusions of law, within sixty days after the presentation of the argument.

Mr. Layman said that Attorney Underwood had presented to the Board at the meeting an Emergency Clause to follow the rules in contested cases which were approved by the Board in its action referred to above. The proposed Emergency Clause is as follows:

Because a hearing in a contested case is planned to be held on June 16, 1971, by a hearing officer of the Board in which it will be essential to have in force rules of administrative procedure for contested cases, the Board finds, pursuant to the authority of ORS 183,350(2), that it is necessary for the Rules of Procedure adopted by the Board to become effective immediately and that a postponement of the effective date thereof would result in serious prejudice to the parties concerned.

Board Discussion and Action

Mr. Layman said that because the hearing for Dr. Papadopoulos is planned to be held on June 16, 1971, it will be essential to have in force at that time the preceding rules of administrative procedure for contested cases, the Board finds that pursuant to the authority of ORS 183,350, it is necessary to have those rules become effective immediately to avoid serious prejudice to the parties concerned.

The Board adopted the emergency clause as presented.
Pursuant to authority granted by the Board, arrangements have been made to acquire the Griffin property located within the approved projected campus boundaries of Eastern Oregon College in La Grande. The property consists of about 2.35 acres of land located on the north side of Gekeler Lane midway between 8th Street and 12th Street. The improvements consist of a house containing about 1,023 square feet with detached garage, barn, corrals and fencing. The purchase price of $22,500 is close to the appraisals obtained by the institution. The property is in an area designated for athletic facilities and related parking, and the funds to finance the acquisition are being provided from the proceeds of the sale of Article XI-F(1) bonds authorized by the 1969 Legislature.

**Board Discussion and Action**

The Board accepted the report as presented. It was indicated that the parking area was near enough to the campus to serve other buildings pending future construction of athletic facilities.

**Dental Hygiene Clinic Fees, OTI**

(Considered by Finance Committee, May 18, 1971.)

It was recommended that the following fee schedule be adopted for the Dental Ancillary Department of Oregon Technical Institute, effective June 9, 1971:

- **Prophylaxis (adult)**
  - with fluoride $4.00
  - 6.00

- **Prophylaxis (child)**
  - with fluoride $5.00

- **Radiographic Examination**
  - Full mouth survey (20 films) $8.00
  - Pedodontic survey (8 films) $6.00
  - Bite-wings (4 films) $2.00
  - Single film $.50

**Discussion and Recommendation by Committee**

The Finance Committee recommended that the Board approve the recommendation as presented.

**Board Discussion and Action**

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.
As noted in the minutes of the meeting of March 9, 1971, Architects Balzhiser, Rhodes, Smith & Morgan, Eugene, were commissioned for the design and construction supervision of the proposed Intramural/Recreation Building at Oregon State University which has been assigned Priority No. 9 in the listing of 1971-1973 capital outlay requests for the auxiliary enterprises category. It was indicated that the direct construction costs were estimated to be approximately $1,500,000 and the total project budget would be about $1,805,000. The architects were asked to develop conceptual studies for future additional physical education and recreational facilities, involving a gross area of about 353,000 square feet, simultaneously with the schematic design of the first construction phase.

Institutional officials and the architects have filed with the Board's Office the schematic design for the program contemplated for construction during 1971-1973 and the conceptual studies for the future additions. The various building units composing the Physical Education and Recreation Complex would be located on a site which fronts to the east on College Drive (26th Street) between Intramural Lane on the north and Washington Way on the south. This site is now used for the intramural track. The planning studies have been reviewed with Mr. Louis DeMonte, long-range campus planning consultant, who has concurred in the location. This site respects the long-range plan by accommodating the pedestrian student from the residence halls and from the center of the campus and by being within the 10-minute walking circle, and the vehicular or off-campus student by recognizing the existing parking east and south of Gill Coliseum.

The ultimate development would include large gymnasium areas, activity areas for wrestling, dance and games, resistive and therapeutic exercise, teaching laboratories, handball and squash courts, swimming and diving areas, classrooms, seminar rooms, offices, locker and shower rooms and related service spaces. Most of the building units would be a combination of reinforced brick masonry and either poured-in-place or tilt-up concrete construction.

The initial phase, upon which the current request for legislative authorization is based, would involve the construction of approximately 61,024 gross square feet and would include three principal elements functionally integrated into a single structure. One element would contain the multi-use gymnasium which would be used alternately as five basketball courts, six volleyball courts, five tennis courts, 16 badminton courts, or combinations thereof. The structure would be depressed into the ground to respect and extend the open space created by the roof terrace over the cafeteria west of Weatherford Hall. This will make it possible for the roof line nearest the cafeteria to be at about the same level as the cafeteria roof terrace. The two- and three-level portion of the building, which would be located south of the multi-use space, would contain six combination handball and squash courts, two handball courts, locker and shower rooms and equipment.
issue room on the first floor and six combination handball and squash courts, six handball courts and an observation corridor for spectator viewing on the second level. Mechanical space would be provided on the partial third floor. The third portion of the building would be located in a one-story wing on the east side and would contain offices and a conference room for the staff of the intramural department.

The project would also include the relocation of certain existing underground utilities that traverse the site.

Based upon the price level expected to prevail in the fall of 1971 when bids may be received following legislative authorization and the completion of the construction documents phase of planning, it is estimated that the direct construction costs for the proposed Intramural/Recreation Building will be approximately $1,417,454.

For the estimated gross area of 61,024 square feet, such costs would average about $23.23 per square foot. It is anticipated that both the exterior walls and the interior walls in the multi-use gymnasium would be suitably finished for game play. The walls of the handball and squash courts would be finished with cement plaster. Gymnasium and activity rooms would have exposed brick walls. Some of the floors would be concrete with a hardener, and those in the gym and playing court areas would be finished with a synthetic material. Ceramic tile would be used in the shower areas, and vinyl asbestos floor tile would be installed in the office areas. Heating would be provided from the campus central heating plant, with the office areas provided with the potential for future air conditioning.

In view of the proposed recreational and intramural use of the facilities, the financing plan for the initial project anticipates the use of self-liquidating bond borrowings authorized by Article XI-F(1) of the Oregon Constitution and/or other balances available for auxiliary enterprises.

It was recommended that the conceptual studies of the future Physical Education and Recreation Complex and the schematic design phase of planning for the Intramural/Recreation Building be approved and that the appropriate Board officials be authorized to instruct the architects to complete the design development phase of planning for the initial unit. Funds required for the architectural planning are being provided from commingled student building fees or other resources available for auxiliary enterprises.

RECAPITULATION UPON COMPLETION OF SCHEMATIC DESIGN PHASE OF PLANNING

Project - OSU Intramural/Recreation Building

Architects - Balzhiser, Rhodes, Smith & Morgan, Eugene

Legislative authorization - Being requested from 1971 Oregon Legislature
Board's priority - No. 9 in 1971-1973 (Auxiliary Enterprises)

Estimated total project cost $1,805,000

Estimated gross area - 61,024 square feet

Estimated direct construction costs:
Total $1,516,579
Total (building and fixed equipment only) $1,417,454
Average (per square foot) - $23.23

Tentative schedule:
Bidding - Fall 1971
Completion - Summer 1973

Tentative financing plan:
General obligation bond borrowings under the provisions of Article XI-F(1) of the Oregon Constitution and/or balances available for auxiliary enterprises $1,805,000

Discussion and Recommendation by Committee

During the Committee's discussion, Mr. Hunderup emphasized the critical need for recreational spaces at Oregon State University because the heavy scheduling of the present physical education building permits few, if any, opportunities for individual recreation or intramural sports activities. He noted that students last year had urged the administration to put the proposed project at the top of the Oregon State priority listing, but that it had been necessary for the institution to recommend the construction of married student housing as its first priority and the intramural/recreation facilities as the second priority.

In response to questions, it was indicated that space for intramural activities is provided on the campuses in the regular physical education facilities. It was indicated also that the combination of handball and squash courts would improve the utilization of the space and would be a satisfactory arrangement.

Mrs. Johnson questioned the policy implications in the financing program as they related to the use of the facility for physical education instructional programs; the use of auxiliary enterprise reserves, which are mainly accumulated from dormitory operations, in the funding of this project; and the requirement of Article XI-F(1) bonding that it be used for buildings that can be completely self-liquidating and self-supporting.

Mr. Hunderup responded that no funds for the proposed project were coming from balances which have accrued from dormitory operations. He said that buildings and structures which are financed from bond borrowings under Article XI-F(1) are to be wholly self-supporting and self-liquidating through user charges and through student building fees.
The Board has adopted a policy that building fees for all campuses in the State System will be commingled and will be used for the construction of auxiliary enterprise projects which are not productive of income or do not produce sufficient income to amortize the principal and interest requirements over the 30-year life of the bonds.

Mr. Hunderup stated that the concept of charges to students for certain types of recreational activities is now under study by the Vice Chancellor for Administration. At the present time the recommended action is completely consistent with the Board's existing policies of financing auxiliary enterprise projects. In addition, a part of the student activities fees would be used to cover a portion of the operating costs for this project.

The Building Committee recommended that the Board approve the recommendations as presented.

Board Discussion and Action

During the discussion, Mr. Hunderup said that this project was scheduled for construction during the second year of the biennium. The Ways and Means Committee report specified that the request to proceed with this project be referred to the Emergency Board after July 1, 1972.

The Board approved the recommendations as presented.

(Considered by Building Committee, May 18, 1971.)

When the School of Forestry moves into the new Peavy Hall during the summer of 1971, it is anticipated that the building being vacated at the southeast corner of Jefferson Way and College Drive (26th Street) will be assigned to the English and Psychology Departments of Oregon State University. The staff of the English Department is currently being provided with office space in the temporary war surplus buildings that are scheduled to be removed later in 1971 when the new Administration Building is completed. The Psychology Department is presently located in Education Hall and its transfer to the old forestry building will provide additional space for the School of Education which is critically needed.

The old forestry building was constructed in 1917 and contains a gross area of approximately 28,380 square feet. It is a frame building with brick veneer exterior. Although it is structurally sound, it is deficient in a number of respects, particularly with respect to electrical service and various safety items. To the extent possible, these deficiencies need to be corrected as part of the remodeling project proposed in the 1971-1973 capital outlay requests and from institutional resources available during the current fiscal year.
Preliminary plans for the renovation project have been prepared by the staff of the Physical Plant Department, and it is estimated that approximately $68,000 will be required to install some new partitions, revamp the lighting and heating systems and undertake related work for the most effective use of the space by the English and Psychology Departments. Several general purpose classrooms would be provided and there would be an increase of about 25 office stations over the present capacity of the building. It may be necessary to install fire sprinklers in certain areas, such as within the corridors and exitways. Some of the preliminary phases of the work, including the revision of the building's electrical system and power supply, are programmed to be undertaken from institutional operating budget funds as soon as the building is vacated, and it is expected that the remainder, involving approximately $60,000, would be contracted as soon as legislative authorization is obtained for the capital outlay alterations and rehabilitation work (Priority No. 5 in the revised listing of educational and general plant projects).

It was recommended that the preliminary plans for the proposed renovation of the old forestry building at Oregon State University be approved and that the appropriate Board officials be authorized to arrange for the completion of final plans and specifications so that bids may be solicited and contract awards made in accordance with the program outlined above. The planning costs are being financed from the allocation of $4,000 from the Board's reserve for physical plant rehabilitation and minor capital outlay reported to the Board on January 25, 1971.

Discussion and Recommendation by Committee

The Building Committee recommended that the Board approve the recommendations as presented.

Board Discussion and Action

Mr. Hunderup reported that subsequent to the Building Committee meeting on May 18, 1971, the State Fire Marshal had directed institutional officials to install sprinklers and make certain other revisions, including changes in exits. These revisions would require a total expenditure for the renovation work of $82,000, of which $18,000 would now be provided from institutional resources in the current operating budget, $60,000 would come from the legislative appropriation, and the remaining $4,000 has already been expended for plan preparation. The increase in the expenditure limitation would require Emergency Board approval.

The Board approved the recommendations as presented, with the understanding that the amended cost figures were approved as indicated above.
Easement to Consumers Power, Inc., for Power Line Relocation, OSU

(Considered by Building Committee, May 18, 1971.)

Consumers Power, Inc., an electric cooperative in the mid-Willamette Valley, has requested an easement for the relocation of certain power poles and lines on property within the Adair Ranch of Oregon State University. In consideration for this easement, the utility company has agreed to install a power line from the main line to an irrigation pump located near a barn on the ranch at no cost to the University. The value of such an installation is estimated to be approximately $1,900.

It was recommended that the appropriate Board officers be authorized to execute an easement to Consumers Power, Inc., for the relocation of certain power poles and lines within the Adair Ranch in accordance with their offer and with the understanding that the form of the document, to be drafted by the company, shall be satisfactory to the Board's attorney.

Discussion and Recommendation by Committee

The Building Committee recommended that the Board approve the recommendation as presented.

Board Discussion and Action

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

Report of Purchase of Rudisill Property, OSU

Pursuant to authority granted by the Board, arrangements have been made to acquire the Rudisill property located within the approved projected boundaries of Oregon State University at 2807 N. W. Orchard Street, Corvallis. The property consists of a lot containing approximately 5,500 square feet improved with a two-story wood frame residence. The purchase price of $12,350 is in line with appraisals obtained by the institution. The property is in an area designated for parking, and the funds to finance the acquisition would be provided from the proceeds of the sale of Article XI-F(1) bonds, authorized by the 1969 Legislature.

Board Discussion and Action

The Board accepted the report as presented.

Easement to City of Corvallis on N.W. 53rd Street, OSU

In order to install a 12-inch water main which would serve the Benton County Fairgrounds on N. W. 53rd Street, south of Harrison and across from property owned by Oregon State University, the City of Corvallis has requested that the Board provide a permanent right-of-way and easement for the use of a strip of land ten feet wide and extending 1,500 feet south of Harrison Street. During the period of construction, which would be undertaken immediately, the City would be permitted to use a wider strip of land, not exceeding 30 feet, abutting the east side of the road (53rd Street).
In view of the benefit of this project to the public, no charge would be made for the easement. None of the cost of the new water line would be assessed against Oregon State University until such time as the institution wishes to obtain service from it.

It was recommended that the appropriate Board officers be authorized to execute the easement for the water line with the understanding that the form of the document, to be drafted by the City of Corvallis, shall be satisfactory to the Board's attorney.

Board Discussion and Action

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

Report of Inspection & Acceptance of Central Heating Plant Boiler, OSU

Upon the recommendation of Oregon State University officials and Engineers Morrison, Funatake & Associates, the work performed by the construction contractor for the Central Heating Plant Boiler was accepted as of May 6, 1971, subject to the completion of a few minor items. A revised semifinal budget for the project is shown below in comparison with the budget reported to the Board on April 27, 1970.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Costs</th>
<th>Revised Budget 5/6/71</th>
<th>Original Budget 4/27/70</th>
<th>Increase or Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct construction costs - A. J. Zinda Co., Portland</td>
<td>$154,142</td>
<td>$152,917</td>
<td>$1,225 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional services fees</td>
<td>8,478</td>
<td>8,410</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction supervision</td>
<td>3,595</td>
<td>4,100</td>
<td>(505)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical plant costs, including removal of existing boiler, project administration, testing, utility services and miscellaneous expenses</td>
<td>18,785</td>
<td>14,985</td>
<td>3,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,588</td>
<td>(4,588)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$185,000</td>
<td>$185,000</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Includes the provision of stiffening ribs on front of boiler; the installation of 4' reinforced conduit between two tunnels, backfill, etc.; the installation of additional spring hangers; modifications to the central electrical panel and other changes incorporated within eight approved change orders.

Plans and specifications for the boiler were prepared by Engineers Morrison, Funatake & Associates of Portland. It is expected that the capacity of the new boiler will be approximately 75,000 pounds of steam per hour. It replaces an obsolete boiler installed in 1926 (rated at 20,000 lbs. per hour) and is equipped to burn either gas or oil. The capacity of the heating plant has been increased to about 345,000 pounds of steam per hour as a result of this installation.

Inasmuch as a portion of the costs relate to auxiliary enterprises, $55,000 was provided from balances available for such projects, and the remainder of $130,000 was provided from the General Fund appropriation authorized in Chapter 664, Oregon Laws 1969.
RECAPITULATION UPON INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE

Project - OSU Central Heating Plant Boiler
Engineers - Morrison, Funatake & Associates, Portland
Legislative authorization - Chapter 664, Oregon Laws 1969
Board’s priority in 1969-1971 - No. 19 (Educational and General Plant) 
No. 16 (Auxiliary Enterprises)

Total project costs $ 185,000
Estimated direct construction costs $ 154,142

Financing plan:
- General Fund appropriation $ 130,000
- Balances available for auxiliary enterprises $ 55,000
- Total $ 185,000

Board Discussion and Action

The Board accepted the report as presented.

Upon the recommendation of Oregon State University officials and Architects Hamlin, Martin & Schultz, the Vice Chancellor for Facilities Planning inspected and accepted the work performed by the construction contractors for Peavy Hall as of May 25, 1971, subject to the completion of a few minor items. A revised semifinal budget for the project is shown below in comparison to the budget reported to the Board on September 8, 1969:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Costs</th>
<th>Revised Budget 5/25/71</th>
<th>Original Budget 9/8/69</th>
<th>Increase or Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct construction costs:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Work - C. A. Lantz Construction Co., Salem</td>
<td>$1,401,844</td>
<td>$1,294,508</td>
<td>$ 107,336 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Work - Western</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical, Inc., Medford</td>
<td>627,250</td>
<td>624,283</td>
<td>2,967 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Work - Electric Corp., Salem</td>
<td>214,892</td>
<td>210,080</td>
<td>4,812 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Direct Construction Costs</td>
<td>$2,243,986</td>
<td>$2,128,871</td>
<td>$ 115,115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional services fees, including investigation, surveys, etc.</td>
<td>153,555</td>
<td>135,149</td>
<td>18,406 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movable equipment</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction supervision</td>
<td>19,300</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>1,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous costs including balancing of mechanical systems and printing</td>
<td>29,159</td>
<td>25,403</td>
<td>3,756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modification to primary electrical distribution system</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13,000 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42,577</td>
<td>-42,577 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total project costs</td>
<td>$2,474,000</td>
<td>$2,365,000</td>
<td>$ 109,000 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sources of Funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources of Funds</th>
<th>Revised Budget 5/25/71</th>
<th>Original Budget 9/8/69</th>
<th>Increase or (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Fund appropriation in Chapter 404, Oregon Laws 1967</td>
<td>$95,000</td>
<td>$95,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General obligation bond borrowings under Article XI-G of Oregon Constitution</td>
<td>1,853,915</td>
<td>1,853,915</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal grant under Title I of Higher Education Facilities Act of 1963, as amended</td>
<td>416,085</td>
<td>416,085</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gifts - OSU Foundation</td>
<td>109,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>109,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revised Budget 5/25/71</th>
<th>Original Budget 9/8/69</th>
<th>Increase or (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2,474,000</td>
<td>$2,365,000</td>
<td>$109,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Includes reinstatement of alternates (made possible by receipt of Gift Funds) for landscape irrigation and completion, hardwood plywood paneling, field equipment lockers, fixed equipment for graduate laboratory, elevator, entry ramp, courtyard screens and planters; changing of resilient floor covering from vinyl asbestos to rubber tile; adding of window louvers for certain rooms, reworking of subbase for service court because of discovery of unstable soil conditions, and other changes incorporated in a total of ten approved change orders.

(2) Includes reinstatement of alternate for fixed equipment for graduate laboratory; changes in duct work; furnishing of overload protection and starters for exhaust fans; and other changes incorporated in a total of nine approved change orders.

(3) Includes reinstatement of alternate for fixed equipment for graduate laboratory, elevator controls, entry ramp, courtyard screens, and planters; change auditorium lighting system; change of exit lights in basement, and other changes incorporated in a total of eight approved change orders.

(4) Includes addition of balancing of mechanical system originally budgeted under Miscellaneous Costs.

(5) This item was reviewed by the Board's Committee on Buildings and Other Physical Facilities on April 6, 1971, and approved by the Board at its meeting on April 30, 1971.

(6) When bids for the project were received, the sum of the lowest base bids was almost 10 percent above the revised direct construction cost estimates. By exercising most of the deductive alternates (these were noted in the report to the Board on September 8, 1969), it was possible to develop a satisfactory program for construction within the expenditure limitation of $2,365,000 which had been authorized for the project by Chapter 404, Oregon Laws 1967. In view of the bid overrun, institutional officials made contact with representatives of the forest industries and received gift funds to permit the reinstatement of some of the deductive alternates and to supplement the budget for movable equipment. Board officials requested and later received authorization from the State Emergency Board to increase the expenditure limitation and to expend these gift funds.
Plans and specifications for Peavy Hall were prepared by Architects Hamlin, Martin & Schultz of Eugene. The building is located on the southwest corner of the intersection of Jefferson Way and the West Mall very near the federal Forest Insect Research Laboratory. Within the basement level which has access to the service entrances, provision was made for field equipment and supplies, student locker rooms and various instructional and research laboratories. The major portion of the main floor level will be used for departmental and staff offices, a large lecture room, and laboratories for aerial photography and wood technology. On the upper level, provision was made for additional faculty offices, the climatology-environment laboratory, several teaching laboratories and special purposes spaces including the audio-visual center for the School of Forestry. A total of approximately 479 classroom and laboratory student stations and 82 office stations was provided within the building.

Only the lowest level of the building, partially below grade, and the main floor slab are of reinforced concrete construction. The two upper levels are of heavy beam construction using glue-laminated columns, beams and purlins with heavy decking at the top floor and roof. Some brick veneer is featured on the exterior surface of the main floor. The entire building is air conditioned.

The direct construction costs of $2,243,986 include fixed equipment, walkways, utilities service connections, etc. By excluding utility services, landscaping and other site costs, the direct construction costs would be $2,148,201, or about $25.57 per square foot.

RECAPITULATION UPON INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE

Project - OSU Peavy Hall (Forestry Building)

Architects - Hamlin, Martin & Schultz, Eugene

Legislative authorization - Chapter 404, Oregon Laws 1967

Board's priority - No. 23 in 1967-1969

Approximate gross area - 84,020 square feet

Estimated total project cost $2,474,000

Estimated total direct construction costs:
Total, including site development and utility connections $2,243,986
Total for building and fixed equipment only $2,148,201
Average (per square foot) - $25.57
Financing plan:
- General Fund appropriation in Chapter 404, Oregon Laws 1967: $95,000
- General obligation bond borrowings under Article XI-G of Oregon Constitution: $1,853,915
- Federal grant under Title I of Higher Education Facilities Act of 1963, as amended: $416,085
- Gifts – OSU Foundation: $109,000
- Total: $2,474,000

Board Discussion and Action

The Board accepted the report as presented.

Experimental Board & Room Rates for 1971-72 for Graduate Students in Snell Hall, OSU

At the January 25, 1971, meeting, the Board established rates of charge for board and room at the several institutions. The general principle is that the annual board and room charge is divided 45 percent fall term, 30 percent winter term, and 25 percent spring term, and that the standard multiple occupancy rate with board for seven days would be $960.

In an effort to encourage increased occupancy of residence halls by graduate students, Oregon State University proposes that term-by-term rates, based upon the length of the term, be instituted for graduate students in Snell Hall, which rates with 7-day meals would total about $50 per year more than the January 25, 1971, standard multiple occupancy rate. Moreover, they propose a 5-day 15-meal plan at about 9 percent reduced rate.

On an experimental basis, it was recommended that Oregon State University be authorized to offer prospective tenants of Snell Hall in 1971-72 options on the following bases:

**20-MEAL PLAN**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FALL</th>
<th>WINTER</th>
<th>SPRING</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Double Room Board</td>
<td>$169</td>
<td>$148</td>
<td>$148</td>
<td>$465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$362</td>
<td>$324</td>
<td>$324</td>
<td>$1,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Room Board</td>
<td>$249</td>
<td>$209</td>
<td>$209</td>
<td>$667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$442</td>
<td>$385</td>
<td>$385</td>
<td>$1,212</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**15-MEAL PLAN**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FALL</th>
<th>WINTER</th>
<th>SPRING</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Double Room Board</td>
<td>$169</td>
<td>$148</td>
<td>$148</td>
<td>$465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$344</td>
<td>$307</td>
<td>$307</td>
<td>$958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Room Board</td>
<td>$249</td>
<td>$209</td>
<td>$209</td>
<td>$667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$424</td>
<td>$368</td>
<td>$368</td>
<td>$1,160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion and Recommendation by Committee

The Finance Committee recommended that the Board approve the recommendation as presented.

Board Discussion and Action

In response to a question from Mrs. Johnson, President MacVicar indicated that in addition to the innovations in financing, the residents of this dormitory would be either graduate students or undergraduate students over 21 years of age. Consequently, some of the rules and regulations applicable to the usual residence hall situation would be modified. Food service will be offered initially in Snell Hall. However, other food services are available in close proximity to Snell Hall if the food service in Snell Hall does not prove to be financially feasible. At present the Oregon State University food services are under a single management, although the food is prepared in separate kitchens. He said there were more than 300 spaces provided in Snell Hall.

President MacVicar introduced Mr. Ron Wilkinson, President of the Associated Students of Oregon State University, who was present at his first Board meeting to answer any questions concerning the residence hall accommodations from the students' point of view. Mr. Wilkinson said he had nothing to add to President MacVicar's statement.

The Board approved the recommendations as presented.

(Considered by Building Committee, May 18, 1971.)

Architects Skidmore, Owings & Merrill are preparing plans and specifications for modifications to the mechanical systems within the Science Building, Second Addition, at the University of Oregon in an effort to correct some difficulties which have been experienced since the building was completed in the fall of 1968. The proposed changes would include the improvement of air circulation and the exhausting of contaminated air from various laboratory areas within the building as well as the re-balancing of all mechanical systems within the building. (The need for complete re-balancing is due, in part, to the fact that portions of the interior have been completed or are now in progress under separate construction contracts for which the plans and specifications were prepared by other architects and engineers.)

Although it is expected that the major portion of the University's share of the costs of undertaking the corrections and improvements would be financed from balances available within the capital construction accounts and building use credits (from indirect cost allowances from gifts and grants), it may be necessary to finance some of the work from the Board's reserve for physical plant rehabilitation and minor capital outlay. Consequently, it was recommended that the appropriate Board officials be authorized to allocate such resources to the extent required with the understanding that a report thereof would be made to the Board.
in the docket of a subsequent meeting. Tentatively, it is estimated that such an allocation would be $30,000 or less, but the actual requirements cannot be determined until bids are received and contract awards are made for certain portions of the work. Other phases of the work, such as the re-balancing of the mechanical systems, would be undertaken on a time-and-materials basis in accordance with standard practice.

Discussion and Recommendation by Committee

The Building Committee recommended that the Board approve the recommendation as presented.

Board Discussion and Action

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

Report of Comprehensive Utilities Study, UO

(Considered by Building Committee, May 18, 1971.)

On March 10, 1970, a report was made to the Board of the appointment Sandwell International, Incorporated, of Portland, to make a comprehensive engineering-economics study of various utility services at the University of Oregon.

Before reporting on the findings and recommendations of the engineers, it may be helpful to indicate some of the historical data concerning the central utility plant at the University.

Central Heating and Power Plant Facilities - General

Based upon a 1949 report prepared by Engineer J. Donald Kroeker, the Board authorized the planning of a combined heating and generating unit at the University of Oregon. It had been emphasized to Board members by University officials that substantial savings would be made in the light and power bill when and if the power plant were put into operation. Following the completion of final plans and the availability of funds from the Oregon Legislature, construction of the project began in late 1949. Since its completion in 1951, the University's central heating and power plant, which is located north of Franklin Boulevard between the Millrace and the Willamette River, has been the sole source of steam for campus heating, and through its three turbine generators has had adequate capacity to generate most of the University's power requirements.

Boilers and Auxiliaries

The original building housed three boilers, each with a capacity of approximately 35,000 pounds of steam per hour. In 1959, the capacity of the existing three boilers was increased from a total of 105,000 to about 135,000 pounds of steam per hour. In 1966, a fourth boiler with a rated capacity of approximately 110,000 pounds of steam per hour was installed. All boilers are fired by hog fuel as the primary fuel but are equipped for conversion to oil as standby fuel.
Steam System

The steam from all four boilers combines in a common header to serve:
(1) the two condensing, single automatic extraction turbo-generators;
(2) the one straight back pressure turbo-generator; (3) the main
campus for heating buildings, laundry services and kitchen facilities
(these latter two items only represent in the order of five percent of
the total heating load) via the utility tunnel under Franklin Boulevard
and then throughout the campus by the tunnel system; (4) the north
campus physical plant buildings and art studios; (5) miscellaneous
auxiliary items such as soot blowers, auxiliary turbines for boiler
feed pumps, etc. (these items are estimated to be about five percent
of steam generation); and (6) the central air conditioning chillers.
Both a low pressure system (20 psig/300°) and medium pressure system
(60 psig/300°) are maintained and most of the condensate from the low
pressure system is returned to the heating plant. ("psig" represents
pounds per square inch gauge pressure.)

Air Conditioning System

The central utility plant also contains the heart of the campus air
conditioning system. Two 1,250-ton steam-actuated water chillers are
located there. The first unit was installed in 1966 and the second
unit was placed in service in April 1970. A third unit was requested
with the College of Education Building project and was authorized
by the 1969 Legislature, but was deferred by later action of the State
Emergency Board.

Electric Power Supply

Electric power is supplied to the contiguous campus area from two main
paralleled sources—the steam-electric generating plant owned by the
University, and the distribution system of EWEB (Eugene Water and
Electric Board). Turbo-generators No. 1 and No. 2 were installed in
1951 along with their related equipment as a 2,400-volt system. In
1953, a 2,500 KVA transformer and related equipment were installed to
provide a tie with the system of EWEB. Turbo-generator No. 3 was
installed in 1959. The capacity of the EWEB tie was increased in
1966 by the installation of a new 3,000 KVA transformer in parallel
with the original transformer. In order to maintain distribution
capability of the feeder lines, the system was converted from 2,400
volts to 4,160 volts. ("KVA" represents kilovolt amperes.)

Electric Distribution System - Feeder Cables

When the system was built in 1951, four 2,400-volt feeders were in-
stalled. One feeder, the station feeder, serves the heating plant
and other facilities located north of the millrace.

The other three feeders, identified as campus feeders No. 1, No. 2 and
No. 3, serve all contiguous campus loads south of Franklin Boulevard.
Generally, Feeder No. 1 serves the west part of the campus, Feeder
No. 2 branches out to serve the Science complex and adjoining build-
ings; and Feeder No. 3 runs to a distribution vault south of Carson
Hall to serve the residence hall area and the Student Health Service.
Building Vaults and Service Entrances

There are more than fifty building services connected to the campus electrical distribution system. There are many different arrangements of step-down transformers, service entrance disconnecting means and wiring. Some transformers are located outside buildings and others are within buildings. In order to make an expedient change of the voltage from 2,400 to 4,160, secondary transformer connections became varied and complex. All work was done in accordance with recommendations of consulting engineers.

The Utilities Study by Sandwell International, Inc.

Institutional officials and Sandwell International, Incorporated, have filed with the Board's Office a copy of the "Utilities Master Plan Study, University of Oregon, Eugene," which is in two volumes.

Volume one of the study contains a summary, a description of the present heating plant, a description of the existing electrical system, a report on the past operation, including staffing recommendations, operating costs, and the capital investment presently in the plant. These are followed by discussions on various alternate fuels, operating modes for the heating plant, existing and alternative electrical systems, heating plant needs, and a synopsis of estimated capital expenditures.

Volume two contains the supporting data. It is well documented with cost analyses, calculations, tables, graphs and reports. The supporting data are a result of the engineers' review of operators' log sheets for eight of the past ten years; and similar monthly reviews for the thirty-eight consecutive months from January 1967 through February 1970.

Findings of the Study

In summarizing their study, representatives of Sandwell International, Incorporated, expressed the following conclusions and recommendations:

Steam Generation

1. Present engineering economics indicate that the heating plant's primary fuel should continue to be wood waste, with back-up residual fuel oil.

2. The University's concept of the steam plant should be that its primary purpose is to furnish steam and chilled water to meet campus needs. Electric power generated should be incidental to its primary purpose and be economical.

3. Depending on whether the University decides to provide complete standby boiler capacity or partial standby, a new boiler with a capacity of 65,000 lbs/hr. may be required immediately or may be postponed until 1980.
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4. The deaerating feed water heater, the boiler feed water pump, and the fuel oil storage capacity are inadequate to serve the present boilers properly and safely.

5. The plant is understaffed, and there appears to have been insufficient concern for detailed record keeping and reporting. (Note: The University has employed some additional staff subsequent to the receipt of the engineers' recommendations.)

Electrical

1. Hazardous conditions are so basic and pervasive throughout the distribution system in the tunnels that no measure short of complete replacement could assure a safe installation if the present concept is maintained.

2. The present distribution system in the tunnels should be abandoned and arrangements should be made with the Eugene Water and Electric Board to provide electrical service directly to campus buildings south of Franklin Boulevard.

3. Economical power generation within the campus utility plant can be secured only from the operation of the back-pressure turbo-generator (No. 2). The condensing, automatic extraction turbo-generators (Nos. 1 and 3) should normally be on standby, retaining the capability credit from EWEB.

Chilled Water for Air Conditioning

1. More instrumentation and subsequent evaluations should be made in order to analyze properly the need for future chiller units.

University officials have responded to this report of the consulting engineers in the development of the capital construction requests for 1971-1973 by assigning highest priority to the following items:

1. The Utilities Extension. Sandwell engineers had anticipated that this project, having been funded in 1969-1971, would be constructed. Their report indicated concurrence that it was vital to the steam distribution system. Inasmuch as the funding was cancelled when unencumbered state appropriations were returned to the General Fund to meet the additional requirements for Public Welfare programs, the need for the utility extension has been recognized by the assignment of a very high priority in the 1971-1973 capital outlay requests.

2. Connections with electrical service from EWEB to serve a portion of the campus and relieve overloads within the present distribution system. The electrical demands have increased beyond the safe limits of the three feeders serving the campus south of Franklin Boulevard. Inasmuch as some direct service to a portion of the campus to relieve these feeders must be accomplished, the request for utility improvements includes costs which are likely to be incurred by the University for adapting secondary distribution to a new service.
3. Two new 7,500 KVA transformers. These are included to provide the initial step of up-grading the campus electrical distribution system. If the decision is to change the distribution system and accept service direct from EWEB at various points throughout the campus, rather than at the central plant only, the need for these transformers may be eliminated. However, depending on the length of time required to secure the installation of the new service, and the University costs incurred on account of this change, it appears advisable to include the transformers as part of the utility improvement requests for 1971-1973.

4. Boiler feed water pump. It is essential that this equipment be installed in the plant as soon as it can be accomplished.

5. Replacing condensate lines in the tunnels. Although the Sandwell engineers placed more emphasis on the operation of the central plant, they did recognize the deteriorated condition of the return lines and indicated the need for replacement.

6. Electrical hazards in tunnels. Among the more pressing emergencies in improving the safety and dependability of the present electrical distribution system is the installation of an insulated neutral with the present feeders. The present system of depending upon an open bare wire as both a ground and a neutral is dangerous. Regardless of whether or not a decision is made to change the system and obtain an increased amount of service from EWEB, it will be a number of years before the complete change can be effected, and it is likely that much of the electrical distribution system within the tunnels will be retained.

Other items recommended in the report have been carefully reviewed by the staff of the University. Capital construction items not believed to be of an immediate emergency have been postponed for consideration in subsequent biennia. For example, the proposed installation of an additional boiler has been postponed until 1980. Operating changes and rehabilitation work within the capability of the operating budget are being effected.

Institutional officials are working with the staff of the Eugene Water and Electric Board in the development of contractual arrangements for electrical energy to serve portions of the campus south of Franklin Boulevard. It is expected that arrangements would be brought to the Board for its consideration separately.

It was recommended that the comprehensive utilities study prepared by Sandwell International, Incorporated, for the University of Oregon, be accepted.
Discussion and Recommendation by Committee

During the Committee discussion, Mr. Hunderup indicated that over the years a substantial amount of money had been saved by producing electricity incidental to the production of steam at the University of Oregon. However, the electrical requirements are now disproportionate to the production of steam and it will be more economical to arrange for a portion of the needed electrical service through a contract with Eugene Water and Electric Board. The capacity to produce electricity will be retained and this factor will have a beneficial effect in the rate negotiations.

Mr. Hunderup indicated that acceptance of the report by the Board would acknowledge that the work has been done in compliance with the assignment given to the engineers. It would not imply an obligation to follow all of the recommendations in the report but would provide guidance to the staff of the University and the Board’s Office in utility matters at the University of Oregon.

The Building Committee recommended that the Board accept the report as presented.

Board Discussion and Action

The Board accepted the report as presented.

Agreement with Eugene Water and Electric Board (EWEB) for Electrical Service Connections, UO

As noted in a separate agenda item concerning the comprehensive utilities study by consulting engineers, the electrical distribution system of the University of Oregon is overloaded and it is necessary to provide for construction of facilities for delivery of additional power from Eugene Water and Electric Board (EWEB). Power from the utility company will be delivered to a power pole near the intersection of Emerald Street and Franklin Boulevard, thence underground in a westerly direction to an underground vault to be constructed in close proximity to the Science Building. These improvements would permit service to several major buildings on the campus, including Laurence (architecture), Allen, Deady and Villard Halls, the new Law Center, and various units of the Science complex.

In order to accomplish this project, EWEB has requested an easement for the underground electrical distribution line and necessary appurtenances. The utility company has agreed to solicit bids for the necessary excavations and backfilling, replacement of pavement, curbs and gutters and the installation of cable ducts, manholes, pull boxes and vaults. The University's share of these construction costs is estimated to be approximately $23,000. In addition, the University's Physical Plant Department would undertake other work in the tunnel and in the vault at an estimated cost of about $13,800. All of these items were included within the University of Oregon Physical Plant Utility Improvements which were approved by the 1971 Legislature (Priority No. 2 in the Board's 1971-1973 capital construction program for the educational and general plant, and Priority No. 1 for the auxiliary enterprises category).
It was recommended that the appropriate Board officials be authorized to execute an easement to Eugene Water and Electric Board (EWEB) and such other agreements as may be required for the underground electrical distribution line and necessary appurtenances in accordance with their offer and with the understanding that the form of the document, to be drafted by EWEB, shall be satisfactory to the Board's attorney. It was also recommended that authorization be given for the expenditure of funds for the University of Oregon Physical Plant Utility Improvements consistent with the approved 1971-1973 capital outlay program.

**Board Discussion and Action**

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

**Report of Bids for Science Building, Third Addition, UO**

As contemplated when a report was made to the Board on April 30, 1971, concerning the acceptance of the revised final plans and specifications for the proposed third addition to the Science Building at the University of Oregon, new bids for the project were received in Eugene at 8:00 P.M. on May 19. These bids may be summarized as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of Work</th>
<th>No of Bids</th>
<th>Low Bid</th>
<th>High Bid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General work</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$1,179,450</td>
<td>$1,398,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical work</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>779,883</td>
<td>916,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical work</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>309,420</td>
<td>356,605</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inasmuch as the sum of the low bids was within the direct construction cost allowance indicated previously to Architects Skidmore, Owings & Merrill, Portland, it is expected that contract awards will be made to the low bidders after the concurrence of the appropriate legislative committees and the federal granting agencies has been obtained. A report of such action and a summary budget will be included in the docket of the next meeting of the Board. It may take a few weeks to determine the amount of federal participation based upon the revised program and the actual bid figures.

As authorized by the 1967 Oregon Legislature, the expenditure limitation for the project (exclusive of $400,000 for Phase II of the campus central cooling system) is $3,455,000. Of this amount, approximately $1,521,222 is expected to be provided from two grants by separate agencies within the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, and the remainder is to come from state appropriations, Article XI-G bond borrowings, restoration fund proceeds and building use credits.

**Board Discussion and Action**

The Board accepted the report as presented.

(Considered by Finance Committee, May 18, 1971.)

**Release of Board's Interest in Invention of Dr. Ernest A. Meyer, UOMS**

Dr. Ernest A. Meyer, Associate Professor of Microbiology at the University of Oregon Medical School, during December 1966 presented a device consisting of an attachment for a commonly used type of Seitz filter which substantially increases the reservoir volume of liquid to be filtered, for review concerning its patentability. The
Patent Committee of the University of Oregon Medical School subsequently decided that there was nothing sufficiently unique in the invention to justify a patent application. Dr. Meyer then requested a waiver from the Medical School to relinquish all rights to the invention by the Board. However, that request was not acted upon since Dr. Meyer had no immediate plans to pursue the patentability of his invention.

Now, Dr. Meyer has again requested that the Board release its interest in the invention, as described above, so that he might be able to explore commercial interest of the invention. The opinion of the Medical School Patent Committee is reported by the Associate Dean to the effect that "There is absolutely no doubt... that the device is not patentable."

It was recommended that the Board release its interest in the invention of Dr. Ernest A. Meyer in accordance with Section 64.230 of the Administrative Rules.

Discussion and Recommendation of Committee

The Finance Committee recommended that the Board approve the recommendation as presented.

Board Discussion and Action

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

Sale of Property, Noren Estate, UOHS

Included in the assets of the Myrtle W. Noren bequest to Doernbacher Hospital was a one-fifth interest in real estate located at 2027 N.E. Multnomah Street, Portland. The property consists of a lot containing approximately 5,000 square feet, improved with an old house in poor condition. An appraisal obtained on the property indicates that the principal value of the property is in the land. The zoning of the property would permit construction of three apartment units on the property. The property is being managed by the Oregon Bank on behalf of the five charitable and educational institutions, including the Medical School, who were to share equally in the residual. The bank has a prospective purchaser for the property and the other beneficiaries of the estate have concurred in the sale to the prospective purchaser.

The property is the last real property in the estate and has not been income-producing to any substantial degree since the death of Mrs. Noren in February of 1965. The proposed terms of the sale include a stipulated minimum price, based on an appraisal, of $9,000 with no minimum down payment, but with monthly payments not less than $180, including interest at 8 percent per annum on the unpaid balance.

It was recommended that the Board, on behalf of the Medical School, join with the other four parties in offering the property for sale on the indicated terms.

Board Discussion and Action

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.
Fee Increase UOMS Hospital

As a part of the response to the legislative expectation that patient fees should produce 47 percent of the operating budget income for the University of Oregon Medical School Hospital in 1971-1973 (contrasted with 40 percent in past budgets), it was recommended that the following changes be made in the schedule of Hospital charges, effective July 1, 1971.

The urgency of action is required by the necessity for advance notice to the insurance carriers.

RECOMMENDED CHANGES IN SCHEDULE OF CHARGES FOR PATIENTS IN THE UNIVERSITY OF OREGON MEDICAL SCHOOL HOSPITAL

Effective July 1, 1971

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic Patient Care and Ancillary Services:</th>
<th>Present Rates for All Patients</th>
<th>Proposed New Rates</th>
<th>All Other Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charge per day for basic patient care</td>
<td>$55</td>
<td>$58</td>
<td>$58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(This rate applies to all beds regardless of the number in the room)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge per day for special diagnostic and treatment service:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st - 4th days, per day</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th - 8th days, per day</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th - 12th days, per day</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each day thereafter</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Charges for Special Services Not Included in the Above Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present Rates</th>
<th>Proposed Rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac catheterization laboratory fee</td>
<td>$210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosomal studies</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccal smear</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermal prints</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary function study</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient surgery</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete spirometry</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete lung volumes</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial oxygen, carbon dioxide and PH</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Board discussion and Action

In response to questions concerning the status of support for the Multnomah County Hospital, the Chancellor said that the 1971 Legislature had directed the Executive Department to undertake a study of the
hospital. This study will consider the appropriate relationship in funding the hospital of the various agencies and departments which are concerned with its facilities. State System personnel would participate in the study.

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

Acceptance of Ordinance for Vacation of Certain Streets within the Area of Development of PSU

In response to the request of officials of Portland State University, the Council of the City of Portland has vacated certain portions of S. W. Park Avenue, S. W. West Park Avenue, S. W. Hall Street, S. W. Harrison Street, S. W. Montgomery Street, and S. W. Mill Street, adjacent to the Park Blocks within the area of development of the institution. The form of Ordinance No. 132640 (Supplement A) passed by the Council appears generally satisfactory. However, a few modifications appear necessary or desirable, and they are being discussed with the staff of the City. It is expected that these changes, or other revisions mutually acceptable, will be incorporated within a revised Ordinance. The amended paragraphs would be worded substantially as follows (modified words are underlined):

(a) Streets shall not be closed in such a manner as to prohibit access for maintenance of computer control signal cables in existing telephone manholes and ducts.

(b) Portland State University or Portland Development Commission shall pay any costs of relocation of said computer control signal cables necessitated by reconstruction of streets, provided the revised layout is furnished prior to the start of construction.

(f) At such time as it is found desirable by the City Engineer to relocate sewer lines, the expense of replacing the surface treatment will be paid by Portland State University or the Portland Development Commission.

(g) That Portland State University or Portland Development Commission shall pay all costs of intersection reconstruction and street closures as required by the City Engineer for work under this Ordinance.

(i) That upon completion of reconstruction for pedestrian use of the streets herein vacated and the adjacent Park Blocks at the expense of Portland State University or Portland Development Commission, all future maintenance of walks, roadways, plantings and all things within the present Park areas will be by the City of Portland Bureau of Parks. The maintenance of all walks, roadways, plantings and all things in vacated street areas will be by Portland State University.

In addition, Section 2 would be amended to include reference to the Portland Development Commission as well as to Portland State University.
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It was recommended that the appropriate Board officials be authorized to acknowledge the acceptance of the Ordinance for the vacation of certain portions of streets within the area of development at Portland State University, provided that satisfactory agreement is reached on the proposed modifications. It was also recommended that the appropriate officers of the Board be authorized to deed to the City of Portland for public purposes those portions of these streets which are being vacated in accordance with the provisions of the terms and conditions of the Ordinance. Through such action, the federal government will participate in the proposed grade level improvements which are programmed as part of the Urban Renewal project benefiting Portland State University.

Discussion and Recommendation by Committee

In response to a question concerning the designation of both Portland State University and the Portland Development Commission for the payment of costs of relocation of computer control signal cables, it was stated that the payment would depend upon the time the relocation took place. Some work will be done under the Urban Renewal Project and some will be done after it is completed. In addition, some costs are an eligible part of the project and others are not because the utilities border property owned by Portland State University before the Urban Renewal agreement was executed.

Mrs. Louise H. Weidlich, President of Mothers for Children, asked how the proposed street vacations would affect police jurisdiction in that area. Mr. Joss replied that if the State Board of Higher Education deeds the title to these vacated streets to the city, it would give the city technically the police responsibility in that area.

Mrs. Johnson questioned whether the closing of the streets and the development jointly by the Portland Development Commission and Portland State University would effectively turn the Park Blocks into a campus area and block out the general public. It was indicated that this issue had been thoroughly discussed at the City Council hearings and that there was no question whatsoever that the Park Blocks are city property and belong to the City of Portland.

The Building Committee recommended that the Board approve the recommendations as presented.

Board Discussion and Action

The Board approved the recommendations as presented.

(Considered by Building Committee, May 18, 1971.)

At the October 23-24, 1967, meeting, in order to clear a portion of the site of the additions to Cramer Hall (formerly identified as State Hall), the Board authorized the expenditure of approximately $3,100 to move a former residence located at 1734 S. W. Park Avenue, popularly known as the "Jennings House" to a temporary location at Southwest Jackson Street and Southwest Broadway. It was noted that although
several individuals and organizations had urged the preservation of this frame building because of its history and its architectural significance, principally for its Roman Ionic derivations, no offers had been received for financial support other than the possible participation of the federal government, through the Portland Development Commission, as part of the Urban Renewal project benefiting Portland State University. Subsequently, when the budget for the Urban Renewal project was formulated and approved, the sum of $39,000 was included to cover the estimated requirements for a new foundation, exterior restoration, plumbing and electrical work, etc., related to this building.

On January 26, 1970, the Board authorized additional expenditures of approximately $4,500 for the rental of steel cribbing and equipment and for placing the building on a temporary (and minimal) foundation pending the availability of a suitable permanent site. To date, therefore, approximately $13,000 has been spent for the moving and temporary foundation costs.

The evaluation of properties of the Board made in 1970 by a Citizen's Committee under the chairmanship of Mr. Thomas Vaughan, Director of the Oregon Historical Society, included the following comments about this building: "Since initial efforts to preserve the Richard J. Jennings house, changes in the overall campus development plan have caused the building to be re-sited in a location other than that which was recommended for it. In light of these changes, the Jennings House is recommended for consideration in future planning, with the suggestion that a suitable use and location might eventually be sought outside the campus." (It should be noted that the classification entitled "Recommended for Consideration in Future Planning" was a lower ranking than that identified as "Top Priority for Preservation.""

A more recent report of a task force appointed by the president of the Portland Chapter of The American Institute of Architects included the following statement: "A major effort to save the Jennings House would appear to be of less importance than the same resources spent on behalf of buildings already well sited and which offer more on the inside as well as the outside to stimulate our interest. Barring sudden success by the Jaycee's or others to find a new site for the Jennings House outside the Portland State University area, or the willingness of the City to give it a place in a park or accept it for storage at the Pioneer Park, it appears doubtful that the house should be preserved."

Inasmuch as a good permanent site has not been found for the Jennings House, and there appear to be no prospects of obtaining outside financial support for moving and rehabilitating the structure, it was recommended that appropriate notice be given to the Historic Landmark Commission and the Council of the City of Portland of the intent to arrange for the demolition of the building. Thereafter, notice would be provided to the Portland Development Commission that the amount
budgeted for the preservation and exterior rehabilitation of the building will not be expended for that purpose. It is estimated that the demolition costs would be approximately $1,500. Funds required therefor would be provided from Article XI-G bond borrowings authorized by the 1965 Legislature for land acquisition and related costs at Portland State University.

Discussion and Recommendation by Committee

Mr. Hunderup indicated that the recommended notice to the Historic Landmark Commission would provide a 90-day period in which persons interested in preserving the property could make their interest known. If this interest appears promising, there is an additional 120-day period provided during which arrangements can be pursued.

In response to a question concerning the ultimate plans for the Fruit and Flower Mission, Mr. Law said that he would predict that the ultimate recommendation from Portland State University would be to demolish that building when the land is needed for other uses. He said this opinion might change if enough support develops in Portland to retain the building, but that at the present time this support does not appear to be available.

Mr. Joss said that there is some advantage in preserving a vintage structure or one that has become familiar to Portland residents. He said a university which has as part of its purpose to interest students and the community in the arts has a certain responsibility for the maintenance of some of these better structures from the past.

Mrs. Johnson suggested that perhaps the house could be advertised for sale at a nominal amount plus the moving costs. She said this would be a preferable alternative to demolition.

Mrs. Louise H. Weidlich, President of Mothers for Children, said that in a federal program in which an historic site was being removed from public land there should be some responsibility on the part of the Portland Development Commission to make some effort with local groups to relocate it. Mr. Hunderup responded that the notice would enable the Historic Landmark Commission and others to seek a solution to the problem.

Mr. Corey expressed the hope that there would be sufficient time to allow any interested persons to preserve this building. He questioned whether the prescribed time limits of 90 and 120 days were enough. Mr. Hunderup indicated that these were the minimum periods but that a longer period of time could be taken if it appeared to be justified. However, if assistance in removing the building is to be obtained through the Urban Renewal Project, it would be necessary to raze it before the Urban Renewal Project is completed.
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Mr. Low said that efforts to preserve the building have been underway for two or three years but with little success. In response to a question concerning the possibility of relocating the house on a permanent site within the area of development of Portland State University, Mr. McMinn said that the only suitable site is in the block where the building is now located. However, there are some problems in connection with the remainder of the properties on this block. Furthermore, the house was not designed for the noise factor that exists in that location. On the western portion of the institution's properties, there are undeveloped areas, but a site for the Jennings House would require 10,000 to 15,000 square feet. If the house were placed on a site in such western portion, it would greatly inhibit the alternatives and the possible siting of future buildings in that area.

The Building Committee recommended that the Board approve the recommendation as presented. Mr. Corey and Mr. Holmes voted in favor of the motion and Mr. Joss voted against it.

Board Discussion and Action

In response to a question during the Board discussion, Mr. Hunderup explained that an amount of $39,000 incorporated in the Urban Renewal grant for resiting the Jennings House would apply toward the costs of putting the Jennings House on a permanent site, connecting utilities, and certain exterior work. Any interior work other than the heating plant would not be eligible for participation under the grant. However, it is possible that the demolition costs would be eligible under the urban renewal project.

Mrs. Johnson said that in many instances buildings are about to be demolished when they come to the attention of the Board. She said that before a decision had to be reached on the Fruit and Flower Mission that Board members should inspect the building carefully in terms of any possible use which could be made of the structure. She also said that individuals who are interested in acquiring any of the parts of the Jennings House should have an opportunity to do so.

President Wolfe said that he would favor the preservation of some of the old quality in the area of development of Portland State University. However, extensive efforts to preserve the Jennings House have been unsuccessful to date and it seems prudent to discontinue the expenditure of further time and effort on this project. Nevertheless, any possibilities of saving all or a portion of the building will be considered if they should become available.

The Board approved the recommendations as presented.

(Considered by Building Committee, May 18, 1971.)

Demolition of Swedenburg House, SOC

Following the discussion of the former Jennings House at Portland State University during the meeting of the Committee on Buildings and Other Physical Facilities on May 18, Mr. Hunderup referred to the former Swedenburg property at Southern Oregon College which the Board acquired
in 1966. He reported that the house has been used - on a temporary or interim basis - as an art gallery and reception center and noted that in the siting of the proposed Classroom Building, for which funds are being requested in 1971-1973, the Board took action with the understanding that it would not require the demolition of the Swedenburg House. He said this is still the current thinking, but rather than perpetuate an obligation to preserve and maintain this former residence, it was recommended that appropriate notice be given of the intent to raze or remove the house unless suitable arrangements can be made with other agencies, groups, or individuals who might be interested in preserving this property at no cost to the state for a use that is compatible with the activities of Southern Oregon College.

Mr. Hunderup mentioned that in the 1969 Oregon legislative session, the Ways and Means Committee stipulated that the Swedenburg House should not be considered in the long-range planning for Southern Oregon College. This was considered by some legislators as an admonition to remove the building at an early date. Furthermore, during a recent visit to the campus by members of the Ways and Means Committee, questions were raised concerning the disposition of the house.

Although it was acknowledged that some people felt the building had qualities of architectural significance, some of the charm was sacrificed when portions of the landscaped grounds were used for the widening of Mountain Avenue south of Siskiyou Boulevard, and there has been renewed concern over the amount of state funds required for maintenance and operation.

Discussion and Recommendation by the Committee

During the discussion of this item at the Committee meeting, Mrs. Johnson said that the situation at Southern Oregon College is even more acute than in Portland in terms of allowing a sufficient time span for groups in Ashland that might possibly want to acquire the building. She urged that the Board be as flexible as possible in trying to accommodate the possible preservation of that house by some group in order that the approach to the College not lose a rather charming feature.

There was some discussion of the legislative suggestion, and it was indicated that a 12-months' period probably would be satisfactory in terms of meeting the legislative directive.

The Building Committee recommended that the Board approve the recommendation that every effort be made to arrange for an appropriate use of the Swedenburg House and the financing of its maintenance and operation by an outside group or organization, with the understanding that the building would be razed or removed after June 1, 1972, if no other satisfactory arrangements were made by that date.

Board Discussion and Action

Mr. Corey said that following the Building Committee meeting several letters were received from local groups, and there were editorials in the local newspapers. He moved that final consideration of the Swedenburg property be postponed until the July Board meeting in
Ashland at which time there will be an opportunity for the Board to examine the property again.

Mr. Layman reported that Mr. Joss had sent a letter and other materials to him in which he urged that in some way the Swedenburg House and grounds be preserved.

Mrs. John C. Cotton, a member of the Educational Coordinating Council from Ashland, was present. She said she represented students and faculty of Southern Oregon College and citizens of Ashland and Jackson County. She said she had petitions requesting preservation of the Swedenburg House signed by 1,200 persons, mostly students. She said it is an extraordinary and highly visible remnant of our Oregon heritage and should be continued in use as a pleasant reminder of earlier times to succeeding generations. She said we as citizens spend a lot of money striving for good architecture in state buildings, and here, already state-owned, is one of the few remaining examples of architect-designed colonial revival, sturdily standing since the turn of the century.

The College administration says it can use the space for offices. It is a matter of bringing the house up to code. One use suggested by the administration was for executive space for the President, two assistants and supporting staff — a total of 12 rooms, at an estimated cost of $40,000 for alterations and renovation, or $10 per square foot, compared with $35 or $40 for new construction. Another use which would preserve the integrity of the interior would be for the College development function, including alumni relations, publications, etc. The latter use would leave some space for students and faculty offices.

She said other possible uses are being considered by the President's Office.

Mrs. Johnson commented that the Swedenburg House is in an entirely different category from the Jennings House in that it is an outstanding piece of architecture and is already sited. In the Ashland community it stands at the gateway of the campus and has been a part of it. There is also a possible use for the structure.

The Board approved the motion by Mr. Corey to postpone further consideration of the Swedenburg property until the July Board meeting in Ashland.

(Considered by Building Committee, May 18, 1971.)

On October 28, 1968, the Board reviewed and approved revisions to the long-range campus development plan for Southern Oregon College which had been prepared with the assistance of Architect Vincent Oredson. It was noted that proposals would be submitted from time to time for the addition of various properties needed to accommodate orderly growth as enrollments increased from 4,000 to 6,000 students (or more). Subsequently, minor adjustments have been made to the approved projected campus boundaries, the most recent of which was in July 1970 when the preliminary plans for the proposed perimeter road were accepted and the area within the campus was increased to approximately 163.34 acres.
Currently, the western edge of the south portion of the campus is located along Mountain Avenue, which borders the site of the Fine Arts complex and the proposed new classroom building. As these units are developed, and as the need for off-street parking spaces becomes even more acute, it is anticipated that additional land would be acquired and improved across Mountain Avenue between Henry and Ashland Streets. This program is in accordance with the recommendations which the campus planner outlined to the Board in October 1968, and recently reaffirmed. There has been close collaboration between the staff of the institution and representatives of other public agencies responsible for planning within the Ashland area.

In view of changes which have occurred in the projection of requirements for additional residence hall spaces, it would not appear necessary to anticipate the construction of the dormitory which the 1968 revision of the master plan had sited at the western edge of the campus. Rather than to extend the campus boundaries for the entire block from Mountain Avenue to Beach Street, therefore, it would seem more appropriate to draw the line at the eastern edge of the residential lots which front onto Beach Street. Typically, these lots have a depth of approximately 200 feet, or about one-third of the width of the block. The area within this block which would be brought within the campus boundaries would total approximately 7.11 acres and would be used principally for the development of vehicular parking. (The capital outlay program for auxiliary enterprises during 1971-1973 includes a request for authorization to expend $560,000 for parking improvements at Southern Oregon College, including related site acquisition costs. If legislative approval is obtained, a portion of these funds could be used for the parking areas along Mountain Avenue.)

In the block west of Mountain Avenue between Siskiyou Boulevard and Henry Street, it is proposed that only the eastern portion, involving about 2.36 acres, be annexed within the projected campus boundaries. Generally, these properties border either Siskiyou Boulevard or Mountain Avenue, or both. Much of the rest of the block is used as the site of the Lincoln Elementary School, although there are some other ownerships also.

It has been brought to the attention of officials of the institution and the Board's Office that developers have acquired several lots south of Henry Street on the east side of Mountain Avenue, just across from the Music Building now under construction, and are planning to make substantial capital improvements within the immediate future. These properties are zoned for high density multiple housing units. At least one other owner of property within this block has indicated his intent to start the construction of apartment units right away. Such developments would be in conflict with the long-range campus development plan for Southern Oregon College. Consequently, it was recommended that the projected campus boundaries be expanded to include the areas described above, and that the appropriate actions be taken to acquire the properties in accordance with Board policies and procedures and within the available resources.
Discussion and Recommendation by Committee

Mr. Don Lewis said that a number of individuals have discussed possible developments near the College in the past. He said that, in his judgment, it would be unfair to permit two developers who have just recently purchased land and are proposing to build structures to do so without giving recognition at least to all those who have previously been responsive to requests of the College to seek space elsewhere. He said that if this block is to be used by private developers, there are many others who perhaps should have had first priority. He indicated that the College is dependent upon the cooperation of the community people to help preserve the areas into which it must eventually expand.

In response to a question concerning proposed alternative locations for parking, Mr. Lewis said there are very few, if any. He pointed out that the land in Ashland is very steep and not suitable for parking in many places.

The parking structure which was proposed at one time is not financially feasible with the present student enrollment. A location closer to downtown would result in difficulties with the removal of other structures and the distance is such that students would move into streets nearer the campus, thus creating community problems. The plan to locate parking in this block would also be consistent with the master plan for traffic in the entire valley. He said that as instructional units are developed on the north campus, parking could be located there, but that at the present enrollment level, the students would be crossing Siskiyou Boulevard, which is hazardous.

Mr. Lewis said that he would consider the use of this particular block for private development as one of the most seriously frustrating things to the future development of the campus.

Mr. James Hershner, an attorney representing the McB Incorporated property, said that the immediate concern of his clients was the southeast corner of the block facing on Mountain Avenue. The area comprises approximately 1.25 acres. It was indicated that the property had been for sale for some time and that it is zoned R-3, which permits multiple living units. It was also pointed out that the R-3 zoning in the campus area is limited. Mr. Hershner said that the plans for the property consist of housing for approximately 160 students and will provide 56 parking spaces. It was stated that the present housing on the property is very poor.

Mrs. Johnson asked about the possibility of solving the parking problem by limiting the campus to pedestrian and bicycle traffic. President Sours responded that Ashland is too steep for much bicycling. In addition, there are a number of commuting students from outside of Ashland.

Mr. Don McBride, one of the developers of McB Incorporated, said that his survey of the students had indicated that they were concerned with proximity to the campus and that some of them do not have automobiles or share rides with others.
In response to a question about the plans for the Jarvis property, Mr. Lewis said that the owner plans to add six or eight units at this time and later plans to remove buildings and replace them. Mr. Jarvis has consulted with college officials and now needs to have a decision on the acquisition of the property by the College, or he will develop it as planned.

President Sours said that the land had not been brought into the campus boundaries previously because once it is incorporated within the boundaries, there is a commitment to purchase property when it is offered for sale. Mr. Hunderup said that the 1971-1973 capital outlay program for auxiliary enterprises, approved by the Board and taken to the Ways and Means Committee, had identified the acquisition of land for parking at Southern Oregon College. It was fully intended to bring this recommendation to the Board, but the timing of the proposed development has been unfortunate.

Mrs. Johnson asked if it were possible to expand the campus boundaries and at the same time permit the private development of a portion of the block with parking to be provided on the remainder of the block. Mr. Lewis said that, in his judgment, it was not compatible with the campus plan to open the block for the proposed development, and that this would necessitate a complete review of the master plan.

The developers concluded by urging that the R-3 zoned property be reserved for multiple housing.

The Building Committee recommended that the Board approve the expansion of the campus boundaries as recommended.

Board Discussion and Action

Mr. Hunderup reported that originally the Building Committee had recommended that the Board expand the campus boundaries to include an additional 9.47 acres west of Monmouth Avenue on the south side of Siskiyou Boulevard. It was also contemplated that condemnation action would be necessary to acquire the Jarvis property and the McE Investments property. Subsequent to the Committee meetings, negotiations with the property owners have been successful and options have been obtained to purchase the properties. The options would result in reducing the net increase in the area to be brought within the projected campus boundaries from 9.47 acres to 9.28 acres.

Mrs. Johnson questioned whether the land would all be used for surface parking. Mr. Hunderup said that it is presently intended to use it for parking but the property may be used for any legitimate purpose which would meet the needs of Southern Oregon College. If it were subsequently used for an educational and general purpose, the statutes prescribe the procedures for the repayment of the amounts that have been used from Article XI-F(1) bond borrowings.

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.
Although the Committee on Buildings and Other Physical Facilities recommended on May 18, 1971, that the Board approve a resolution to condemn the property owned by Mr. and Mrs. Joe P. Jarvis at 1030 Henry Street, Ashland, an option has been obtained for the purchase of this property at a price of $27,750. Inasmuch as this offer is reasonably close to the appraisals obtained by officials of Southern Oregon College, it was recommended that the appropriate Board officials be authorized to complete the purchase. Funds required therefor would be provided from bond borrowings under the provisions of Article XI-F(1) and/or other balances available for auxiliary enterprises. (Such action would be subject to the approval of the Board in expanding the campus boundaries to include this and other properties west of Mountain Avenue. The campus expansion was approved in a separate agenda item above.)

**Board Discussion and Action**

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

On May 18, 1971, the Committee on Buildings and Other Physical Facilities recommended that the Board approve a resolution to condemn the property located at 423, 425, 433, 437 and 455 S. Mountain Avenue, Ashland, owned by a partnership doing business as McB Investments. Subsequently, negotiations by institutional and Board officials have resulted in securing an option from the owners for their property, involving a total area of approximately 60,200 square feet, at a price of $70,625 plus the conveyance of title to the west 60 feet of the Jarvis property described in a separate agenda item. This latter parcel of land adjoins other properties (outside the projected campus boundaries) which McB Investments has recently placed under option as a substitute site for the development of student housing.

It was recommended that the appropriate Board officials be authorized to complete the purchase of the McB Investments properties on S. Mountain Avenue, south of Henry Street, in accordance with the terms and conditions outlined above. Funds required for the net cash payment would be provided from bond borrowings available for auxiliary enterprises. It was also recommended that the expansion of the campus boundaries of Southern Oregon College, outlined in a separate agenda item, be modified to exclude that portion of the Jarvis property to be transferred to McB Investments, thus reducing the net increase in the area to be brought within the projected campus boundaries from 9.47 acres, as contemplated in the agenda item reviewed with the Building Committee on May 18, to 9.28 acres.

**Board Discussion and Action**

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

Upon the recommendation of Southern Oregon College officials and Engineers J. Donald Kroeker and Associates, the work performed by the construction contractor for the South Campus Central Heating Plant Boiler was accepted as of May 6, 1971, subject to the completion of a few minor items. A revised semi-final budget is shown below in comparison with the budget reported to the Board on April 27, 1970:
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Project Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Costs</th>
<th>Revised Budget 5/6/71</th>
<th>Original Budget 4/27/71</th>
<th>Increase or (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct construction costs - Western Mechanical, Inc., Medford</td>
<td>$ 63,800</td>
<td>$ 63,800</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional service fees (including $2,100 for 1968 study of additional heating and cooling requirements)</td>
<td>6,247</td>
<td>6,247</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction supervision, physical plant costs and miscellaneous expenses</td>
<td>4,953</td>
<td>3,677</td>
<td>1,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,276 (1,276)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$ 75,000</td>
<td>$ 75,000</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plans and specifications for the boiler were prepared by Engineers J. Donald Kroeker & Associates of Portland. The new unit is the fourth low-pressure type boiler installed within the utility plant and is equipped to burn either gas or oil. It is expected to have a capacity of about 15,000 pounds of steam per hour, thus increasing the total capacity of the plant to approximately 45,000 pounds of steam per hour. The installation included an induced draft fan, a steel stack and ancillary equipment to integrate the new work with the existing controls for automatic operation with the other boiler and steam distribution system.

Inasmuch as a portion of the costs relate to auxiliary enterprises, $20,000 was provided from balances available for such projects, and the remaining $55,000 was provided from General Fund appropriations.

RECAPITULATION UPON INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE

Project - SOC South Campus Heating Plant Boiler

Engineers - J. Donald Kroeker & Associates, Portland

Legislative authorization - Chapter 664, Oregon Laws 1969

Board's priority in 1969-1971 - No. 17 (Educational and General Plant)
No. 13 (Auxiliary Enterprises)

Estimated total project costs $ 75,000

Estimated direct construction costs $ 63,800

Tentative financing plan:
- General Fund appropriation $ 55,000
- Balances available for auxiliary enterprises $ 20,000
  Total $ 75,000

Board Discussion and Action

The Board accepted the report as presented.
Report of Meeting of Academic Affairs Committee

The Academic Affairs Committee met for a regular meeting at 9:00 A.M., May 17, 1971, in Room 327, Smith Memorial Center, Portland State University. The Committee considered the following matters:

1. Adoption of objectives and procedures for a study of indefinite tenure policies in the State System to be carried on under the jurisdiction of the Board's Committee on Academic Affairs.

2. Consideration of guidelines for the Division of Continuing Education for the 1970's.

A complete report of the Committee's meeting is presented in the document Report of the Meeting of the Committee on Academic Affairs, May 17, 1971, which is bound in a separate volume and is considered an integral part of these minutes.

The Committee acted to adopt the objectives and procedures for the study as proposed by the Board's Office. Objectives of the study will be:

a. To examine the premises upon which present tenure policies in the State System rest, including the policies applicable to (1) teaching faculty, (2) administrators.

b. To explore the strengths of the present tenure policies in the State System.

c. To inquire into the dissatisfactions with present tenure policies and their administration.

d. To inquire into the impact of tenure policies as they have operated in the State System of Higher Education.

e. To formulate for Board consideration:

(1) A statement of objectives covering faculty employment policies in the State System.

(2) A statement of alternative approaches to the stated objectives.

(3) A statement of the policies recommended by the Academic Affairs Committee for adoption by the Board.

Procedures to be employed by the study will include (a) preparation of basic data and basic reports on indefinite tenure issues, policies, and practices by the Board's staff, (b) public hearings, (c) committee discussions, and (d) preparation of a written report with recommendations for action for presentation to the Board. A tentative time schedule adopted by the Committee provides for preparation of written background material during the 1971 summer and the scheduling of at least two public hearings on the matter during the
fall or fall and winter terms of 1971-72. The Committee anticipates that the final report will be ready for the Board no later than the early summer 1972 and possibly as early as the March 1972 meeting of the Board.

In its discussion of the Division of Continuing Education, the Committee expressed the opinion that certain activities of the Division, such as the film library and noncredit course work for professional groups, should be entirely self-supporting. A review was also requested of the financial support of credit courses offered in the evenings on campus, and the cost to the institution were responsibility for these courses to be assumed by the institutions on an inload basis. The Committee also expressed interest on possible overlap of services of the Federal Cooperative Extension Service and the Division of Continuing Education, particularly in respect to services in the urban areas of the state. In view of the complexity of the matters under discussion, several of which involve policy decisions with considerable financial implication, and the possibility that legislative decisions may introduce new factors which must be considered, the Committee will continue discussion of the Division of Continuing Education guidelines at its July meeting.

Board Discussion and Action

It was suggested that an examination of hiring policies as they have a bearing on tenure would be incorporated in the study of tenure policies.

Mr. Holmer said that the budget report of the Ways and Means Subcommittee recommended that the Board of Higher Education submit any changes in its rules or regulations covering tenure and academic employment to the next Legislative Assembly for review and approval.

Mrs. Johnson said that this subject was of concern to the Legislature and that probably the Interim Committee on Education will be making inquiries.

The Chancellor said that it had been anticipated that the study of tenure policies would be completed within a year, including recommendations for any changes in those policies. He said it now appears that the intent of the budget report of the Ways and Means Subcommittee is to prohibit changes in the tenure policies until after the 1973 Legislative Assembly rather than just to require legislative approval of any liberalization of policies.

The Chancellor pointed out that the Board has the statutory authority to make changes in tenure policies. He said that he was expecting to receive a memorandum from the Chairman of the Subcommittee in the near future with further clarification of the intent of the Subcommittee.
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Mrs. Johnson commented that tenure is not easily understood by the general public and suggested that public hearings be held around the state so that there would be an opportunity for informing the public about tenure policies and to solicit opinions and reactions from citizens concerning tenure.

The Board accepted the report as presented. It was understood that an examination of hiring policies as they have a bearing on tenure would be incorporated in the study of tenure policies.

Confirmation of Institutional Degree Lists

In accordance with Board regulations, the following Board members represented the Board at the 1971 Winter Commencement exercises of the indicated institutions and acted for the Board in approving candidates for degrees and diplomas.

Mr. Robert D. Holmes  
Mrs. Elizabeth H. Johnson  
Portland State University  
University of Oregon

The signed copies of the list of approved candidates are on file in the Board's Office.

Board Discussion and Action

The Board confirmed the action of these Board members in approving candidates for degrees and diplomas.

Condition of Board's Unappropriated Fund and Special Reserves

Estimated as of June 9, 1971, for the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1971

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Board's Unappropriated Fund</td>
<td>$22,551.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Board's Reserve for Plant Rehabilitation Projects</td>
<td>$199,804.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Projects approved by the Vice Chancellor for Facilities Planning</td>
<td>93,820.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance estimated as of June 9, 1971</td>
<td>$105,984.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Board Discussion and Action

The Board accepted the report as presented.

Investment Policies

Vice Chancellor Holmer presented to the Board's Finance Committee on May 18, 1971, proposed amendments to Part VI, Chapter 66, of the Board's Administrative Rules relating to investment policy. The Finance Committee recommended that no action be taken on amendment.
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of the Administrative Rules at this time and asked Mr. Holmer to present a report of needed amendments to the Board's investment policies at a subsequent Finance Committee meeting after the Legislature has taken action on HB 1691 relating to investment by the Board of donated or bequeathed funds.

Board Discussion and Action

The Board accepted the report as presented.

Policy Issues, 1971-72 Operating Budget

Mr. Holloway indicated that policy considerations relating to the 1971-72 operating budget were to have been considered at the Committee meeting. However, these policy issues will be so greatly affected by the level of support that it would be difficult to make policy determinations until there is a better indication of legislative action in terms of the level of support, enrollment restrictions, and other factors which are closely tied to these policy matters. It was agreed that a Finance Committee meeting would be scheduled by June 3, or earlier, if the legislative situation is sufficiently clear by that time.

Discussion and Recommendation by the Committee

Mr. Mosser said that it may be necessary to implement certain budgetary concerns on a small scale for 1971-72 and to develop them further in the second year of the biennium when greater time will be available to review the kinds of changes that might be necessary. He said he is particularly concerned with the following areas:

1. Management improvement.

2. Teaching innovations and encouragement of teaching improvement.

3. Integration of the Division of Continuing Education with the institutions.

4. Review of salary differentials between institutions.

Mrs. Johnson said that in considering the Division of Continuing Education and integration with the institutions, it will be necessary to review the primary purposes of the continuing education program and the institutional programs.

At a special meeting of the Committee on Finance and Business Affairs, held on Monday, May 31, 1971, at the Division of Continuing Education Building in Portland, the Committee received a report of the actions taken by the Joint Ways and Means Committee of the Legislative Assembly on Friday, May 28. Chairman Holloway and Directors Holmes and Mosser were joined by Board President Layman and Director Johnson.

The Committee recommended Board approval of the following policy guidelines in the development of the 1971-72 operating budget of the Division of Higher Education:
1. Enrollment
   a. That the enrollment of graduate students conform as nearly as possible to the enrollment targets established in the Governor's budget recommendation.
   b. That the enrollment of resident undergraduates be expected to approximate the number contemplated in the Governor's budget recommendation.
   c. That the institutions be permitted to enroll up to 300 nonresidents in addition to those included in the Governor's budget recommendation without an increase in overall staffing.
   d. That a shift of Division of Continuing Education students taking Portland State University courses in the Portland Extension Center to Portland State University be accompanied by appropriate allocation of academic staff.

2. Tuition
   a. That the tuition levels originally estimated in the Governor's recommended budget for 1971-72 be increased by $24.
   b. That $600,000 of the additional revenue produced be allocated for student employment.
   c. That $1,200,000 in anticipated expenditure savings be deferred to the 1972-73 fiscal year, rather than being divided between both years of the 1971-1973 biennium.

3. Staffing
   a. That funds for employment of academic staff be on the basis of established ratios, appropriately adjusted for estimated additional numbers of nonresidents and students formerly estimated in the Division of Continuing Education workload.
   b. That shifts of seven positions to Portland State University (five) and the University of Oregon (two) from quotas otherwise available to the other colleges and university be authorized in 1971-72 to minimize the staff reductions otherwise required in the two institutions.

4. Salaries
   a. That an across-the-board four percent increase for academic staff (to a maximum of $400 per person) be authorized, in
accordance with salary policy approved by the Ways and Means Committee of the Legislature.

b. That the discretionary fund of $438,000 for salary adjustments (1%) be used:

(1) To reduce by 10 percent the salary differential between Portland State University and the University of Oregon.

(2) To provide such other special increases deemed appropriate by the institutional executive, provided, that the fund not be used with the sole objective of increasing the share of salary increases received by academic personnel receiving more than $10,000 per year.

5. Reserves

For 1971-72, it is proposed that $400,000 be reserved as a protection against possible underrealization of fee income estimates or for later allocation by the Board, either on the basis of actual fall term enrollment or for such other programs or purposes as the Board may determine.

6. Programs for the Disadvantaged

That the $500,000 appropriated for support of programs for minority or disadvantaged students be distributed in proportion to the amounts included in the Board's recommendation of $545,118, with the expectation that the institutions involved will review the components of their programs and apply selective, rather than proportional reductions.

7. Division of Continuing Education

a. That the $500,000 of General Fund resources allocated for support of Division of Education costs be utilized over both years of the 1971-1973 biennium, to permit the continuation of a few especially useful but not fully self-sustaining programs, to minimize the fiscal effects of the transition of the Division of Continuing Education to self-sustaining status both on the Division of Continuing Education and on Portland State University.

b. That a redefinition of the operating and fiscal relationships between the Division of Continuing Education and Portland State University be based on assumptions to be developed as a result of a detailed analysis by the Management Audit Unit in collaboration with the Division of Continuing Education and Portland State University.

(It is expected that such an analysis and the assumptions governing the redefinition of operating relationships will be available to the Board in a separate document.)
There were also available to the Board summary statements of the allocations that would form the basis of institutional budgets, if the foregoing policy recommendations were approved by the Board.

At the invitation of Mr. Holloway, Chancellor Lieuallen described the budget policy issues considered by the Board's Committee on Finance and Business Affairs at its special meeting on May 31. Those policy issues included:

1. Enrollment
   a. Graduate enrollment to be in conformity with the "targets" described in the Governor's budget: 5,133 full-time equivalent students in 1971-72; 4,900 in 1972-73 (a biennial reduction of approximately 400).
   b. Resident undergraduates to be accepted, without specific limitation, since the numbers budgeted were essentially those estimated on a "free flow" basis.
   c. Nonresident undergraduates to be admitted in numbers that would be approximately 300 above the Governor's budget estimate in 1971-72 and 400 in 1972-73, which would increase income by approximately $1 million. The additional students would be accommodated by an increase in staffing ratios, rather than by an increase in expenditures.

2. Tuition
   a. Tuition increase in 1971-72 of:
      (1) $30 per term for resident undergraduates
      (2) $44 per term for nonresident undergraduates (reflecting cost of instruction)
      (3) $60 per term for graduate students
      (4) $32 per term for resident medical and dental students
      (5) $65 per term for nonresident medical and dental students.
   b. The earmarking of $600,000 for allocation to the institutions as "work-study" (student wages) funds, to partially offset the increase in tuition.

3. Staffing
   a. Recalculation of staffing ratios on the basis of the estimated number of students, including anticipated enrollment shifts resulting from the requirement that the Division of Continuing Education be fully self-supporting. (Not
included in this recalculation are the additional non-resident students referred to in l.c., above.)

b. A further minor adjustment within the totals so computed was made to add two positions at the University of Oregon and five at Portland State University to minimize the reductions otherwise required at the two universities.

4. Salaries

The instructions included in the Ways and Means Committee Report were explicit: a 4 percent increase for academic personnel on the first $10,000 of salary; and a 1 percent discretionary fund for other increases (promotion, merit). An amount of $38,000 is proposed for allocation from the 1 percent fund for use at Portland State University to close by $60 the difference between the average salary at Portland State University and at the University of Oregon.

5. Reserves

Originally set at $472,492. (See 7., below)

6. Programs for the Disadvantaged

The sum of $500,000 prorated to the universities and Southern Oregon College on the basis of the requested total of approximately $540,000.

7. Division of Continuing Education

The legislature allocated $500,000 from the General Fund to facilitate the transition of the Division of Continuing Education to total reliance on fee income. Because of the inter-relationships between the Division of Continuing Education and Portland State University, plans have been under development to reduce the impact on Portland State University represented by the potential loss of $700,000 in annual income received by Portland State University from the Division of Continuing Education.

The Chancellor then proposed further adjustments, consistent with the foregoing policy recommendations:

First, the requirement that each institution be required to establish a savings reserve account equal to 1 percent of the amount allocated to the institution, with the expectation that the institutions would report each of its actions to accomplish the required savings. The 1 percent fund would be more than $930,000. The chief purpose of the required savings is to assure the continued funding in 1972-73 of the $600,000 for work-study funds.
Second, that a substantial portion of the planned Board's reserve be used to meet the DCE-PSU financial problem, with details to be reported to the Board in July.

In summarizing staff analysis of the actions proposed to cope with the $6.5 million reduction in General Fund support of the "Education and General Services" portion of the 1971-1973 budget, the Chancellor noted —

\[
\begin{align*}
$1.7 \text{ million in beginning balances from 1969-1971} \\
1.6 \text{ million in "forced" savings} \\
2.4 \text{ million from increased tuition income} \\
\text{Total} = $5.7 \text{ million}
\end{align*}
\]

This leaves a difference of $800,000 plus $600,000 for work-study funds or $1.4 million to be "found" in 1972-73 by further economies, tuition increases, or other forms of increased income or reduced expenditures.

The following summaries of tentative resources and budget allocations were distributed for consideration by the Board.
### EXPENDITURE PLAN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appropriation, State General Fund</th>
<th>$129,019,950</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other Fund Resources</td>
<td>63,414,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biennial Total</strong></td>
<td>192,434,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tentative allocation to fiscal year 1971-72</td>
<td>$93,892,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved for allocation to fiscal year 1972-73</td>
<td>$98,541,765</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Increase in year 1972-73 over year 1971-72

|                          | $ 4,648,870 |

**Detail of amounts reserved for 2nd year increases:**

- **Academic salary adjustments**: 2,176,746
- **OPE rate increase**: 844,243
- **Price increases, Services & Supplies**: 428,910
- **Teaching positions for added workload**: 690,346
- **Other workload increase, including new building operation and maintenance**: 260,567
- **Sea Grant, OSU**: 20,347
- **Minority and disadvantaged programs**: 31,984
- **Student wages from other fund resources**: (600,000)
- **Continue special purpose staff at PSU & SOC**: 246,211
- **Staff transfer from Dental Clinics, UOIDS**: 25,127
- **Family Practice programs, UOMS**: 27,846

**Planned increases**: 4,152,327

**Savings available for Board disposition**: $496,543

---

**NOTE**: Classified pay adjustments for 1971-72 have not been allocated to State agencies at this time. A pay increase of approximately 5% for classified staff will require an increased level of expenditure when the budget is reviewed at the July Board meeting.

The State appropriation includes $1,364,122 held in a State Emergency Board reserve pending realization of estimated enrollments.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>UO</th>
<th>OSU</th>
<th>PSU</th>
<th>OCE</th>
<th>SOC</th>
<th>EOC</th>
<th>GTS</th>
<th>UMPS</th>
<th>UOBS</th>
<th>TR</th>
<th>CA</th>
<th>Board's Reserves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>2,757,591</td>
<td>528,460</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>64,657</td>
<td>52,813</td>
<td>52,813</td>
<td>52,813</td>
<td>52,813</td>
<td>52,813</td>
<td>52,813</td>
<td>52,813</td>
<td>52,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salary Adjustments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Session Academic Wages</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4% on First $10,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Discretionary</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary Cap Closure, PSU</td>
<td>350,700</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>350,700</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>350,700</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>350,700</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>350,700</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>350,700</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident Phys. &amp; Interns</td>
<td>350,700</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>350,700</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>350,700</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>350,700</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>350,700</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>350,700</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPE on Salary Adjustments</td>
<td>350,700</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>350,700</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>350,700</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>350,700</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>350,700</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>350,700</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHER</strong></td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
<td>203,870</td>
<td>1,362,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONTINGENCY</strong></td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Savings to be Identified</strong></td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
<td>150,934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TENTATIVE ALLOCATION</strong></td>
<td>$23,760,384</td>
<td>$25,162,053</td>
<td>$15,866,636</td>
<td>$5,180,400</td>
<td>$5,956,364</td>
<td>$2,543,172</td>
<td>$3,059,032</td>
<td>$6,528,103</td>
<td>$2,326,752</td>
<td>$146,047</td>
<td>$2,757,591</td>
<td>$608,160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Board Discussion and Action

It was moved by Director Holloway that the Chancellor's report on the 1971-72 operating budget and the budget policies listed in the preceding pages be approved, together with the condensed statement of Allocation of Estimated Resources for Fiscal Years 1971-72 and 1972-73, and the Statement on Budget Allocations by Institutions, Year 1971-72, for Education and General Services, as amended by the Chancellor's recommendations.

Mrs. Johnson then presented a statement regarding the establishment of nonresident fees for graduate nonresident students and other matters pertaining to tuition and fees. The complete statement is included as Supplement B to these minutes. Board discussion and action on the topics included in the statement appear following the Board action on the 1971-72 operating budget recommendations.

The Board discussed Mr. Holloway's motion to approve the budgetary recommendations as presented. Mr. Stewart indicated that he would prefer to have the Board defer action on the motion until the July 26 Board meeting so that there would be adequate time for further study. All possible economies in operations could be considered and a single tuition increase could be recommended which would be adequate to meet the financial needs for both years of the biennium. The Board discussed the advisability of deferring action until the Board meeting on July 26.

Mr. Holmes said that the presentations at the June Board meeting were intended as a work session to provide the background for preparation of the budget which will be brought to the July Board meeting. He noted that the legislature had completed work on the 1971-1973 appropriations for the State System of Higher Education so that this information was available, and that the question of the amount of the tuition increase had been explored thoroughly at two Finance Committee meetings. He said that since so many factors will have an impact on the budget, he would favor proceeding with a minimum tuition increase which would achieve a balanced budget and then to develop the allocations for year 1971-72 to the institutions in an orderly fashion.

Mrs. Johnson said that deferral could create real problems for the institutions, particularly in terms of salary commitments which may already have been made for 1971-72. She said that if the $24 increase were approved for 1971-72, she would want it to be with the clear understanding that there would be no further increase in tuition until there had been a thorough study of all possible economies. This would provide a further opportunity to assess the enrollment picture following the Fall 1971 enrollment.

Mr. Stewart indicated that his intent was to postpone action so that only one increase would be necessary and the students could be notified of the tuition rate for both years of the biennium, rather than having two separate increases during the two-year period. He then moved to amend the motion by Mr. Holloway to delete from the recommended budget the amounts represented in the tuition increase of $24 which was included in the proposed budget. The Chancellor said that this would
have the effect of reducing the allocations to the institutions by the amount of money which would have been produced by the increase.

President Clark said that he shared the concern for having a two-year policy in the matter of higher tuition. However, in view of the uncertainties which are present in the budgetary process in terms of achieving the enrollment estimates for 1971-72, he would urge the Board to retain the $24 amount in the budget. Some of these uncertainties would be resolved by the second year of the biennium.

President MacVicar concurred in the statement that the picture would be much clearer by 1972 and that additional resources might have become available, perhaps in work study funds, or in terms of other modifications which may occur. He said he was certain that if the $24 increase were approved for this year, the institutional executives would do their best to try to prevent any further increase for the second year of the biennium.

Mr. Ron Wilkins, President of the Associated Students of Oregon State University, said that tuition increases do hit students very heavily and asked that the Board make every possible effort to avoid an increase in the second half of the biennium. He said that students have suggested that the Board review any unnecessary duplication of programs throughout the State System so that they could be eliminated.

The Board then voted on Mr. Stewart's motion to amend the original motion by deleting the tuition increase of $24 in the proposed budget. The motion to amend was defeated, with Mr. Stewart voting in favor of the amendment. The Board then approved the report on the 1971-72 operating budget, including the Budget Allocation by institutions and other documents which were distributed, the supplementary policy issues on which the budget allocation was based, and the recommendations in the Chancellor's oral report, which have been given effect in the budget summaries. Mr. Stewart voted against the motion.

The Board then approved a motion by Mrs. Johnson that a study be undertaken on the matter of tuition, particularly for nonresident graduate students, before the second year of the 1971-1973 biennium.

Mr. Corey said that the study should include the development of precise guidelines on the definition of nonresident and resident graduate students. Further, he said that the Law School at the University of Oregon should be considered specifically since it is really a separate professional school rather than part of the graduate school. He suggested that a different tuition level with respect to the Law School might be of assistance in solving some of the financial problems related to library, resources and staff for the school.
Vice Chancellor Holmer reported to the Finance Committee on May 18, 1971, the following items which have been considered recently with the staffs of the institutions:

1. "Affirmative action"

The Office for Civil Rights of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare has raised a series of formal questions relating to University of Oregon compliance with Executive Order 11246 (as amended by Executive Order 11375). The issues raised relate - at this time - solely to questions of alleged sex discrimination.

A. Academic Personnel

(1) Affirmative recruitment of women applicants
(2) Equal treatment of "tandem teams"
(3) Reduction of salary discrepancies
(4) Admission to Ph.D. programs

B. Classified/non-academic Employees

(1) "Traditionalism" — groundsmen, library assistants
(2) Inconsistency of salary and minimum qualifications
(3) Role of institution vis-a-vis the State's Personnel Division

These and related matters have been discussed with the Deans of Administration to seek consistency of response on such issues.

Further analysis of policies and programs relating to institutional employment practices affecting minorities may be expected.

2. Annuity plans

Preliminary, in-house, review of the present options offered to institutional personnel for the purchase of annuities has been initiated. It has been approximately five years since the Board established the present programs of fixed and variable tax deferred annuities (TIAA-CREF, VALIC, Standard). It is appropriate, we believe, to look at the "track records," what new practices may be emerging in this field, and such other matters as may be pertinent.

3. Administrative Systems Task Force

As was reported in April, a study has been initiated by an inter-institutional group, looking to the early definition of priorities for the development of administrative systems, using Department-wide standards and emphasizing interinstitutional comparability and compatibility. These meetings have been held. A progress report is planned at the meeting of the Committee on Finance in July.
4. Residence Halls

A. Income-expenditures

Analysis of the March report shows that Oregon Technical Institute and the University of Oregon will come closer to earning full debt service than was true a month ago.

B. Basis for assigning debt service to the institutions

An interinstitutional committee, after review of the options, has concluded that the present plan for allocating debt service charges to the institutions (on the basis of replacement values) is preferable. However, it was also agreed that some inequity appears to have arisen in the overvaluation of some of the older buildings. To cope with this phenomenon, it is proposed to secure the services of (insurance) appraisers to review the questionable valuations. The costs would be borne by the dormitory fund reserves at each institution. (The results of such reappraisal could result in savings in commercial insurance premiums.)

C. Where residence hall spaces are used for other purposes

The interinstitutional committee has proposed consideration of two policies:

(1) That the cost of utilities and maintenance costs applicable to residence hall spaces used for "Educational and General" purposes should be charged to the "Physical Plant" budget.

(2) Payment should be made to the residence hall account for such use based on the lesser of:

(a) The board and room charge for the space occupied, less the food service cost applicable to similar spaces; or

(b) The rental value of comparable space in the community.

D. Institutional residence hall reserves (231%)

Board policy requires the maintenance of a two-year debt service reserve, plus annual residence hall net earnings equal to 131 percent of the annual debt service requirement.

The 31 percent remains available to the institution where it is earned and sizable reserves have been accrued. Consideration is being given to the transfer of amounts which would equal the 31 percent (which will be declining to 25 percent over the next four or five years) from the institutional reserves to the two-year debt service account.
Thereafter, the annual net earning requirement would be 100 percent of debt service (and should permit lower residence hall charges than would be possible under present policy).

It should be emphasized that this change of policy and procedures is being considered.

5. UOMS Advancement Fund

The University of Oregon Medical School has established an organization with purposes and functions paralleling those of the University of Oregon Development Fund and the Oregon State University Foundation. The name of the new organization is the University of Oregon Medical School Advancement Fund.

6. Restrictions on use of state vehicles

A new rule of the State Department of General Services has restricted the use of state-owned motor vehicles to use by full-time state employees of a state agency on official state business. Part-time employees and others (e.g., students) may use state vehicles only with the specific approval of the Chancellor's Office and the Director of General Services.

There are two Attorney General rulings that hold that organizations such as the ASUO are not state agencies. However, some usage of state motor vehicles has been permitted for student activities that can be appropriately described as "official state business."

Board Discussion and Action

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

(Considered by Finance Committee, May 18, 1971.)

Guidelines and Procedures for Allocation and Disbursement of Incidental Fee Income

At the January 25, 1971, meeting, the Board adopted a policy with regard to the incidental fee charged to students at each of the institutions. The policy required that the guidelines and procedures for the budgeting, allocation and amount of incidental fee income for each institution would be reviewed and approved by the Board before they would be fully effective.

At the time of the May 18, 1971, Finance Committee meeting, guidelines and procedures had not been finally formalized for the University of Oregon Medical School.

Only two institutions had notified the Board's Office of the amount of the incidental fee they propose for 1971-72. The Committee was presented with such information as was available at the time of its May 18 meeting.
Under the circumstances, it was proposed that the Committee recommend to the June meeting of the Board approval of the "guidelines and procedures" as reviewed on May 18, 1971, and those for the University of Oregon Medical School following consideration at the June 9, 1971, Board meeting. The approval of the amounts of the incidental fees can be accomplished at the July meeting of the Board. This recommendation is predicated in part on the fact that it does not appear to be feasible to expect legislative action affecting tuition rates until after the May 18 meeting of the Committee on Finance and Business Affairs. It would seem more prudent for Board action on the incidental fees to be taken at the same time as action is taken on levels of tuition.

The institution guidelines and procedures which are in effect for Eastern Oregon College, Southern Oregon College, Oregon State University, the University of Oregon Dental School, Oregon Technical Institute, Oregon College of Education, the University of Oregon, Portland State University, and the University of Oregon Medical School appear as Supplement C to these minutes.

Discussion and Recommendation by Committee

During the Committee discussion, it appeared from the institutional guidelines as submitted that the intent was to give predominant weight to student opinion in the case of educational activities, although this was not required by Board policy. Mr. Mosser said that he would favor further refinement of the guidelines at a later time because he believed that in some instances they were not sufficiently detailed and in at least one instance there should be additional weight given to student opinion.

It was indicated that the health service fee had been removed from the incidental fee so that it would be listed as a separate account. Mr. Holmer said that the combined health and incidental fee for Oregon Technical Institute will exceed the maximum fee of $25, but that Oregon Technical Institute was not included in the limitation action by the Board. Mr. Holmer said that the University of Oregon Medical School, because of its high cost of insurance, would also be substantially higher than the University of Oregon in terms of the total health and incidental fee. He said the other institutions were well within the $25 maximum limitation for the incidental fee.

Mrs. Johnson said that newspaper accounts in the Oregon State University Barometer had indicated that money from the State System schools would be used for one purpose at the state level of OSPIRG, with litigation to be financed from money raised from the independent schools and community colleges. She said she questioned the separation of these two things and whether by indirection the State System was being involved in litigation.

The Chancellor said that if the OSPIRG corporation were to undertake litigation activities, this would be considered a violation of the Board's understanding in approving the OSPIRG proposal.
The Finance Committee recommended that the Board approve the guidelines as presented, subject to any revision which may be necessary and appropriate at the June Board meeting.

**Board Discussion and Action**

The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

**Report of Allocations for Physical Plant Rehabilitation and Minor Capital Outlay**

Pursuant to authority granted by the Board on December 8, 1970, the Chancellor has made the following allocations from the Board's reserve for physical plant rehabilitation and minor capital outlay for some of the most critical needs during 1970-71:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eastern Oregon College</strong></td>
<td>(a) Biology Laboratory Water Filtering System</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Improvement of ventilation system in photographic darkroom and in instructional material center in Library Building</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subtotal for Eastern Oregon College</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oregon State University</strong></td>
<td>(a) Improvement of classroom lighting in various buildings</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Campus street light improvements</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c) Improvement of air distribution system within Kidder Hall</td>
<td>$11,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subtotal for Oregon State University</td>
<td>$33,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Southern Oregon College</strong></td>
<td>(a) Installation of irrigation water filter (supplemental)</td>
<td>$620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Remodel former store building for physical plant offices</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subtotal for Southern Oregon College</td>
<td>$10,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>University of Oregon Dental School</strong></td>
<td>(a) Revisions to elevators</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subtotal for University of Oregon Dental School</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>University of Oregon Medical School</strong></td>
<td>(a) Reroofing of Library Building and Administration Building</td>
<td>$22,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subtotal for University of Oregon Medical School</td>
<td>$22,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Meeting #396-69

June 9, 1971

Portland State University

(a) Replacement of door units at South Park Hall $13,000
Subtotal for Portland State University $13,000

TOTAL $93,820

Board Discussion and Action

The Board accepted the report as presented.

PRESIDENT'S REPORT

President Layman announced that the next Board Committee meetings would be held at Portland State University on July 6 and 7, 1971. The next regular Board meeting is scheduled for July 26, 1971, on the campus of Southern Oregon College.
The following gifts and grants to the institutions have been approved for acceptance and expenditure by the institutions and the Board's Office in accordance with Board action on January 27-28, 1964. It was recommended that the Secretary of the Board be authorized to make suitable acknowledgement to the donors and grantors. The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

Oregon State University

Scholarships & Fellowships

Gifts totaling $17,792.67 from the following donors for scholarships and fellowships:

- Albany Parent-Teacher Association, Albany $136.00
- American Baptist Board of Education and Publication, Valley Forge, Pennsylvania 200.00
- Bate Plywood Company, Incorporated, Merlin 100.00
- Beaverton Lodge No. 100, A.F. & A.M., Beaverton 150.00
- Bowling Proprietors' Association of America, Incorporated, Hoffman Estates, Illinois 459.00
- The Colonial Dames of America, New York City 500.00
- Douglas High School, Winston 225.00
- Douglas Medical Foundation Educational Scholarship Committee, Roseburg 408.00
- Drain Plywood Company, Drain 167.00
- Future Business Leaders of America (Walter Hyatt Scholarship Award), Portland 100.00
- Grants Pass Public Schools, District #7, Grants Pass 136.00
- Harney County Home Extension, Hines 300.00
- Medford Senior High School, Medford 342.00
- Mom and Dad's Club, Banks High School, Banks 150.00
- Oregon Congress of Parents and Teachers, Incorporated, Portland 1,743.00
- Oregon Federation of Business and Professional Women's Clubs, Incorporated, Port Orford 534.00
- Oregon State University Mother's Club, Ashland 2,176.00
- Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency 267.00
- PEO Sisterhood, Chapter BF, The Dalles 133.00
- PEO Sisterhood, Chapter CZ, North Bend 175.00
- Portland Rose Festival Association, Portland 408.00
- Smith River Lumber Company, Incorporated, Drain 167.00
- Soroptimist Club of Heppner, Heppner 100.00
- Sunset High School, Beaverton 200.00
- United States National Bank of Oregon 266.67
- R. M. Wade Foundation, Portland 250.00
- Chester M. Wilcox Memorial Scholarship Fund, Salem 8,000.00

American Cancer Society Grant of $2,200 from the American Cancer Society, Portland, for "Funds for Two Junior Pre-medical Students so they may participate in a Research Program to Examine DNA Synthesis by the DNA Polymerase Found in RNA Tumor Viruses," June 15, through August 15, 1971, under the direction of Dr. George S. Beaudreau, Associate Professor of Agricultural Chemistry.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue Mountain Economic Development Council</td>
<td>Grant of $2,487 from the Blue Mountain Economic Development Council, Pendleton, for &quot;Salaries, wages, materials and other expenses for the training of a nutritionist and two aide trainees,&quot; April 1, 1971, through March 31, 1972, under the direction of Mr. Fred Hagelstein, Staff Chairman, Umatilla County.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonneville Power Administration</td>
<td>Grant of $5,475 from the Bonneville Power Administration, for research entitled, &quot;Improved Serviceability of Wood Products,&quot; under the direction of Mr. Robert Graham, Associate Professor, Forest Research Laboratory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Coordinating Council</td>
<td>Grants totaling $647 from the Educational Coordinating Council, Salem, for supplementary funds to complete projects, as follows:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. $198 - &quot;The Development of an Integrated Laboratory Program for all Non-Major Chemistry Students, April 24, 1970, through April 23, 1972, under the direction of Dr. W. H. Slabaugh, Professor of Chemistry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. $449 - &quot;An Experimental Instructional Project in Elementary Philosophy,&quot; January 16, 1970, through January 15, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Frederick P. Harris, Professor and Chairman of Philosophy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. B. Gentle</td>
<td>Gift of seventy-seven color transparencies to enlarge the Viola S. Gentle Memorial Collection within the Department of Geology, from Mr. Clyde B. Gentle, Twain, California. The gift is valued at $231.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. C. Jones</td>
<td>Gift of a collection of fruit flies, family Trypetidai, to the Entomological Museum from Professor S. C. Jones, Corvallis. This gift is valued at $4,880.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Regional Air Pollution Authority</td>
<td>Grant of $4,000 from the Lane Regional Air Pollution Authority, Eugene, to provide funds for &quot;An Investigation of the Natural Ventilation of Eugene-Springfield and Adjacent Areas in Relation to Air Pollution Control,&quot; March 1 through June 30, 1971, under the direction of Dr. Lars E. Olsson, Assistant Professor of Atmospheric Science.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Area Community Coordinated Child Care Council</td>
<td>Grant of $5,878 from the Metropolitan Area Community Coordinated Child Care Council, Portland, to &quot;Pay costs for salaries, wages, materials and other expenses for the conduct of the Child Care Centers,&quot; February 10 through April 30, 1971, under the direction of Mr. Freemont Sparows, County Staff Chairman, Multnomah County.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Willamette Valley Community Action Agency, Inc.</td>
<td>Grant of $74,479 from the Mid Willamette Valley Community Action Agency, Salem, to &quot;Pay for costs of salaries, wages, materials and other expenses for the training of low income families to help them break out of the poverty cycle,&quot; March 1, 1971, through February 29, 1972, under the direction of Mr. John Hansen, Staff Chairman, Polk County.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Grant of $9,500 from the National Council of the Paper Industry for Air and Stream Improvement, Inc., New York City, "To cover costs incurred on Sulphite Waste Research," December 1, 1970, through March 31, 1971, under the direction of Dr. James G. Knudsen, Assistant Dean of Engineering.

Grants totaling $497,678 from the National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., for research and other purposes, as follows:

1. $1,250 - "For salaries, wages, materials, and other expenses in behalf of a Science Faculty Fellowship for Dr. Norman J. Hudak," May 1, 1971, through July 31, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Robert W. Newburgh, Chairman of Biochemistry and Biophysics.

2. $40,000 - "Continuation of funds to cover costs of classified staff, payroll assessments, materials and services, lecturers/consultants, travel, and stipends," August 31, 1971, through August 31, 1973, under the direction of Dr. Paul Oman, Professor of Entomology.

3. $159,900 - "Computer Graphic Techniques for Instruction of Undergraduate Physical Science Students," April 5, 1971, through March 31, 1973, under the direction of Dr. James J. Brady, Professor of Physics, and Dr. Larry C. Hunter, Director of Computer Center.

4. $30,000 - "The Optical Properties of Carbohydrates II," May 1, 1971, through April 30, 1972, under the direction of Dr. W. Curtis Johnson, Assistant Professor of Biochemistry and Biophysics.

5. $96,500 - "Preliminary Baseline Study of Diluted Pollutants in the Pacific Ocean," May 1, 1971, through April 30, 1972, under the direction of Dr. William C. Renfro, Assistant Professor of Oceanography, and Dr. Norman Cutshall, Research Associate, Oceanography.

6. $71,500 - "Research on Structure of Zooplankton Communities of the Northeastern and Central Pacific Ocean," May 1, 1971, through April 30, 1973, under the direction of Dr. Charles B. Miller, Assistant Professor of Oceanography.

7. $18,000 - "Purchase and installation of an infrared spectrometer for research purposes," March 15, 1971, through March 15, 1972, under the direction of Dr. D. P. Shoemaker, Chairman of the Chemistry Department.

8. $10,000 - "Phylogeny and Distribution of Nearctic and Palearctic Leafhoppers," April 1, 1971, through March 31, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Paul W. Oman, Professor of Entomology.

9. $18,028 - "Stipends, travel, and other allowances under a National Science Foundation Senior Foreign Scientist Fellowship in behalf of Dr. Graham Will," April 1, 1971, through June 30, 1972, under the direction of Dr. H. P. Hansen, Dean, Graduate School.
10. $26,300 - "Mollusk Physiology: Importance of Endocrine Systems," May 15, 1971, through May 14, 1973, under the direction of Dr. C. J. Bayne, Assistant Professor of Zoology.

11. $26,200 - "Microearthquake Reconnaissance in South Central Oregon," May 1, 1971, through October 31, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Richard W. Couch, Assistant Professor of Geophysical Oceanography and Dr. Gunnar Bodvarsson, Professor of Geophysical Oceanography.

### Oregon State Department of Forestry

Grant of $1,200 from the Oregon State Forestry Department, Salem, for research entitled, "Ecological and Practical Limits in Success of Forest Rehabilitation - A Problem Analysis," under the direction of Dr. Michael Newton, Associate Professor.

### U.S. Atomic Energy Commission

Grant of $19,974 from the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Richland, Washington, for research entitled, "Natural Convection in Liquid Mercury Using a Magnetic Probe for Velocity Measurements," April 1, 1971, through March 31, 1972, under the direction of Dr. James R. Welty, Professor of Mechanical Engineering.

### U.S. Bureau of Land Management

Grants totaling $17,408.78 from the U.S. Bureau of Land Management for research as follows:

1. $7,201.25 - "Environmental and Biochemical Effects of Herbicides Used in Reforestation and Development of Principles of Chemical Silviculture," under the direction of Dr. Michael Newton, Associate Professor, Forest Research Laboratory.

2. $2,000 - "Interspecific Hybridization of the Genus Pseudotsuga," under the direction of Dr. Kim Ching, Professor, Forest Research Laboratory.

3. $8,207.53 - "Animal Damage Control in the Rehabilitation of Forest Lands," under the direction of Dr. Hugh Black, Associate Professor, Forest Research Laboratory.

### U.S. Dept. of Agriculture

Grant of $30,000 from the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., "To plan and conduct a pilot Projection Community Resources Development," March 17 through June 30, 1971, under the direction of Mr. Ted Sidor, Community Resource Development Specialist.

### U.S. Dept. of Commerce

Grants totaling $21,458 from the U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington D.C., for research as follows:

1. $15,000 - "Research to Develop Forecast Techniques for Wave and Surf Conditions over the Bars in the Columbia River Mouth and at the Entrance to Yaquina Bay," April 11, 1971, through May 31, 1971, under the direction of Dr. William H. Quinn, Research Associate in Oceanography.

2. $6,458 - "Auke Bay, Alaska, Ecosystem Analysis," March 1 through December 31, 1971, under the direction of Dr. Herbert C. Curl, Professor of Oceanography.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grantor</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Dept. of Health, Educa-</td>
<td>$4,874</td>
<td>Grant of $4,874 from the U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>March 25, 1971, through March 24, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Ian J. Tinsley, Associate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Professor of Agricultural Chemistry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Dept. of Labor</td>
<td>$3,690</td>
<td>Grant of $3,690 from the U.S. Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Seattle, Washington,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;For an Expanded Out-of-School Project To Cover an Additional Three Enrollees,&quot; February 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>through July 19, 1971, under the direction of Dr. Harry Clark, State Extension Specialist,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Community Development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Protection</td>
<td>$22,944</td>
<td>Grant of $22,944 from the Environmental Protection Agency, Water Quality Office, Washington,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency</td>
<td></td>
<td>D.C., for research entitled, &quot;Thermal Plume Dispersion,&quot; September 15, 1970, through August 14,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971, under the direction of Dr. James R. Welty, Professor and Acting Head of Mechanical and Nuclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Engineering.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Forest Service</td>
<td>$3,374.17</td>
<td>Grants totaling $3,374.17 from the U.S. Forest Service, Washington, D.C. for research and studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>as follows:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. $2,007.51 - &quot;Economic Tradeoffs Between Marketed and Nonmarketed Outputs of Alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Harvesting Systems in Western Oregon: Problem Analysis,&quot; under the direction of Mr. Larry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Streeby, Assistant Professor, Forest Research Laboratory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. $1,366.66 - &quot;Need for Information on Alternative Procedures for Appraising and Selling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Public Timber,&quot; under the direction of Dr. John Beuter, Associate Professor, Forest Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Laboratory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Dept. of the Navy; Office</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>Grant of $2,000 from the U.S. Navy Department, Office of Naval Research, Arlington, Virginia,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of Naval Research</td>
<td></td>
<td>to cover costs for materials, equipment and other expenses for a graduate student working on a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>thesis entitled, &quot;Hyperbaric Microbiology,&quot; January 1 through December 31, 1971, under the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>direction of Dr. R. Y. Morita, Professor of Microbiology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Public Health Service</td>
<td>$172,203</td>
<td>Grants totaling $172,203 from the U.S. Public Health Service, Bethesda, Maryland, for research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and other purposes, from May 1, 1971, through April 30, 1972, unless otherwise indicated, as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>follows:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. $43,800 - &quot;Genetic Control of Development in Drosophila,&quot; under the direction of Dr. John A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kiger, Assistant Professor of Biochemistry and Biophysics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. $16,172 - &quot;Nutritional Imbalance and Metabolic Alterations,&quot; under the direction of Dr. Leo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W. Parks, Professor of Microbiology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. $37,793 - &quot;Respiratory Proteins, Structure and Function, under the direction of Dr. Kensel E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Van Holde, Professor of Biophysics.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. $16,657 - "Valence Isomerization of Dihydrophyridines," April 1, 1971, through March 31, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Elliot N. Marvell, Professor of Chemistry.

5. $4,308 - "Metabolic Changes Induced by Tumor Virus," September 1, 1970, through August 31, 1971, under the direction of Dr. George S. Beaudreau, Associate Professor of Agricultural Chemistry.

6. $27,828 - "Hydrocarbon Binding to DNA, Histone and Nucleo-Histone," February 1, 1971, through January 31, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Irvin Isenberg, Professor of Biophysics.

7. $25,645 - "Analysis of the Steral Components of Yeast," April 1, 1971, through March 31, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Leo W. Parks, Associate Professor of Microbiology.

Grants to the several Agricultural Experiment Station departments and divisions for research work and other purposes from various donors, as follows:

**Agricultural Research Foundation**

- $800 - "Nutrition of Tree Fruit and Nut Crops," under the direction of Dr. M. H. Chaplin, Assistant Professor of Horticulture.
- $723.37 - "Research of Entomology Relationship to the Horticultural Crops of Southern Oregon," under the direction of Dr. P. H. Westigard, Associate Professor of Entomology.
- $285 - "Research in field and horticultural crops in the Eastern Oregon Area," under the direction of Mr. Luther A. Fitch, Assistant Professor of Agronomy.
- $1,500 - "Flouride studies in fumigation work," under the direction of Mr. W. M. Mellenthin, Professor of Pomology.

**Bureau of Commercial Fisheries**

- $4,296 - "To Provide Facilities Necessary for Pilot Production of Pacific Salmon for Direct Release into Marine Waters," under the direction of Dr. William J. McNeil, Associate Professor of Fisheries.

**Herman Frasch Foundation**

- $2,500 - "A Study of the Effect of 2,4D on Protein and Nucleic Acid Biosynthesis," under the direction of Dr. R. O. Morris, Associate Professor of Chemistry.

**Josephine County**

- $2,000 - "For the Support of Agronomic Research in Josephine County," under the direction of Dr. J. A. Yungen, Assistant Professor of Agronomy.

**Klamath County**

- $8,000 - "To Support Forage Crop Research at Klamath Experiment Station," under the direction of Mr. A. E. Cross, Professor of Agronomy.

**Mink Farmers Research Foundation**

- $14,000 - "In Support of Mink Research Program," under the direction of Dr. Floyd M. Stout, Associate Professor of Animal Nutrition.
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Oregon Assn. of Nurserymen          $3,500 - "Research on Cause and Control of Nursery Diseases," under
Crown Gall Comm.                     the direction of Dr. Larry Moore, Assistant Professor of Plant
                                         Pathology.
Otter Trawl Commission of Oregon     $1,000 - "For Construction of Shrimp Trawls," under the direction
                                         of Mr. R. B. Fisher, Associate Professor of Fisheries.
Oregon Portland Cement Company      $1,000 - "Soil Acidity and Liming Research," under the direction of
                                         Dr. Hugh Gardner, Soils Specialist.
OSU Foundation                      $3,300 - "Douglas Fir Rooting Project," under the direction of
                                         Dr. A. N. Roberts, Professor of Horticulture.
Pacific NW Forest & Range Experiment Sta. $595.94 - "Development of Improved Germination Tests for Seed,"
                                         under the direction of Dr. D. F. Grabe, Professor of Agronomy.
Rhodia Inc., Chipman Division       $200 - "Control of Nut Insects," under the direction of Mr. S. C.
                                         Jones, Professor of Entomology.
Rohm and Haas Company               $500 - "Herbicide Research," under the direction of Mr. Luther A.
                                         Fitch, Assistant Professor of Agronomy.
Stauffer Chemical Co.               $500 - "Research on Onions and Cole Crops for Maggot and Symphylan
                                         Control," under the direction of Dr. H. H. Crowell, Professor of
                                         Entomology.
Washington Tree Fruit Research Comm.
                                         $4,250 - "Research Study on Premature Ripening of Pears," under the
direction of Mr. W. M. Mellenthin, Professor of Horticulture.

University of Oregon

Scholarships & Fellowships

Gifts totaling $14,714.16 from the following donors for scholarships and fellowships:

American Bar Association Fund for Public Education,
                                          Chicago, Illinois                       $ 1,515.00
Bate Plywood Co., Inc., Merlin
Cockerline Memorial Trust Fund, through the U. S.
                                      National Bank of Oregon, Salem          280.00
Elks Lodge #338, Baker
Evans Scholars Foundation, Golf, Illinois 4,938.00
Georgia-Pacific Foundation, Portland    500.00
Jackson Foundation, Eugene              1,000.00
LASPAU, Cambridge, Massachusetts        2,875.83
Moss, Adams, and Company, Seattle, Washington 350.00
Mu Phi Epsilon Scholarship, Eugene      315.00
Nevada State Firemen's Association, Inc.,
                                         Carson City, Nevada                     250.00
Nez Perce Tribal Executive Committee, Lapwai,
                                          Idaho                                     300.00
Norton-Simon, Incorporated, Fullerton, California 272.00
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant Provider</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Congress of Parents and Teachers, Inc., Portland</td>
<td>$332.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Grants totaling $248,955 from the National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., for research and other purposes as follows:

1. $11,000 - "Solvable Group Theory," July 1, 1971, through June 30, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Gary M. Seitz, Assistant Professor of Mathematics and Dr. Charles R. B. Wright, Associate Professor of Mathematics.

2. $2,620 - "Doctoral Dissertation Research in the Field of Sciences," May 1, 1971, through April 30, 1973, under the direction of Dr. Peter W. Frank, Professor of Biology.

3. $40,000 - "Enzymatic Addition of the PCPCDA Group to Transfer RNA," May 1, 1971, through April 30, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Edward Herbert, Professor of Chemistry.

4. $35,000 - "Optical Polarization Studies of Stars and Other Objects," May 15, 1971, through May 15, 1972, under the direction of Dr. James C. Kemp, Associate Professor of Physics.

5. $24,900 - "Late Cenozoic Paleoeology and Biostratigraphy of the Bering Sea: Part II Siliceous Phytoplankton," May 1, 1971, through April 30, 1973, under the direction of Dr. William N. Orr, Assistant Professor of Geology.

6. $34,400 - "Archaeology of the British Acheulian," June 1, 1971, through May 31, 1972, under the direction of Dr. C. Garth Sampson, Assistant Professor of Anthropology.

7. $77,300 - "Normed Algebras and Abstract Harmonic Analysis," June 1, 1971, through May 31, 1973, under the direction of Dr. Paul Civin, Dr. Kenneth A. Ross, and Dr. Bertram Yood, Professors of Mathematics.

8. $3,935 - "Symposium on the Uses of Reinforcement Principles in Education," April 1, through May 31, 1971, under the direction of Dr. Wesley Becker, Professor of Education.


Grant of $750 from the Oregon Arts Commission, Salem, for "Use on a Workshop and Festival of Choral-Instrumental Music to be Held Summer Session 1971," under the direction of Dr. E. A. Cykler, Associate Dean, Music School.
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Grant of $2,500 from the Sam S. Schubert Foundation, Inc., New York City, for "Fellowship in Playwriting," under the direction of Dr. F. DeChaine, Professor of Speech.

Grant of $15,714 from the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, New York City, for "Fundamental Researches by Dr. Matthews," September 15, 1971, through September 15, 1973, under the direction of Dr. Brian W. Matthews, Associate Professor of Physics.

Grant of $2,253 from the Unified School District of Racine County, Racine, Wisconsin, for "Participation in a Follow-through Program," July 1, 1970, through August 31, 1971, under the direction of Dr. Wesley Becker, Professor of Education.

Grant of $80,000 from the United States Atomic Energy Commission, Richland, Washington, for research entitled, "Theory of Elementary Particles," July 1, 1971, through May 31, 1972, under the direction of Dr. M. J. Moravcsik and Dr. P. L. Csonka, Professor and Assistant Professor of Physics.

Grant of $75,000 from the U.S. Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Washington, D.C., for continued support of a "Cooperative Plan for Manpower Research and Education in the Northwest Region," August 1, 1971, through July 31, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Eaton Conant, Director, Institute of Industry and Labor Relations.

Grants totaling $801,480 from the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D.C., for continued support of educational programs as follows:

2. $137,516 - "Head Start Program," July 1, 1971, through June 30, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Wesley Becker, Professor of Education.
3. $485,304 - "Center for the Advanced Study of Educational Administration," February 1, through November 30, 1971, under the direction of Dr. Max Abbott, Professor of Education.
4. $18,500 - "Program for Careers in Community Service," March 25, 1971, through March 24, 1972, under the direction of Mr. Kenneth D. Viegas, Director, Community Service Division, School of Community Service and Public Affairs.

Grants totaling $323,439 from the U.S. Public Health Service, Washington, D.C., for research and other purposes as follows:

1. $27,095 - "Control and Mechanism of Protein Chain Termination," May 1, 1971, through April 30, 1972, under the direction of Dr. J. Menninger, Research Associate, Institute of Molecular Biology.
2. $52,947 - "Interactions of Nucleotides and Proteins," April 1, 1971, through March 31, 1972, under the direction of Dr. John A. Schellman, Professor of Chemistry.

3. $68,785 - "Bio-medical Sciences Support Grant," June 1, 1971, through May 31, 1972, under the direction of Mr. Calvin Fisk, Assistant to the Dean of the Graduate School.

4. $5,100 - "Predoctoral Fellowship on Behalf of Pamela L. Birrell," April 1, 1971, through March 30, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Myron Rothbart, Assistant Professor of Psychology.

5. $45,057 - "Endocrine Regulation: Comparative Studies," March 1, 1971, through February 28, 1972, under the direction of Dr. B. T. Scheer, Professor of Biology.

6. $107,634 - "Maturational Reform and Rural Delinquency," June 1, 1971, through May 31, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Kenneth Polk, Associate Professor of Sociology.

7. $2,833 - "Predoctoral Fellowship Award on behalf of George D. Buelow," April 1, through August 31, 1971, under the direction of Dr. Vernon R. Dorjahn, Professor of Anthropology.

8. $5,602 - "Predoctoral Fellowship on Behalf of Gerald D. Maxwell," April 1, 1971, through March 31, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Peter H. von Hippel, Director, Institute of Molecular Biology.

9. $8,386 - "Peripheral Discriminability and Apparent Movement," March 1, 1971, through February 28, 1973, under the direction of Dr. Jacob Beck, Professor of Psychology.

West Iron Public Schools

Grant of $288 from the West Iron Public Schools, Stambaugh, Michigan, for "An Increase in Fixed Price of Contract with West Iron Public Schools in Connection with Follow-Through Program," July 1, 1970, through August 31, 1971, under the direction of Dr. Wesley Becker, Professor of Education.

Gifts to the Library:

Gifts to the University of Oregon Library from various donors as follows:

Mrs. D. Aikman
Manuscripts, correspondence and related materials of the late Mr. Duncan Aikman, journalist, from Mrs. Duncan Aikman, Washington, D.C. This gift is valued at $865.

Mrs. S. Biddle
Gift from Mrs. Spencer Biddle, Vancouver, Washington, of additional family correspondence and documents relating to the Biddle family both in Philadelphia and in the Northwest. This gift is valued at $530.

Mrs. E. R. Cameron
Gift of manuscripts, correspondence and related materials of the late Courtney Owen Cameron, author of mystery, western and adventure fiction, from Mrs. Ethel Rhinar Cameron, Oakland, California. This gift is valued at $1,885.
Mr. and Mrs. W. McGraw

Additional manuscripts, correspondence and related materials from William Corbin and Eloise Jarvis McGraw, Lake Oswego, authors of children's and young people's books. This gift is valued at $600.

Mrs. J. Cosgrave II

Manuscripts, original artwork and related materials of John O. Cosgrave II, author and illustrator of books concerning ships and shipping from Mrs. John O. Cosgrave II, Pocasset, Massachusetts. This gift is valued at $6,881.

Mrs. C. Dawson

An additional gift of sixty volumes of American fiction and non-fiction about nature and wildlife from the personal library of Mrs. Carley Dawson, Washington, D.C. This gift is valued at $122.75.

Miss A. Deming

Manuscripts, artwork, diaries, and correspondence of Edwin Deming, Indian authority and illustrator of Indians early in this century, from his daughter, Miss Alden Deming, New York City. This gift is valued at $2,465.

Mrs. W. Glaser

Proof sheets of a number of books printed by the Glasers, from Mrs. William Glaser, Freeport, New York. Many of the books printed by the Glasers were done for people whose illustration collections are in the Library's collections and for books which won national recognition and awards. This gift is valued at $100.

Miss P. Miller

Books, scrapbooks, and related materials concerning Joaquin Miller, Oregon poet of reknown, from his niece Miss Phenre Miller, Washington, D.C. This gift of valued at $888.

Mrs. A. Noel

Architectural drawings, specifications, and related materials of the late Mr. August Noel, residential architect in New York and New England, from his wife, Mrs. August L. Noel, New York City. This gift is valued at $6,700.

Miss Bobs Pinkerton

Manuscripts, correspondence, and related materials of Robert and Kathrene Pinkerton, authors of books and articles concerning outdoor adventures, from their daughter, Miss Bobs Pinkerton, New York City. This gift is valued at $1,167.

Mrs. W. Pogany

Additional original artwork, valued at $7,800, from Mrs. William A. Pogany, New York City. She is a well-recognized artist, illustrator, muralist and portrait painter and this is an addition to the Pogany Collection established earlier.

Mrs. O. K. Ronning

Manuscripts, scripts, tapes and kinescopes of radio and television programs such as "Ethel and Albert," "Kate Smith Hour," and "Couple Next Door," from Mrs. O. K. Ronning, Becket, Massachusetts. This gift is valued at $28,515.

Mr. and Mrs. O. L. Stoner

Gift of cue sheets for musical accompaniment for silent motion picture from Mr. and Mrs. O. L. Stoner, Lakewood, Colorado. This gift is valued at $500.
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Mrs. H. Ware

Manuscripts, correspondence and related materials of the late Harlan Ware, radio and pulp and slick writer, from his wife, Mrs. Harlan Ware, Carmel, California. This gift is valued at $14,905.

Mrs. Virginia M. Haseltine

Gift to the Museum of Art Collection of 39 art works from the Haseltine Collection of Pacific Northwest Art, from Mrs. Virginia M. Haseltine, Gearhart, Oregon. The gift is valued at $9,865.

University of Oregon Dental School

U. S. Dept. of the Army; Medical Research and Development Command, Department of the Army, Washington, D.C., for research entitled, "Thermography in the Measurement and Management of Combat Burn and Wound Healing," May 1, 1971, through April 30, 1972, under the direction of Dr. B. S. Savara, Chairman of Child Study.

U. S. Public Health Service

Grants totaling $110,433 from the U. S. Public Health Service, Bethesda, Maryland, for research grants, May 1, 1971, through April 30, 1972, unless otherwise listed, as follows:

1. $18,685 - "Gingival Antibody in Periodontal Disease," under the direction of Dr. Richard R. Ranney, Assistant Professor, Periodontology Department.

2. $44,990 - "The Role of Endogenous Inflammatory Mediators in Periodontal Disease," under the direction of Dr. Edward H. Montgomery, Assistant Professor, Pharmacology.

3. $30,309 - "Fructose Lethality of Dental Plaque Streptococci," under the direction of Dr. Howard R. Creamer, Associate Professor of Microbiology.

4. $16,449 - "Effects of Fluoride on Bone Metabolism," May 1, 1971, through April 30, 1973, under the direction of Dr. Thomas R. Shearer, Assistant Professor, Preventive Dentistry.

University of Oregon Medical School

Scholarships & Fellowships

Gifts totaling $600 from the following donors for scholarships and fellowships as follows:

Oregon Nurses Association, District 22, Burns $ 100.00
Rogue Valley Physicians' Service, Medford $ 500.00

American Cancer Society; Oregon Division

Grants totaling $14,812 from the American Cancer Society, Oregon Division, Inc., Portland, for research projects as follows:

1. $9,300 - "To Investigate a Laboratory Procedure which Would Help Predict the Clinical Value of Hypophysectomy and Adrenalectomy
in Disseminated Breast Cancer," April 1, 1971, through March 31, 1972, under the direction of Dr. William W. Krippaehne, Chairman and Professor, Department of Surgery.

2. $5,512 - "The Effect of Blood Loss on Dissemination of Cancer and Survival in Hamsters," March 15, through August 15, 1971, under the direction of Dr. William S. Fletcher, Professor of Surgery.

American Heart Association

Grants totaling $24,200 from the American Heart Association, New York City, for research projects from July 1, 1971, through June 30, 1972, as follows:

1. $11,000 - "Anticoagulant Prophylaxis with Prosthetic Valves," under the direction of Dr. Arthur J. Seaman, Professor and Head, Division of Hematology.

2. $13,200 - "The Formation and Metabolism of Vascular Connective Tissue Components," under the direction of Dr. J. Peter Bentley, Associate Professor of Biochemistry.

R. E. Brooks

Gift of $150 from Dr. Robert E. Brooks, Portland, in support of a research project entitled: "Continuing Electron Microscope Studies on the Mouse Lung Tumor System," under the direction of Dr. Robert E. Brooks, Assistant Professor of Pathology.

Capital Improvement, Inc.

Grant of $100 from the Capital Improvement, Inc., Salem, for "Urology Research," under the direction of Dr. Clarence V. Hodges, Professor and Head of Urology.

Carson Estate

Bequest of $7,388.22 from the estate of Freda Carson, deceased, for the "Unrestricted use of Doernbecher Hospital," under the direction of Dr. Michael D. Baird, Medical Director.

Donally Estate

Bequest of $40,072.23 from the estate of Helen Donally, deceased, for the "Unrestricted use of Doernbecher Hospital," under the direction of Dr. Michael D. Baird, Medical Director, Hospitals and Clinics.

Elks, Portland Emblem Club No. 133

Gift of $189 from the Elks, Portland Emblem Club No. 133, Portland, for the "Purchase of Gonio Lenses for use in the Portland Room, Ophthalmology Department," under the direction of Dr. Kenneth Swan, Ophthalmology Professor and Chairman.

W. Fife

Gift of $800 from Mr. William Fife, Channing House, Palo Alto, California, to the "Dr. and Mrs. Joseph Fife Scholarship Fund to be Awarded to the Outstanding Medical Student from Douglas County, Oregon, for the year 1971," under the direction of Mr. W. A. Zimmerman, Associate Dean for Business Affairs.

Joe Fisher Mustang Club

Gift of $165 from the Joe Fisher Mustang Club, Beaverton, for the "Unrestricted Use of Doernbecher Hospital," under the direction of Dr. Michael D. Baird, Medical Director, Hospitals and Clinics.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor/Trustee/Institution</th>
<th>Gift Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miss H. Gates</td>
<td>Gift of $500 from Miss Helon F. Gates, Cincinnati, Ohio for &quot;DMSO Research,&quot; under the direction of Dr. Stanley Jacob, Associate Professor of Surgery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul &amp; Lisa Cibis Charitable Trust</td>
<td>Gift of $250 from the Paul A. and Lisa M. Cibis Charitable Trust through the St. Louis Union Trust Company, St. Louis, Missouri, for use in the Department of Ophthalmology, under the direction of Dr. Kenneth Swan, Ophthalmology Professor and Chairman.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. A. Gross</td>
<td>Gift of $100 from Mr. William A. Gross, Portland, for DMSO Research under the direction of Dr. Stanley Jacob, Associate Professor of Surgery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Hervin Co.</td>
<td>Grant of $900 from The Hervin Company, Tualatin, Oregon, for the &quot;Unrestricted Use of the Animal Care Department,&quot; under the direction of Mr. Allan L. Rogers, Director of Animal Care Department.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honeyman Estate</td>
<td>Bequest of $20,000 from the estate of Ann Honeyman, deceased, as an unrestricted gift to be used at the discretion of Dean Charles N. Holman.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth J. Johnson</td>
<td>Gift of $10,000 from Elizabeth J. Johnson, Portland, for use in the Radiation Therapy Department, under the direction of Dr. Clifford V. Allen, Professor and Chairman of Radiation Therapy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. and Mrs. Orval Kemp</td>
<td>Gift of $100 from Mr. and Mrs. Orval Kemp, Portland, &quot;to be used for the Crippled Children's Division, under the direction of Dr. Richard L. Sleeter, Director of the Crippled Children's Division.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Carmen Llobregat</td>
<td>Gift of a &quot;Polaroid Land Camera with Container,&quot; valued at $125, from Mrs. Carmen Llobregat, Deer Island.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. and Mrs. Mac Lynn</td>
<td>Gift of $100 from Mr. and Mrs. Mac Lynn, Troutdale, for &quot;Use in the Department of Neurology,&quot; under the direction of Dr. Roy L. Swank, Professor and Head of Neurology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Cystic Fibrosis Research Foundation</td>
<td>Grant of $21,000 from the National Cystic Fibrosis Research Foundation, New York City, in further support of a grant &quot;To Conduct a Cystic Fibrosis Care, Teaching and Research Center,&quot; March 1, 1971, through February 29, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Robert A. Campbell, Associate Professor of Pediatrics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The National Foundation – March of Dimes</td>
<td>Gift of $250 from the Tri-County Chapter, The National Foundation – March of Dimes, Portland, in further support of a research project entitled, &quot;Central Nervous System Defects,&quot; under the direction of Dr. Herold T. Osterud, Professor and Chairman, Department of Public Health and Preventive Medicine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Science Foundation</td>
<td>Grant of $36,000 from the National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., in support of a research project entitled, &quot;Psychophysiology of Heart Rate Conditioning,&quot; April 1, 1971, through March 31, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Robert D. Fitzgerald, Professor of Medical Psychology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. P. Neilson Memorial Fund</td>
<td>Grant of $3,846.65 from Dr. Clifford Fearl, Portland, to &quot;Establish the Ronald P. Neilson Memorial Loan Fund to be used for Worthy Medical Students to Obtain their Education,&quot; under the direction of Dean Charles N. Holman.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Network for Continuing Medical Education, Inc.

Gift of $400 from the Network for Continuing Medical Education, Incorporated, New York City, "For the Unrestricted Use of Crippled Children's Division," under the direction of Dr. Richard L. Sleeter, Assistant Dean and Director of the Crippled Children's Division.

The Norwich Pharmacal Co. and Eaton Lab. Division

Grant of $600 from The Norwich Pharmacal Company and Eaton Laboratories Division, Norwich, New York, for "Urology Research," under the direction of Dr. Clarence V. Hodges, Head of Urology.

American Cancer Society, Oregon Division

Grant of $15,120 from the American Cancer Society, Oregon Division, Portland, in support of a research project entitled, "Cortisol Inhibition of Lymphoma Cells and Thymocytes in vitro and in vivo," June 1, 1971, through May 31, 1972, under the direction of Dr. John D. Gabourel, Associate Professor of Pharmacology.

Oregon State Elks Association

Grant of $5,000 from the Oregon State Elks Association, McMinnville, for "Continued support of the Elks' Children's Eye Clinic," under the direction of Dr. Kenneth Swan, Ophthalmology Professor and Chairman.

Reed Estate

Bequest of $500 from the estate of Olea E. Reed, deceased, for the "Unrestricted Use of Doernbecher Hospital," under the direction of Dr. Michael D. Baird, Medical Director.

Sheldon High Associated Student Body

Gift of $200 from the Sheldon High Associated Student Body, Eugene, for "Leukemia Research in Memory of Daniel and Steven Hitcher," under the direction of Dr. Robert D. Goldman, Associate Professor of Medicine.

B. K. Snyder Education Foundation

Gift of $240 from the Burt K. Snyder Education Foundation, Portland, for a 1970-71 Spring term stipend award for Jerry Bissell, medical student, under the direction of Dean W. A. Zimmerman, Business Affairs.

The Upjohn Co.

Grant of $5,350 from the Upjohn Company, San Jose, California, in support of a research project entitled, "Quantitative Bacteriology and Biochemical Monitoring Studies of Children with Acute-Chronic and Chronic Lung Disease Treated with Closeline," April 1, 1971, through March 31, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Robert A. Campbell, Associate Professor, and Dr. Julia L. Grach, Assistant Professor, Department of Pediatrics.

U. S. Dept. of Health, Education & Welfare

Grant of $34,540 from the U. S. Department of Health, Washington, D.C., for research entitled, "Cellular Mechanisms in Chemical Carcinogenesis," April 21, 1971, through April 20, 1972, under the direction of Dr. A. W. Horton, Professor and Acting Head, Division of Environmental Medicine.

U. S. Public Health Service

Grants totaling $660,850 from the U.S. Public Health Service, Washington, D. C., for research, training programs, and other purposes July 1, 1971, through June 30, 1972, unless otherwise indicated as follows:

1. $65,664 - "Training Program for Medical Cardiology," under the direction of Dr. Herbert E. Griswold, Professor and Head, Division of Cardiology.
2. $26,565 - "Psychiatry - GP Residency Training," under the direction of Dr. George Saslow, Professor and Chairman, Department of Psychiatry.

3. $76,491 - "Otolaryngology Training Grant," under the direction of Dr. David D. DeWeese, Chairman and Professor, Department of Otolaryngology.

4. $36,814 - "Angiography and Cardiovascular Radiology Training Program," under the direction of Dr. Charles T. Dotter, Professor and Chairman, Department of Diagnostic Radiology.

5. $21,500 - "Structure and Function of Transfer RNA," under the direction of Dr. Michael Litt, Professor of Biochemistry.

6. $42,946 - "Further support of a Research Training Program in the Biological Sciences," under the direction of Dr. John M. Brookhart, Professor and Chairman, Department of Physiology.

7. $28,576 - "Hearing and Tinnitus," April 1, 1971, through May 31, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Jack A. Vernon, Professor of Otolaryngology.

8. $67,510 - "Multidisciplinary Basic Research in Dermatology," under the direction of Dr. Walter C. Lobitz, Jr., Professor and Chairman, Department of Dermatology.

9. $31,848 - "Further Support of an Allied Health Professions Educational Improvement Program Basic Grant," April 1, 1971, through March 31, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Tyra T. Hutchens, Professor and Chairman, Department of Clinical Pathology.

10. $23,272 - "Molecular Nature of Flagellar Antigen Specificity," under the direction of Dr. Terence M. Joys, Associate Professor of Microbiology.

11. $46,142 - "Further Support of a Graduate Training Program in the Area of Biopsychology," under the direction of Dr. Joseph D. Matarazzo, Professor and Chairman, Department of Medical Psychology.

12. $102,818 - "Effects of Long Term Drug Dependence," March 1, 1971, through February 29, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Samuel Irwin, Professor of Pharmacology in Psychiatry.

13. $13,223 - "Feasibility Study to Established Cancer Research Center," March 1, 1971, through February 29, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Marvin B. Rittenberg, Associate Professor of Immunology and Allergy.

14. $19,620 - "Pituitary - Adrenal Hormones and Aversive Motivation," January 1, through August 31, 1971, under the direction of Dr. F. Robert Brush, Professor of Medical Psychology.
15. $18,734 - "Single Cell Studies of Learning," May 1, 1971, through April 30, 1972, under the direction of Dr. James H. O'Brien, Assistant Professor of Medical Psychology.

16. $36,401 - "Effect of Function on Grafts and Implants of Collagen," May 1, 1971, through April 30, 1972, under the direction of Dr. William W. Krippaehne, Chairman and Professor of Surgery.

17. $2,726 - "Viral Induced Control of Host Biosynthesis," September 1, 1970, through August 31, 1971, under the direction of Dr. Wallace J. Iglewski, Assistant Professor of Microbiology.

Goal '72 for Equipment Needs
Gifts totaling $10,220 from various donors to the project "Goal '72 for Equipment Needs," as follows:

Anonymous Donor $500.00
Dr. Margaret E. Berreth, Milwaukie $200.00
Dr. John C. Brougher, Vancouver, Washington $100.00
S. B. Eggertsen Foundation, San Diego, California $7,920.00
Dr. Keith F. Harcourt, Pendleton $100.00
Dr. Arthur C. Jones, Portland $100.00
Dr. Noble W. Jones, Portland $100.00
Dr. Walter C. Lobitz, Jr., Portland $100.00
Dr. Keith D. McMilan, Eugene $100.00
Dr. Roy D. Strand, Brookline, Massachusetts $100.00
Dr. Esther Annette Strom, Lebanon $100.00
Dr. W. R. Todd, Portland $500.00
Dr. Daniel G. Vaughan, San Jose, California $100.00
Dr. Harry Westerberg, Coco Solo, Canal Zone $100.00
Dr. Jerry Wetherell, Phoenix, Arizona $100.00

Portland State University
Scholarships & Fellowships
Gifts totaling $9,716 from the following donors for scholarships and fellowships as follows:

American Friends of the Middle East, Inc., Washington, D.C. $1,891.00
Baseball Scholarship, New York City $1,000.00
Bureau of Indian Affairs, Treasurer of the United States, Denver, Colorado $186.00
Bureau of Indian Affairs, Portland Area Office Branch of Education, Portland $594.00
Carpenter Foundation, Phoenix High School, Phoenix $100.00
Central Point School District #6, Central Point $100.00
Clark College Business Office, Rotary Club Scholarship, Vancouver, Washington $200.00
Cornell University Children's Tuition Scholarship, Program, Ithaca, New York $470.00
Dry Dock Boat Builders, Portland $225.00
Georgia-Pacific Foundation, Portland $1,000.00
Clarke Bequest

Bequest of $750 under the will of Miss Margaret Clarke, deceased, "to establish an endowment fund beginning November 6, 1970," under the direction of Dr. Frederick O. Waller, Department Head, English Department.

The Ford Foundation

Grant of $24,346 from The Ford Foundation, Higher Education and Research, New York City, to support a study to examine "the Social, Educational, Political, Administrative, Legal and Financial Obstacles to the Establishment of an Income-Contingent Student Loan Program in Order to Determine the Interest in and Feasibility of a Pay-As-You-Earn Plan at Portland State University," April 20, through July 31, 1971, under the direction of Mr. W. T. Lemman, Jr., Vice President for Business and Finance.

Medical Research Foundation of Oregon

Grant of $8,623.13 from the Medical Research Foundation of Oregon, Portland, to support "Salary for Dr. Keith Larson, School of Education, who will assist in studying the possibility of establishing a Day Care Center for Emotionally Disturbed Children," September 1, 1970, through June 30, 1971.

Metropolitan Area Community Coordinated Child Care Council

Grant of $13,705 from the Metropolitan Area Community Coordinated Child Care Council, Portland, to provide "Evaluation and Research Service to the 4C Council to assist in the development operation of the Coordinated Child Care Services Programs in the Model Cities Area of Portland," December 1, 1970, through April 30, 1971, under the direction of Mr. Lyndon Musolf, Director, Urban Studies.

National Science Foundation

Grants totaling $20,059 from the National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., for institutes and training programs as follows:

1. $7,617 - "In-Service Institute in Mathematics for Secondary School Teachers," August 1, 1971, through July 30, 1972, under the direction of J. Kenneth Harris, Acting Assistant Dean of Graduate Studies.
2. $12,442 - "Appointment of Two Continuation Graduate Traineeships for a Period of Nine to Twelve Months, beginning in the fall of 1971, and for Two Summer Traineeships for Graduate Teaching Assistants During the Summer of 1971," March 15, 1971, through September 30, 1972, under the direction of Dr. J. Kenneth Harris, Acting Dean of Graduate Studies.

Oregon State Program on Aging

Grant of $3,000 from the Oregon State Program on Aging, Salem, to support a "State-wide Referral Information System for Services for the Aged," April 1, 1971, through March 31, 1972, under the direction of Mr. John O'Brien, Assistant Professor of Sociology.

U.S. Dept. of Health, Education & Welfare
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Oregon College of Education

Scholarships & Fellowships

Gifts totaling $25,662.16 from the following donors for scholarships and fellowships as follows:

- American Business Women's Association, Salem
- American Legion Auxiliary, Dundee
- American Business Women's Association, Chemeketa Chapter, Salem
- American Legion Auxiliary, Okinawa Unit #28, Koza, Okinawa
- American Legion Auxiliary, Sitka Unit, Sitka, Alaska
- American Water Works Foundation, Wilmington, Delaware
- Astoria Business and Professional Women's Club, Astoria
- Astoria Classroom Teachers Association, Astoria
- Astoria High School, Astoria
- Astoria Senior High School, Girl's League
- Bethel Education Association, Eugene
- Hector Campbell Parent-Teacher Association, Milwaukie
- Canby Education Association, Canby
- The Carpenter Foundation, Central Point
- Bill Chrisman Memorial Fund, Sheridan
Meeting #396-90

Cockerline Memorial Trust Fund, Salem $480.00
Community Service Group of Camas Valley, Camas Valley 116.00
Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakima Indian Nation, Toppenish, Washington 233.33
Cooke Educational Fund, Condon 650.00
Crow-Applegate Parent-Teacher Association, Veneta 240.00
David Douglas High School Girl's League, Portland 150.00
Sigma Chapter, Delta Kappa Gamma, Prineville 100.00
Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development, Vernon, British Columbia, Canada 656.08
Drain Plywood Company, Drain 666.00
Elks, Beaverton lodge No. 1989, Beaverton 321.00
Elks Lodge No. 1886, Lincoln City 300.00
Emblem Club No. 406, The Dalles 100.00
Fullerton IV, Parent-Teacher Association, Roseburg 100.00
Georgia-Pacific Foundation, Portland 1,750.00
Glide High School (Glide High Library, Glide Parent-Teacher Association, Glide Kiwanis Club), Glide 450.00
Helen Gough Scholarship Foundation Advisory Committee, Fargo, North Dakota 125.00
Independent Order of Odd Fellows, Chemeketa Lodge No. 1, Salem 608.00
International Order of the Rainbow for Girls, Tillamook 250.00
Kinzua Corporation, Kinzua 500.00
Kiwanis Club, Lebanon 200.00
Kiwanis Club of Woodburn, Woodburn 250.00
Klamath Union High School Girls Recreation Association, Klamath Falls 100.00
Lake County Elementary Tuition Scholarship Fund Committee, Lakeview 136.00
The Lincoln County Foundation, Newport 250.00
Lions Club, McMinnville 400.00
Lions Club, Mapleton 250.00
Madras Senior High School, Madras 375.00
Mapleton Parent-Teacher Association 150.00
K. E. McKay of Coos Bay, Inc., Coos Bay 375.00
Medford Senior High School, H.E.C.A. Scholarship Fund, Medford 100.00
Metolius Parent-Teacher Association, Madras 140.00
Milton-Freewater Education Association, Milton-Freewater 100.00
The Miss Linn County Scholarship Fund, Sweet Home 100.00
The Miss Oregon Scholarship Pageant, Seaside 300.00
Mt. Edgecumbe-Sitka Lions Club, Mt. Edgecumbe, Alaska 250.00
Myrtle Point High School Student Body, Myrtle Point 200.00
National Association of Secondary School Principals, Washington, D.C. 1,000.00
North Douglas Parent-Teacher Association, Drain 150.00
Oakland High School Student Body and the L & H Company, Oakland 250.00
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Oakland Parent-Teacher Association, Oakland $100.00
O. N. C. Scholarship Foundation, Palo Alto, California 500.00
Oregon Golden Gloves, Incorporated, Portland 250.00
Oregon Sports Writers & Sportscasters Association, Tigard 500.00
Oregon State Grange, Portland 200.00
PEO Sisterhood, Chapter AP, Milton-Freewater 100.00
Rotary Club, Newberg 125.00
Sandy Parent-Teacher Association, Sandy 250.00
Scappoose High School Future Teachers of America and Girl's League, Scappoose 300.00
Smith River Lumber Company, Drain 1,332.00
Soroptimist Club of Brookings, Brookings 270.00
Soroptimist Club of Sitka, Alaska 150.00
South Santiam Educational and Research Project, through the Oregon State University Foundation, Corvallis 999.00
Sweet Home Community Scholarship Fund, Sweet Home 200.00
Theta Delta Phi, Monmouth 100.00
Triangle Lake Parent-Teacher Association, Blachly 150.00
Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, Saipan, Mariana Islands 1,306.75
Valsetz Community Scholarship Fund, Valsetz 136.00
Wahtonka Scholarship Fund, The Dalles 500.00
Edward L. Ward, Jr. Memorial Fund, Portland 500.00
Woolley Logging Company, Drain 666.00
Yamhill Carlton Parent-Teacher Association, Yamhill 240.00

Bureau of Indian Affairs

Grant of $25,000 from the U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs, Department of the Interior, Juneau Area Office, Juneau, Alaska, to "Furnish 25 Senior Student Teachers to Perform their Last Quarter of Student Teaching in Bureau of Indian Affairs Day Schools in Alaska," March 14, through June 30, 1971, under the direction of Dr. Paul H. Jensen, Professor of Education.

Educational Coordinating Council

Gift of $208 from the Educational Coordinating Council, Salem, as an increase of the original grant for "Developing Innovative Techniques for Improving the Instruction of Students Who Will Teach Music," January 16, 1970, through June 30, 1971, under the direction of Dr. Ronald L. Wynn, Associate Professor of Music.

National Science Foundation

Grant of $8,722 from the National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., to support an "Inservice Institute in World Regional Geography for Secondary School Teachers," April 12, 1971, through July 31, 1972, under the direction of Dr. Ronald L. Chatham, Professor of Geography.

School Dist. No. 24J

Gift of $866 from the School District No. 24J, Salem, to "Provide for the enrollment of 21 Career Opportunities Program Participants in course work during Spring Term 1971," March 29, through June 30, 1971, under the direction of Dr. Roger Kershner, Associate Professor of Education.
U.S. Dept. of Health, Education, & Welfare | Scholarships & Fellowships
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Grant of $1,200 from the U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education, Washington, D.C., for "Additional Stipends for Students," June 1, 1970, through August 31, 1971, under the direction of Dr. Dennis Fahey, Professor of Education.

**Southern Oregon College**

Gifts totaling $16,013.12 from various donors for scholarships and fellowships as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scholarship/Fellowship</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bank of Commerce, Milton-Freewater</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Indian Affairs Scholarship, Juneau, Alaska</td>
<td>$1,170.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter Scholarship, Ashland High School, Ashland</td>
<td>$1,874.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter Scholarship, School District #6, Central Point</td>
<td>$1,316.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter Scholarship, Medford High School, Medford</td>
<td>$1,592.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter Scholarship, Phoenix High School, Phoenix</td>
<td>$2,716.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cockerline Memorial Trust, U.S. National Bank, Salem</td>
<td>$160.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crater High School and Central Point Teachers Association, Central Point</td>
<td>$244.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crater Lions Scholarship, Medford High School, Medford</td>
<td>$123.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta Kappa Gamma Scholarship, Epsilon Chapter, Ashland</td>
<td>$136.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elks Lodge No. 944, Ashland</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elks Lodge No. 1371, Bend</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elks Lodge No. 1584, Grants Pass</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Presbyterian Church Scholarship, Ashland</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia-Pacific Foundation, Portland</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mildred Litster Scholarship Trust, Medford</td>
<td>$636.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maranatha Church of God, Portland</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medford Senior High School, Medford</td>
<td>$423.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medford Senior High School, Medford Rotary, Medford</td>
<td>$396.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Congress of Parents and Teachers, Inc., Portland</td>
<td>$581.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon State Association of Emblem Clubs, Roseburg</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEO Scholarship, Chapter R, Ashland</td>
<td>$136.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepsi Cola Bottling Company, White City,</td>
<td>$188.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix High School, Thespians Scholarship, Phoenix</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogue Valley Memorial Hospital Auxiliary, Medford</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soroptomist Club Scholarship, Ashland</td>
<td>$131.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Oregon Plywood Scholarship, Grants Pass</td>
<td>$333.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Oregon State Bank Scholarship, Grants Pass</td>
<td>$166.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank TouVelle Scholarship, Crater High School, Central Point</td>
<td>$264.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank TouVelle Scholarship, Phoenix High School, Phoenix</td>
<td>$132.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. National Bank of Oregon, Portland</td>
<td>$680.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woolley Logging Company Scholarship, Drain</td>
<td>$333.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonta Club of Coos Bay, North Bend</td>
<td>$166.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eastern Oregon College

Grants totaling $2,972 from various donors for scholarships and fellowships as follows:

- Bureau of Indian Affairs, Anchorage, Alaska $758.00
- Bureau of Indian Affairs, Juneau, Alaska 514.00
- California-Pacific Utilities Company, San Francisco 1,200.00
- Elks Lodge No. 433, La Grande 200.00
- Oregon State University Foundation, Corvallis 100.00
- Sherman County Scholarship Association, Moro 200.00

Oregon Arts Commission

Gift of $850 from the Oregon Arts Commission, Salem, to "Provide Financial Assistance for a Fine Arts Festival to be held at Eastern Oregon College, April 14-17, 1971," January 1, through September 30, 1971, under the direction of Dr. John Cobb, Associate Professor of Music.

Oregon Technical Institute

Grants totaling $3,718 from various donors for scholarships and fellowships as follows:

- Bernard Daly Educational Fund, Lakeview $720.00
- Ford Motor Company Foundation, Dearborn, Michigan 719.00
- Klamath Falls Kiwanis Club, Klamath Falls 136.00
- McKenzie Education Association, Finn Rock 100.00
- Oregon Technical Institute Faculty Wives and Women's Club, Klamath Falls 300.00
- Pacific Gas Transmission Company, San Francisco 1,000.00
- Quota Club of Klamath Falls 210.00
- Rotary Club of Medford, Central Point 200.00
- South Santiam Educational and Research Project, through Oregon State University Foundation, Klamath Falls 333.00

First Federal Savings & Loan Association

Gift of $250 from the First Federal Savings and Loan Association, Klamath Falls, for a "Student Loan Fund," under the direction of Dr. T. R. Brown, Director of Financial Aids.

U.S. Dept. of Health, Education & Welfare

Grant of $22,994 from the U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service, Bethesda, Maryland, to be used for "Development of an Associate in Arts Degree Nursing Program at Oregon Technical Institute," April 1, 1971, through March 31, 1972, under the direction of Mr. Leroy Fisk, Department Chairman, Biological Sciences.
Teaching Research Division

**Beaverton Public Schools**
Grant of $7,400 from the Beaverton Public Schools, Beaverton, to conduct an Evaluation of the Districts Differentiated Staffing Project and to Design a Prototype Information System, February 1 through June 1, 1971, under the direction of Dr. C. F. Paulson, Associate Professor of Research.

**Oregon Corrections Division**
Grant of $4,500 from the Oregon Corrections Division, Salem, to provide Personal Services to Design, Plan, and Coordinate the Evaluation of the Project Maximizing Oregon's service and Training through Parole and Probation Officers, January 1 through June 30, 1971, under the direction of Mr. Allen Lee, Research Professor.

**Tillamook County Intermediate Education District**
Gift of $100 from the Tillamook County Intermediate Education District, Tillamook, to fund Two Behavior Modification Parent Sessions, February 1, through March 31, 1971, under the direction of Dr. Harold D. Fredericks, Associate Research Professor.

Division of Continuing Education

**Corporation for Public Broadcasting**
Grants totaling $14,667 from the Corporation for Public Broadcasting, Washington, D.C., to permit further development of public broadcast services, May 1, 1971, through April 30, 1972, as follows:

1. $6,667 - For the Citizens of Portland and Vicinity, under the direction of Mr. William F. McGrath, General Manager, KOAP.

2. $8,000 - For the Citizens of Corvallis and Vicinity, under the direction of Mr. Robert C. Hinz, General Manager, KOAC.

**National Endowment for the Humanities**
Grant of $10,100 from the National Endowment for the Humanities, Washington, D.C., to be used to pay the costs of Administration of a Program to be Directed by the Joint Committee for the Humanities in Oregon, March 1, 1971, through February 29, 1972, under the direction of Mr. John H. Steinhart, Project Coordinator.

**Oregon Council on Economic Education**
Gift of $300 from the Oregon Council on Economic Education, Eugene, to provide for the Conduct of a Conference on Principles of Economics to be held April 16 and 17, 1971, in Eugene, under the direction of Dr. Kenneth Waldroff, Conference and Institute Chairman.

**Oregon Mental Health Division**
Grant of $13,265 from the Oregon Mental Health Division, Salem, to operate the Western Institute of Drug Problems Fourth Annual Summer Institute, August 7 through 13, 1971, under the direction of Dr. Kenneth Waldroff, Chairman, Conference and Institute.

**Oregon Society of Radio Technologists**
Gift of $300 from the Oregon Society of Radio Technologists, to conduct a Conference for Radiologic Technologists on April 15 through 18, 1971, under the direction of Dr. Kenneth Waldroff, Conference and Institute Chairman.

**Society of Real Estate Appraisers**
Gift of $200 from the Society of Real Estate Appraisers, Portland, for funds to represent an Unrestricted Gift for Staff Professional Development, under the direction of Dr. LeRoy Pierson, Director of Administration.
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Supplementary Training Associates

Grant of $10,638 from Supplementary Training Associates, Washington, D.C., "To provide a Supplementary Training Program of the type and character which enables nonprofessional persons on full-year follow-through staffs to function more effectively in their career development roles," September 1, 1970, through August 20, 1971, under the direction of Mrs. Marilyn Peters, Project Director.
It was recommended that the following personnel adjustments and appointments as submitted by the Institutional Executives concerned be approved, the necessary funds being provided in the 1970-71 or 1971-72 budgets, or as indicated. Unless otherwise stated, appointments and adjustments in salary, rank, title, and months of service are effective July 1, 1971. The Board approved the recommendation as presented.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University of Oregon</th>
<th>Mo. of Service</th>
<th>Present Salary</th>
<th>Recommended Salary</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr. James G. Kelly</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$ --</td>
<td>$ 32,000</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Perry D. Morrison, Acting Dean, with rank of Professor of Librarianship</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>24,500</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Lynn S. Rodney, Professor of Recreation Management and Head of Department</td>
<td>9 to 12</td>
<td>20,700</td>
<td>26,400</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Donald S. Tull, Professor of Marketing</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Robert S. Harris, Professor of Architecture and Head of Department</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22,210</td>
<td>25,600</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Aaron Novick, Professor of Biology and Research Associate, Institute of Molecular Biology</td>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>25,300</td>
<td>33,000</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University of Oregon Medical School</th>
<th>Mo. of Service</th>
<th>Present Salary</th>
<th>Recommended Salary</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miss Jean Boyle, Director of the School of Nursing, with rank of Professor</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24,180</td>
<td>24,180</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADJOURNMENT The Board meeting adjourned at 3:05 P.M.

George H. Layman, President
R. L. Collins, Secretary
APPENDIX

Supplement A -- City of Portland Ordinance No. 132640

Supplement B -- Mrs. Johnson's statement to the Board Regarding the Establishment of Nonresident Fees for Graduate Nonresident Students

Supplement C -- Guidelines and Procedures for the allocation of the Incidental Fee at the Various Institutions
An Ordinance vacating certain portions of S.W. Park Avenue, S.W. West Park Avenue, S.W. Hall Street, S.W. Harrison Street, S.W. Montgomery Street, and S.W. Mill Street, initiated by the City Council for and on behalf of Portland State University, under certain conditions.

The City of Portland ordains:

Section 1. The Council finds that by Resolution No. 30857, adopted by the Council January 27, 1971, proceedings were initiated for the vacation of certain portions of S.W. Park Avenue, S.W. West Park Avenue, S.W. Hall Street, S.W. Harrison Street, S.W. Montgomery Street, and S.W. Mill Street, in the City of Portland, County of Multnomah, State of Oregon; that the purpose of said vacation is for campus expansion through Master Plan implementation as adopted by the University and the Development Commission; that pursuant to ORS 271.130, the Council fixed a time and place for public hearing before the City Council, to-wit: 9:30 A.M., Wednesday, March 17, 1971 in the Council Chamber of the City Hall in the City of Portland, Oregon, at which time and place said hearing was held; that said hearing was continued until April 21, 1971 so that a report from the Port of Portland Commission could be included; that the City Auditor published notice in certain places in area proposed to be vacated, all in accordance with the provisions of ORS 271.110; that said matter came on regularly for hearing and any objections made or filed thereto were heard and considered and overruled and by this ordinance are specifically overruled; and the Council finds that said vacation is in the public interest and that said area should be vacated; that said vacation will not interfere with any improvement proposed by the Port of Portland Commission nor with any reasonable access to the waterfront or transportation terminal, as advised by the Commission; nor with any improvement or use proposed by the Oregon State Highway Department, and the consents necessary have been received; that by letter dated March 15, 1971, to the Commissioner of Public Works, the City Engineer recommends vacation of said property under certain conditions and restrictions hereinafter set forth; now, therefore, the following described street areas hereby are vacated upon the following conditions:

That portion of S.W. Park Avenue lying between the north line of S.W. College Street and the south line of S.W. Market Street.

That portion of S.W. West Park Avenue lying between the north line of S.W. College Street and the south line of S.W. Montgomery Street.

That portion of S.W. Hall Street lying between the east line of S.W. West Park Avenue and the west line of S.W. Broadway.
That portion of S.W. Harrison Street lying between the
east line of S.W. West Park Avenue and the west line
of S.W. Park Avenue.

That portion of S.W. Montgomery Street lying between
the east line of S.W. West Park Avenue and the west
line of S.W. Broadway.

That portion of S.W. Mill Street lying between the east
line of S.W. West Park Avenue and the west line of S.W.
Broadway.

(a) Streets shall not be closed in such a manner as
to prohibit access for maintenance of computer control
signal cables.

(b) Portland State University or Portland Development
Commission shall pay any costs of relocation of said
computer control signal cables necessitated by recon-
structions of streets.

(c) Existing hydrants, water mains and other facilities
for providing water for fire fighting purposes shall not
be removed or disconnected until plans for providing
water supplies necessary for fire fighting are submitted
to and approved by the Fire Marshal of the City.

(d) That should it be found necessary to relocate or
alter any water facilities due to construction by Port-
land State University or Portland Development Commission
that such relocation or alteration costs shall be paid
by Portland State University or Portland Development
Commission.

(e) Streets shall not be closed in such a manner as to
prohibit access into the area by emergency vehicles
for fire fighting purposes. Plans for street closures
shall be approved by the Fire Marshal.

(f) At such time as it is found desirable by the City
Engineer to relocate certain sewer lines for continuing
service to properties other than those owned by P.S.U.,
these relocations will be at the expense of P.S.U. or
P.D.C. and the balance of the sewer lines within the
area will then be abandoned to private ownership and
maintenance to P.S.U. or P.D.C.. In the event that
sewer relocations are found to be impractical, an
alternate solution would be for Portland State University
or P.D.C. to contract with the City of Portland to furnish
sewer service to the private ownerships via P.S.U. or P.D.C.
private lines.
(g) That Portland State University or Portland Development Commission shall pay all costs of intersection reconstruction and street closures as required by the City Engineer.

(h) That Portland State University deed to the City for public purposes those portions of the streets herein vacated which by law will revert to land owned by P.S.U., reserving however, to P.S.U. the right to build structures beneath grade level on such land which would by law revert to Portland State University, it being understood Portland State University or P.D.C. will be responsible for any and all costs of reconstructing or relocating all existing underground utilities which might interfere with such below grade construction.

(i) That upon completion of reconstruction for pedestrian use of the streets herein vacated and the adjacent Park Blocks at the expense of Portland State University or the Portland Development Commission, all future maintenance of walks, roadways, plantings and all things within such area will be by and at the expense of Portland State University or Portland Development Commission.

(j) Nothing herein contained shall cause or require the removal or abandonment of any sewer, water or gas main, conduit of any kind, wire, pole or thing used, or intended to be used, for any public service, and the right hereby is reserved for the owner of any such utility or thing to maintain, continue, repair, reconstruct, renew, replace, rebuild and/or enlarge any and all such things; that no building or structure of any kind shall be built or erected within a distance of ten feet from the center line of any such utility, except with the prior written consent of the City Engineer and the owner of the utility and that any and all completed building plans in said vacated area shall be submitted for the approval of the City Engineer and to the Director of the Bureau of Buildings, to the end that such construction may be so adjusted with reference to all public utilities in said area as to cause a minimum of danger or inconvenience to the public and to the owner of such utility and to protect and preserve the same as presently constructed or hereinafter reconstructed, renewed, replaced and/or enlarged.

(k) The City Auditor shall file with the recorder, the assessor, and the surveyor of the county in which said
property is located a certified copy of this ordinance and the acceptance thereof and any map, plat or other record which may be required by law.

Section 2. Portland State University shall file with the City Auditor in form approved by the City Attorney a document in writing accepting the terms and conditions of this ordinance.

Passed by the Council, MAY 5 1971

Order of Council
MCR:ref 4/22/71
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MEMORANDUM: June 8, 1971

TO: Members of the Board of Higher Education, Chancellor R. E. Lieuallen, Vice Chancellor Miles Romney

FROM: Elizabeth H. Johnson

SUBJECT: Tuition Increase

The question of how much of the cost of his education a student in a publicly-supported institution should bear is one that is of constant concern to the executive and legislative branches of government as well as to administrators, trustees, students and faculty. The urgency of the question has been highlighted by recent actions of the legislature and the governor; by opening up of opportunities for some exposure to post-high school education for all young people; by rising costs and inflation; by over-production of graduate students in some fields of science, education and the arts and humanities; and by reduced levels of financial support in relation to costs at public as well as private institutions.

By action taken by the Board of Higher Education at its meeting on January 25, 1971, the policies governing the assessment of tuition and fees for the year 1971-72 included the following:

"1. Tuition at the Colleges, Universities, OTI and DCE (excluding UOMS and UODS)
   a. Tuition should be related to the budgeted average cost of instruction
   b. Tuition for non-resident undergraduates should equal the system-wide average estimated cost of undergraduate instruction
   c. Tuition for resident undergraduates should be not more than 25 percent of the average estimated cost of undergraduate instruction
   d. Tuition for graduate students should be not more than 20 percent of the average estimated cost of graduate instruction...

A tuition increase of $66.00 per year ($22.00 per term) for resident undergraduates and $156.00 per year ($52.00 per term) for graduate students had been included in the budget submitted by the Board in October, and had been included in the Governor's budget. The Ways and Means Committee has now specified a minimum non-resident undergraduate charge of not less than $1,500.00 or the cost of instruction -- "whichever is the greater" and it has recommended "that the Board of Higher Education and the Executive Department evaluate the potential benefits which might be derived from a program of charging up to the full cost for medical-dental students with a forgiveness feature for a specified period of service after graduation to Oregon citizens in selected localities where there is an identifiable shortage of these services."

The Ways and Means Committee further acted to adopt an expenditure level for the six institutions as recommended by the Governor, but they reduced the recommended level of general fund support by six million dollars, with the intention that the Board of Higher Education is to achieve all possible operating economies before considering further tuition increases "beyond those already planned."
In material presented to the Finance Committee on May 31, the Vice Chancellor for Administration proposed, as a part of "closing the gap" of the 6.5 million dollar "cut", that additional non-resident students be admitted to state system schools (300 in 1971-72 and 400 additional in 1972-73) to add approximately $1,000,000 in tuition income. He also recommended that resident undergraduate tuition be increased by either $36.00 in 1971-72 and an additional $15.00 in 1972-73; or by $24.00 in 1971-72 and $39.00 in 1972-73. The Finance Committee recommended the $24.00 per year increase for 1971-72, which, added to the $66.00 already proposed, would increase tuition by $90.00 per year for undergraduates and $150.00 per year for graduate students. This would make the totals paid by resident undergraduates $498.00 (depending on the level of incidental fees to be determined by each institution); $666.00 by graduate students, resident and nonresident (except at the Medical and Dental Schools, where non-residents would pay $1800.00); and $1,500.00 by non-resident undergraduates. (There is a danger in reliance upon this latter kind of income source, partly because future court decisions may have the effect of erasing residency requirements in order to qualify for educational opportunities; too, admitting more non-resident students to institutions which have enrollment ceilings may have the effect of turning away resident students because of lack of facilities, faculty, and space. Also, there is added expense to the state in enrolling additional non-resident students who, though they pay the average full cost of their instruction, do not pay for the added physical facilities. Part of the additional funds that they pay are also used to help subsidize the cost of graduate education, because graduate students, resident and non-resident, pay only 20 percent of the cost of their instruction, which is admittedly much higher (estimated $1400-1500 per student for undergraduates compared with $2500-2600 for graduate education.)

Though the question has been raised many times previously (and it will continue to be raised along with the continuing controversy concerning the "low-tuition" principle in public higher education), there was no recommendation in the Ways and Means Budget Report nor in the Vice Chancellor's report to the Finance Committee concerning the level of non-resident graduate tuition.

As matters presently stand, it seems to me there are inconsistencies in the Board's policies concerning tuition levels, especially as they apply to non-resident graduate students:

1. According to an analysis put together by Harold G. Morris, 2487 Pioneer Road, Talent, Oregon, and based on information secured through the office of Mr. Guy Lutz, Director of Institutional Research, Oregon's universities are the only ones which, when compared with 57 other state-supported universities, do not charge increased fees for non-resident graduate students over those paid by resident graduate students. Oregon does charge an increased fee for non-resident undergraduates - and a sharply increased one ($498.00 in 1971-72 for residents, as against $1500.00). See attached "Analysis and Suggested Plan", supplied "From the desk of Representative Don Stathos".

When comparisons are made between the levels of graduate tuition, resident and non-resident, at the 19 institutions with which the State System regularly compares itself, it can be readily seen that there are marked differences at each one of the 19 institutions between the levels of tuition paid by resident graduate students and non-resident graduate students, even though in several instances, the resident graduate students pay less than
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they do in Oregon. (In some instances, the tuition paid by resident graduates is below the level paid in Oregon, but even in those instances, the non-resident pays more - and in three quarters of the instances it is above the level paid in Oregon (46 out of 57). In 32 out of the 59 institutions, the non-resident graduate student pays more than $900; 23 of the 59 are between $1000 and $2250.) Analysis of this kind is difficult because one does not know the level at which "fees" are set. For instance, at the University of California, where students pay "fees" but no tuition, the chart shows "-0" for resident graduate tuition and "$1200.00" for non-resident graduate tuition. Too, these statistics are not current.

a. Miami University (of Ohio) trustees, for example, have just raised tuition and fees at the Oxford campus to $750.00 per year for residents and $1050.00 per year for non-residents, undergraduate and graduate. The chart shows the former levels as $430.00 and $830.00.

2. Nation-wide there has been a problem of "over-production" in many areas of graduate study - especially at the PhD level, and even though statistics based on 1968-69 levels indicate that in the State System, of 419 PhD's granted, only 2 were unemployed, and 2 did not seek employment, those statistics say nothing of those graduates who may have counted further study at the post-doctoral level as "employment", nor do they say anything about the number who may have been "underemployed" because of cut-backs in spending for defense, space, and hiring of new faculty, especially at the college and university level. According to a study published by Allan M. Cartter, Chancellor of New York University, there will be a 30 to 50 percent oversupply of PhD's in all fields, including science, during the 1970's. "The price of a surplus of PhD's (surplus in terms of traditional jobs)", Cartter wrote, "is not unemployment but rising underemployment".

The State System in Oregon has responded by limiting the number of graduate students admitted to its institutions; it acknowledges many more applications received for admission to its graduate programs than it can accept, but it has not changed its fee structure for non-residents, which was designed originally to attract highly-qualified students and build up its graduate programs and faculties.

3. There is another basic inconsistency in the fact that a non-resident undergraduate at the University of Oregon, paying the $1500.00 non-resident fee, can, if he enters graduate school in the same institution, find his tuition, as a non-resident graduate student reduced; his graduate tuition would be roughly $660.00. As an undergraduate, he would be paying the full cost of his education ($1500.00); as a graduate, he would be paying 20 percent of the cost of his education (estimated at between $2500.00 and $2600.00). A foreign student, who is counted as a non-resident student would be paying $1500.00 as an undergraduate, and, under Board policy, would not have his tuition raised during the years he attended as an undergraduate. Then, if he was admitted to graduate school, he would pay the graduate fee then in effect, and presumably it would not be increased for him while he was pursuing, without interruption, the degree for which he initially matriculated. The graduate tuition for a resident student would, however, be open to increase during the course of study. (See copy of editorial from Bulletin, Monday, June 7, 1971. See also copy of "Letter to Editor", Oregonian, dated June 7, 1971.)
According to the Office of Institutional Research, depending upon the address taken from the registration cards (and since there is presently no difference in tuition as between resident and non-resident graduate students, it is not necessary to differentiate), about 60 to 65 percent of the graduate students are probably non-resident. They have home addresses which, as undergraduates, would classify them as non-residents. Under existing residency requirements of the State Board, many of these students, over 21 years of age, and emancipated, could establish themselves as resident students; they would, however, be classified as non-residents during the time it would take to do so. (The Board could, of course, modify its residency requirements.)

Since there are demonstrable inconsistencies in the tuition structure, as it applies to all graduate students, and especially to non-resident graduate students; since the State System is to study thoroughly all means of affecting efficiencies and savings before raising resident undergraduate tuition, especially in the second year of the biennium; since there are limitations on graduate enrollments; since the State Board is moving to admit more non-resident undergraduates to help close the gap between anticipated resources and expenditure limitations, it is necessary to look again at the matter of graduate student tuition, both resident and non-resident, and recommend changes.

Because too steep a rise in tuition for the 1971-72 year would create hardships for individual students and would also call into question scholarships, teaching fellowships, research grants, stipends, and already-committed department resources, it is proposed that the Finance Committee:

1. Undertake a thorough study of the matter of tuition and fee levels for graduate students, especially non-resident graduate students, and report to the Board by the September meeting its recommendations for the 1972-73 school year, and the 70's. Proposed step increases, geared to some kind of index, would give ample forewarning of anticipated tuition levels.

2. Adopt some rationale which would make non-resident graduate tuition either (a) equal to undergraduate non-resident tuition or (b) a percentage of the cost of graduate education, greater than 20% - perhaps 50%; (c) consider the full cost of graduate education, with a rebate to those remaining in Oregon to meet the needs of the state for trained or professionally skilled manpower.

3. Adopt a rationale for tuition levels of all students, keyed to the cost of education, the consumer price index, the amount of disposable per capita income, tuition levels at private institutions, community colleges, amount and kinds of state- and federally-supported financial aid programs, etc.

4. Since approximately $114.00 or one fourth of the present tuition and fee level ($408.00 total) is for the building fee ($10.00 per student, per term, commingled) and for "incidental fees"; and since the change in Board policy to move health service fees over into a special account but allow the incidental fees to vary from institution to institution but range between $15 and $25 permits the total "incidental fee" to rise (i.e., health service at $12.00, building fee at $10.00 and other
"Incidental fees" at, say $25.00); since the legislature has proposed a
study of the incidental fee allocation, these fees and their relation to
the education mission of the institutions should be a part of the Finance
Committee study.

5. Most important of all should be an all-out effort to examine expenditures
and priorities within departments, schools, administrative units, student
activities, public relations, public service activities, etc., to assure
that every possible saving, economy, and utilization of resources, both
personnel and financial is effected before any additional tuition increases
are recommended.

It is recognized that as prices rise and mandated expenses over which the
institution and the Board has no control, increase, that additional funds
must be sought from all sources, including increased tuition. Higher
education, especially at publicly-supported institutions, has been one of
the greatest "bargains" available anywhere - of benefit to both the indi-
vidual and to society. However, in the rush of trying to cope with larger
enrollments, growing demands for service, research, the knowledge explosion
- and so on down the line of changes occurring rapidly and without precedent
in the 60's, institutions too, have become somewhat inflated, and to some
extent have lost consensus about their ability to control their own destinies
and internal management. Now, during a period which should see some relaxa-
tion of the problems of coping with growth, is the time to re-examine the
operation and to "contract" or "subtract" or "correct" wherever possible.
If students on "work-study" can perform some of the assignments in building
maintenance, clerical and stenographic work, bookkeeping, libraries, etc.,
the programs of financial assistance can and should be broadened.

Courses and classes which have proliferated during the time of unprecedented
growth should be examined, and in some cases, eliminated or offered in
alternate years or quarters. Low-enrollment classes should be looked at -
particularly in the high-cost categories.

To make the assumption that to provide "quality education" it is necessary
to continue from where we are and find additional resources to "close the
gap" without a thorough-going analysis of "where we are" does not meet the
requirements of either the legislature of the supporting public - or the
students and their families.
GUIDELINES AND PROCEDURES FOR THE ALLOCATION OF THE INCIDENTAL FEE
EASTERN OREGON COLLEGE

In order to comply with the Oregon State Board of Higher Education in regard to the allocation of incidental fees, and in keeping with the requirements set by the Oregon State Board of Higher Education; the following "guidelines and procedures" will be followed in order to facilitate the allocation of the aforementioned fees.

1. A permanent committee should be established whose duties and responsibilities shall be to review the incidental fee budgets, and to allocate, and to set the total amount of incidental fees.

   A. The name of the committee shall be the "Eastern Oregon College Incidental Fees Committee." (EOCIFC)

   B. Voting membership shall consist of five representatives of the ASB of EOC and the members of the President's Committee (Dean of Instruction, Dean of Administration, Dean of Students, and the President of the College).

      1. The President of the College will serve as chairman.
      2. Anyone who is not a voting member of the EOCIFC, but whose attendance at a meeting might be beneficial, may be invited by the President of the College or the President of the ASB.

   C. If a member of the EOCIFC requests a formal decision on any issue, a vote may be taken.

      1. The vote shall be taken by a roll call procedure.
      2. A simple majority shall prevail.
      3. The President of the College shall have the power of veto.
      4. Decisions of the EOCIFC which are vetoed by the President shall be referred back to the committee (with comment) for reevaluation.
D. A written announcement shall be sent to the committee members at least three days in advance of any meeting of the EOCIFC. If possible, materials needed for the meeting should be included with the announcement.

E. No meeting shall be held unless a quorum is in attendance. A quorum shall be defined as not less than 6 members.

F. If a decision regarding the budgeting or allocating of incidental fees must be made, and time does not allow for a formal meeting of the EOCIFC, all committee members will be notified immediately.
GUIDELINES AND PROCEDURES
IN THE BUDGETING AND ALLOCATION OF INCIDENTAL FEE INCOME

Southern Oregon College
Ashland, Oregon

April 1, 1971

Introduction

The incidental fee, which the Board assesses to all students, is designed to support a number of activities considered to be incidental to the primary objective of the College, rather than service those objectives directly. Areas of support have been divided into three main divisions as follows: Athletics and Intramural Activities Division, Student Services Division, and Education Activities Division. Further details concerning each of these divisions follows:

Athletics and Intramural Activities Division

Recognition is given to the ongoing commitment for membership in the Evergreen Conference which carries with it the responsibility for participation in the following sports - football, basketball, baseball, track, golf, swimming, cross country, wrestling, skiing, and tennis. This responsibility also carries with it the obligation to field teams and to participate in competitive sports with other institutions and to host these same sports on an alternating basis. The level of support must be maintained at an optimal level to assure continued participation in this conference. A strong program of intramural activities is also carried on by the institution. This type of competitive sports calls for the organization by groups, dormitory clubs, etc., into teams so that participation may occur. This program not only provides satisfaction to those who desire to participate in competitive sports, but also seeks to support the Physical Education Program in the development of a healthy body and in the development of life-time skills that can be enjoyed after leaving college.

Student Services Division

This division will provide resources for physical plant costs and for payment of salaries and wages to professional personnel along with the support of staff necessary to operate the College Union Facilities. The program established in the remodeling of Britt Student Center in 1957 and the construction of the new College Union Building in 1971 by the College, carries with it an ongoing commitment to support the Physical Plant cost and the staffing of this facility to appropriately serve the college community, including both faculty, students, alumni and guests. A level of financing to provide optimal service is essential in carrying out this program.
Education Activities Division

A variety of campus programs enhances and enriches classroom and curricular programs as well as provides for constructive use of leisure time. Recreational programs also contribute to the development of life-time skills. To be certain that a variety of campus programs are possible, financing will be provided for various groups and organizations within the framework of this division, and will be given to the duly organized and recognized student groups to carry on programs. Generally speaking, these programs will not attempt to produce academic credit, but will be used to augment or supplement the primary objectives of the College.
IDENTIFICATION OF COMMITTEES AND SUB-COMMITTEES WITH WORK ASSIGNMENT

Incidental Fee Committee

Membership:

1. Student Body President, ex-officio
2. Student Body Business Manager, ex-officio
3. Dean of Students, ex-officio
4. 1-Faculty Member, appointed by President
5. Dean of Administration, ex-officio

Student alternates will be appointed by the Student Body President for the two members of this Committee.

Work Assignment:

This Committee will make a determination of anticipated resources for each year by reviewing anticipated student enrollment and projecting these numbers into dollar income. It will then conduct public hearings for each of the three divisions on an annual basis for purposes of the Budget. Members may make such inquiry of the Divisions as appears appropriate so that they will be fully informed and able to make the best possible judgment in the use of these funds.

Following these hearings, a recommendation will be made to the President as to programs to be funded and distribution of resources for these programs. A small reserve of approximately 5% will be maintained by the Committee for emergencies that may occur in the course of the year's business.

Arrangements will be made at an appropriate time to hold such other hearings as are requested by the Division during the course of the year's events. All three Division Chairmen will be notified at such time that there is to be a meeting of this Committee and they may attend hearings of any Division or may themselves suggest items to be discussed on the agenda upon appropriate request of the Chairmen.

The Committee will establish such other rules as appear appropriate in the conduct of its activities.

Divisions

There are three division committees through which all requests are channeled to the Incidental Fee Committee.

Division Committees will identify programs within the scope of their assignment that should be carried on and will conduct hearings as are deemed appropriate to give opportunity for discussion by interested groups. They will develop or assist in developing budgets to carry on these programs. They
will exercise care that maximum use will be made from the Incidental Fee monies anticipated in developing the program. Public hearings will be held by each division in the development of a budget. These will be advertised well in advance so that students and faculty may participate. When hearings have been completed and a determination has been made of programs to be funded, the appropriate representatives will communicate these needs to the Incidental Fee Committee at a hearing scheduled for this purpose.

Responsibility for appropriate use of fee monies will also be the concern of the Division Committee following receipt of an approved budget from the Incidental Fee Committee and the President.

A. Physical Education and Athletics

1. Membership

3 Students appointed by Student Committee on Committees
1 Faculty appointed by President
1 Director of Athletics
1 Chairman, Athletic Board

(Chairman to be elected by Committee)

2. Areas of Budgeting

a. Athletics
b. Men's Intermural and Intramural Activities
c. Girls' Intramural and Intermural Activities

B. Student Services Division

College Union (Personnel and Physical Plant)

1. Membership

Since the development of a budget for the College Union requires a complete knowledge of the personnel needs for program and an analysis of physical plant requirements, following discussion with the Dean of Administration and the Director of Physical Plant, it is assumed that the Director of the College Union will have the responsibility of assembling materials related to the Student Services Budget for presentation to the Incidental Fee Committee.

2. Areas of Budgeting

a. College Union -- Academics, Civil Service, and Student Employees
b. College Union Physical Plant Costs
C. Education Activities and Student Programming

1. Membership

   a. Union Program Board Chairman  1
   b. Student Senate Treasurer  1
   c. Students appointed by Student Committee  2
      on Committees
   d. Director of Education Activities  1
   e. Activities Director  1
   f. Faculty appointed by the President  1

   (Chairman to be elected by Committee)

2. Areas of Budgeting

   a. Student Senate
   b. Union Program Board
   c. Debates
   d. Summer Recreation
   e. Music
   f. Miscellaneous Programs (Symposiums, etc.)

Appeals Board

A. Membership

   1. Chairman of Physical Education Division  1
   2. Director of Education Activities  1
   3. Students Appointed by Student Committee on  2
      Committees

B. Areas of Responsibility

   In the event a department or program area is unable to find
   acceptance for its program within the framework of the three
   divisions listed above, the Appeals Board will review the
   nature of the work proposed and approve or disapprove a
   request being directed to the Incidental Fee Committee. Any
   department or program area can make such an appeal. It will
   be directed to the Chairman of the Incidental Fee Committee
   who in turn will call the Appeal Committee together for review
   of the request.
Athletics & Intramural Program

Fee Distribution Committee

Appeals Board

Student Services Division

Educational Activities Division
CALENDAR FOR BUDGETING INCIDENTAL FEES

Southern Oregon College
Ashland, Oregon

January 15
Letter from Secretary of Incidental Fees Committee to Division Chairmen setting budget format and completion date.

February 15
Division budget requests assembled and public hearings scheduled.

March 15
All material submitted to Incidental Fee Committee for review and for additional public hearings.

April 1
Recommendation of Incidental Fee Committee to the President.
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

PROCEDURES AND ORGANIZATION FOR ALLOCATION AND BUDGETING OF
STUDENT INCIDENTAL FEES

The following is the outline description of the procedure to be followed for allocation of incidental fee income to the activities supported by such fees at Oregon State University:

1. The three principal agencies receiving incidental fee support—namely, Educational Activities, the Memorial Union, and Inter-collegiate Athletics—are invited by the institutional budget officer to submit budget requests for the next fiscal year. The guidelines provided such agencies are similar to those given to all other departments and include policies to be followed for applying salary and wage increases, worksheets showing amounts budgeted in each category for the current fiscal year.

2. After submission to the budget officer, he reviews budgets for accuracy and compliance with general institutional guidelines. He analyzes total requests in comparison with past performance and projected income, and makes recommendations for readjustments if funds are not anticipated to be sufficient to accommodate all requests and transmits budgets to the University Incidental Fee Committee.

3. The University Incidental Fee Committee studies the various budgets, hears justification of requests by representatives of principal fee-supported agencies whenever necessary, and makes its recommendations for distribution of incidental fees within available resources including a reasonable amount for contingency. The recommendations are transmitted to the President for final review.
4. Contingency funds are allocated by the University Incidental Fee Committee during the academic year for emergency needs, for support of additional programs, or for minor improvement of facilities that serve student activity or recreational programs.

Committee memberships for the University Incidental Fee Committee and for individual budget committees are listed below:

### University Incidental Fee Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dean of Administration, Chairman</td>
<td>ASOSU President</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean of Students</td>
<td>ASOSU Treasurer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Director of Fiscal Affairs</td>
<td>ASOSU Senator - selected by Senate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Student at Large - elected by student body</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Individual Budget Committees

#### 1. Educational Activities

3 ASOSU Senators - appointed by 1st Vice President with approval of Senate. One serves as chairman.

2 Students at Large - appointed by ASOSU executive officers with approval of Senate.

Director of Memorial Union and Student Activities - non-voting.

Business Manager of Memorial Union and Student Activities - non-voting.

#### 2. Memorial Union

5 Students - MU President
- MU Vice President
- ASOSU President
- Elected
- Appointed by the President of OSU for a one-year term upon the recommendation of the MU president and the MU Board of Directors

2 Alumni members
2 Faculty
2 Administrative Staff
1 Administration (non-voting)
3. **Intercollegiate Athletics**

3 Students - ASOSU President
   Barometer Editor
   Student appointed by the University President from
   the student body at large

4 Faculty - 2 appointed by the University President
   Elected Vice Chairman of the Oregon State University
   Faculty Senate
   Faculty representative of Oregon State University to
   the NCAA

2 Administrators - Director of Fiscal Affairs
   Director of Alumni Relations

4 Additional persons interested in the athletic activities of Oregon
State University - appointed by the Oregon State University Presi-
dent, three of whom are to be alumni of Oregon State University.
The following procedures have been established at the Dental School for involving members of the student body government in the budgeting and allocation of incidental fees. The scope of budgetary and allocation of incidental fee responsibility will be over two accounts, Student Activities and Publications, and Junior ADA Memberships. The Student Government have appointed two of their members to meet with the Dean and Assistant Dean for Business Affairs.

Secondly, the students will prepare their own budgets and allocate for their various program activities, and will submit such budgets to the Office of the Dean for approval before forwarding to the Board's Office.

Third: Any increase in the incidental fee under their control must first be approved by the Student Body Counsel before such increase can be submitted to the Dean's Office and recommended to the Board's Office for inclusion in the total tuition and incidental fee charges.

The student union incidental fee will be jointly administered by a committee made up of dental and medical school faculty and students and committee recommendations will be approved by both dental and medical school deans.
Educational Activities Budget

The ASOTI President shall appoint four students to the ASOTI Finance Committee. This committee shall be chaired by the ASOTI Treasurer. Also serving on this committee (ex-officio) as a resource person, shall be the Associate Dean of Students. This committee shall prepare an Educational Activities budget for the following:

- Miller
- Owler
- Rally Squad
- New Student Week
- IU
- OSPIRG
- Academic Classes
- Executive Senate
- Or others as may be approved

An executive budget will be prepared to include the salary, wages and related expenses for the Coordinator of Campus Activities, his secretary, and ASOTI officers. These items will be withdrawn from incidental fees prior to the development of activity budgets. The executive budget will be prepared by the ASOTI Finance Committee and the Coordinator of Campus Activities.

The Senate budget shall include awards, travel, office equipment, office supplies, postage, irregular student wages, etc. Line item budgets will be prepared during fall term for the following year. When the budgets are prepared, they shall be submitted to the Student Senate for approval.

Student Union Board-Budget

The S. U. Board Finance Committee shall consist of the S. U. Board Chairman, S. U. Board Treasurer, and the Chairmen of the Entertainment, Films & Convocations, Social, and Games committees.
The S. U. Board budget shall consist of the following:

- Games
- Entertainment
- Poster Services
- Social
- Homecoming
- Irregular Student Wages
- Films and Convocations
- Or others as may be approved

This budget shall be prepared fall term for the following year and be submitted to the S. U. Board for approval.

**Athletic Activities Budget**

The coaches, working with the Athletic Director, will prepare budgets for each sport. These budgets will be presented to the Athletic Steering Commission for approval. The Athletic Steering Commission will consist of the following members: ASOTI 1st Vice President (Chairman), two students (appointed by the ASOTI President), Dean of Administration, the Athletic Director and a teaching faculty representative to be appointed by the Institutional President. This budget shall also be presented by the end of fall term for the following year.

**Incidental Fee Budget Committee**

The Incidental Fee Budget Committee shall consist of the ASOTI President (Chairman), ASOTI Treasurer, ASOTI 1st Vice President, Dean of Administration, and Business Manager.

It shall be the duty of the Incidental Fee Budget Committee to (1) hold campus hearings on proposed budgets, (2) recommend to the Institutional President, Incidental Fee levels, (3) renew the economic philosophy of the entire Incidental Fee Budget for the institution, and (4) make recommendations to the Institutional President on line item budgets for each of the three activities.
Meeting #396-121
Oregon Technical Institute--continued

June 9, 1971

Campus Hearings

Beginning the first of winter term, the Incidental Fee Budget Committee shall announce, on campus, and hold hearings, on the individual budgets.

The basic procedure for these hearings shall be:

1. Presentation of the activity budget to Incidental Fee Budget Committee by a representative of responsible budget committee.

2. Questioning of any budget item by Incidental Fee Budget Committee.

3. After presentation of the budget, the campus public may present comments on that particular budget.

The rules and regulations governing the campus hearings shall be set down in the bylaws of the Incidental Fee Budget Committee.

After the presentation of all budgets at campus hearings, the Incidental Fee Budget Committee shall review all budgets and return them, or results, back to the respective committees and, if necessary, for adjustments. Upon receiving them the second time, if budgets do not balance with the expected level of income, the Incidental Fee Budget Committee will make necessary adjustments. The hearings and adjustment of the budgets should be completed by the seventh week of winter term.

Approval

The Incidental Fee Budget Committee Chairman shall then present the budgets approved by his committee to the Institutional President for final approval. Notice of any changes in proposed budgets, by the Institutional President, shall be made to both the Incidental Fee Budget Committee and the committee that originally prepared the budget.

The Chairman of the Incidental Fee Committee shall also submit to the Institutional President, the Chairmen of the ASOTI Finance Committee, the S. U. Board Finance Committee and the Athletic Steering Commission, minutes of the Incidental Fee Budget Committee meeting and a brief report on strong and weak points in the budgeting procedure.
Guidelines and Procedures for Budgeting of Incidental Fees

The incidental fee at the University of Oregon Medical School is allocated for only one activity - the operation of the Student Activities Building. This building provides athletic and recreational facilities and is available to all students of both the Medical and Dental Schools. The amount of the fee is $11 per term.

A committee has been selected to make recommendations regarding the annual budget for the operation of the Student Activities Building as well as recommendations on policy matters relating to the use of the building. This committee consists of:

- Three students representing the official student organizations
  One each from the Medical School, Dental School and School of Nursing
- Three faculty members
  One each from the Medical School, Dental School and School of Nursing
- Director of Student Activities Building (ex officio)
- Associate Dean for Business Affairs of the Medical School (ex officio)

The committee meets periodically during the year as the need arises.

One of the responsibilities of the Director of the Student Activities Building is the preparation of an annual budget. This budget is subject to the review and approval of the committee and the Dean of the Medical School. It should be noted that the funds available for the building are needed to meet normal operating expenses, and little discretion exists for the committee to modify the nature and amount of the various expense items.
In allocation and expenditure of incidental fees Oregon College of Education works within the framework of State System policy concerning the uses of such funds and concerning institutional accountability for them. In the decision making process whereby these funds are allocated among competing needs the administration secures student and faculty involvement in accordance with understood agreements worked out with student government and with faculty program directors over many years. This involvement is also in accord with clearly expressed Board intent:

Incidental fees are used to support the following programs:

- Health Services
- Intercollegiate Athletics
- College Center
- Educational Activities
- OSPIRG

At least for 1971-72 funds collected for OSPIRG are to be collected on a voluntary basis. In so establishing voluntary rather than compulsory support, the administration has reacted to the formal recommendation of 1971-72 student government.

The category "educational activities" constitutes two general kinds of activities, as follows: (1) Those recreational, cultural, and educational programs under the direct supervision of student government, subject only to fiscal accountability and audit through control of all contracts and all purchase orders by the Director of Business Affairs and with the overall supervision and assistance of the office of the Dean of Students and certain faculty advisors. (This kind of activity includes student publications, cultural assemblies, student body dances, and a great variety of similar activities considered to be important to the education and general well being of students.) (2) Those recreational, cultural, and educational programs outside the regular credit-earning academic programs of the institution which are the particular concern of students but for which specific faculty within departments exercise a supervisory role. (These currently include the Model United Nations, Music Assemblies, Women's Choral, Chamber Orchestra, Band, Opera, Choir, Forensics, Drama, and the Campbell Hall Art Gallery. Since some of these activities are closely related, though not a part of academic programs, care is exercised to see that incidental fee money is not in fact used to support an academic program. For example, speech courses are understandably enriched through the existence of a flourishing forensics program, but the support of all speech course work must come from the funds of the Humanities Department, not from incidental fees allocated to the forensics program.)
In the decision-making process whereby funds are allocated to all incidental-fee-supported programs the administration turns to established student government. Each year the administration requests from student government an appraisal of Health Services, Athletic Activities, and the College Center to learn as nearly as possible, student views regarding the level of support merited by these agencies. The administration does not expect specific dollar recommendations in these areas but seeks, rather, an appraisal of the validity of the current levels of support and the desirability for change. Specific recommendations are sought from the administrative heads of these departments.

As indicated above, in the decision-making process on OSPIRG the administration has turned to regular student government to assume responsibility for assessing student opinion and for making a recommendation. The administration has assumed that the local student officials of OSPIRG properly relate directly to student government, not to the college administration. Hence the case for OSPIRG on the campus has been made by OSPIRG officials to student government, which has assessed the case and made its own recommendations to the administration. Since the recommendation made is in compliance with Board policy and since student officials acted within the framework of their own governmental procedures in preparing a recommendation, the administration transmitted the decision received from student officials to the Board as the institutional recommendation.

Such has been our procedure regarding OSPIRG in its first year. This procedure could be refined further should experience another year suggest it.

In the decision-making process whereby funds are allocated to "educational activities," the institution looks to student government for specific dollar recommendations following careful and detailed analysis of each program by student government. The formal steps whereby this is done are as follows (these steps do not include work sessions and information sessions, which are held as needed):

1. Early in April each year the institutional President has prepared and sends to the student body president a breakdown of the current-fee supported budget according to its various categories and in specific dollar amounts.

Health Service, athletic activities and college union are given in summary form, but the items under "educational activities" are particularized and the exact amounts for each particular program are given. Included with this report, the institutional president provides an estimate of funds available for the coming year, based upon enrollment projections.
(2) The student body president and his associates in student government study the budget and through the regular processes of their governmental structure prepare tentative recommendations on the following:

(a) the wisdom of increasing or decreasing the amount of the fee collected

(b) the level of support evidently merited by health services, intercollegiate athletics, and college center

(c) whether to participate in OSPIRG and by what method

(d) the specific amounts of money merited by the various programs under "educational activities" and also the wisdom in discontinuing and/or adding some activities

(3) The recommendations thus prepared by student government are brought to a meeting by student officials with the following persons: the president of the institution, the dean of students, the director of business affairs, the director of athletics, and the four department chairmen who are responsible for the various faculty who supervise the programs under "educational activities". This meeting permits a general sharing of information and opinion. The four chairmen have an opportunity to react to recommendations which at this point are only tentative and to provide additional information to students as it may be needed.

(4) Following the above meeting and in accordance with time schedules essential to budget decisions, student government submits its formal recommendations to the college administration.

(5) Within the limits of funds and guided by the recommendations of all responsible persons, including students, the college administration prepares the budget and submits it to the Board as the institutional recommendation. Although the terms "meaningful involvement" and "predominant weight" have not yet been defined with sufficient sharpness to become a part of Board and therefore institutional policy, the intent of the
administration is as nearly as possible to comply with the recommendations made by student government in the area of "educational activities" and to give serious weight to the more general recommendations received regarding the level of support for college center, health services, and intercollegiate activities.

Leonard W. Rice
President
Incidental Fees

Definitions, Policies and Procedures Respecting
Budget Preparation and Control in Incidental Fee Supported Activities

Fees

This general term embraces charges made to students enrolled at institutions of the Oregon State System of Higher Education.

Several kinds of charges are covered by this heading. The purpose of each of them is to assist in the financing of the Institutions. In this sense Fees are a kind of use tax.

Fees are not optional -- they are mandatory, by prescription of state law. The sole agency legislatively empowered to prescribe Fees for the State System of Higher Education is the State Board of Higher Education (ORS 351.070). In essence the Board is delegated a segment of the State's taxing authority. It has sole authority as to the amount of Fees -- in the aggregate and by component -- whether they are to be increased or decreased, how they are to be collected and used, and how accounted for. The only restriction on this broad sovereignty is a statutory maximum on the amount of the Building Fees (ORS 351.170).

Under practices and procedures long followed, the Board delegates Fee assessment, collection, application, and accountability to the respective Institutions. Thus in all aspects of Fee management an Institution is the agent of the Board and, as with all of its revenue receipts, it is required to regard Fees as an institutional resource and the accounting of them as a fiduciary responsibility.

There are three major categories of fees and about 58 special fees. The three principal fees are these:

- Tuition
- Building Fees
- Incidental Fees

Tuition, by Board prescription, is applied as an offset to State tax funds to support teaching and related research -- i.e., the instructional effort. Associated with Tuition are, Laboratory and Course Fees.

Building Fees are used for capital outlays related to certain types of buildings or for the retirement of bonds the proceeds of which were used for capital construction.

Incidental Fees are used to finance, in part, certain Auxiliary Activities -- and are restricted to this purpose and to this purpose alone.
Because the major Auxiliary Activities eligible for Incidental Fee support are commonly paraphrased as "Student Activities," it is frequently inferred that the Incidental Fee which supports them is a student-owned fund -- in a word, a student entitlement. There is neither legal nor policy basis for such implied ownership. As stated above, Incidental Fees, like all other Fees, are first State funds, then Board funds, then institutional funds, or resources. To assume that any group owns any Fee, at any level, simply contradicts a fact of life, particularly when one recalls the Board's unquestionable authority to raise, lower, or transfer fees into other categories, as its best judgment might dictate.

This has nothing to do with whether students should or should not participate in Incidental Fee Allocations or participate in the administration of Activities so funded. Those are separate matters determined by the Institutions according to relevant standards. Regardless of how an Institution may resolve such relationships, it remains that those persons designated as responsible in any area involving the Incidental Fee are Institutional representatives, using funds from a fee which is an institutional resource, for the Institution's best interests.

**Auxiliary Activities**

Since 1952-55 when the American Council on Education first published its manual "College and University Business Administration," the term "Auxiliary Activities" has been universally used to describe functions which are "non-classroom" -- that is, extra-curricular, self-supporting, and/or service in nature.

For accounting and administrative purposes, Incidental Fee Supported activities are classified as Auxiliary Activities. In terms of dollars involved they are the bulk of this classification, but they are not the only enterprises so labelled. A wide range of other activities is grouped under this title -- their only common characteristic is that they are self-supporting. For example, in the State System as a whole, you find residence halls, parking, food services, duplicating -- office supply departments, and car pools, among others, under this caption.

Today there are three components in the Auxiliary Activities accounting classification which receive Incidental Fee Support. These are the so-called "student" or "educational activities," as defined over the years by Board practice and policy. They consist of:

- **Intercollegiate Athletics**
- **Educational Activities**
- **Smith Memorial Center (Student Union)**

In prior years Health Service and Gym Suit Service were Incidental Fee supported Auxiliary Activities. Effective with academic year 1971-72 Board action has made Health Service a separate student fee, and has transferred Gym Suit Service to general fund support. In a word,