State Policy Vis-a-Vis Funding Noncredit Programs

State policy vis-a-vis financing of noncredit courses and programs is varied and inconsistent:

- The community school programs, held in elementary and secondary school buildings throughout Oregon to offer self-development opportunities to residents, receive no state General Fund support.

- In the community colleges, hobby and recreation courses receive no General Fund support, but some self-improvement noncredit courses identified as "other reimbursables" do receive General Fund support.

- Noncredit courses offered by State System colleges and universities and those offered through the Division of Continuing Education receive no General Fund support except for the Oregon State University Cooperative Extension Service, as described below.

- The Oregon State University Cooperative Extension Service, which offers only noncredit courses, programs, and activities, is funded to a significant degree from the state General Fund appropriation. Its biennial budget for the 1975-1977 biennium was $18.4 million ($9.2 million annual budget), 49% of which came from the state General Fund appropriation, 27% from federal funds, 15% from county funds, and 9% from miscellaneous sources.

The Cooperative Extension Service is a primary provider of continuing education in Oregon in areas of agriculture, family living, forestry, 4-H youth work, marine resources, and community resource development. Its budget is distributed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Amount</th>
<th>1975-1977 Budget Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State General Funds</td>
<td>$9,001,309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds</td>
<td>4,987,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Funds</td>
<td>2,680,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous other funds</td>
<td>1,730,239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$18,400,453</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It will be observed that the Cooperative Extension Service (CES) receives General Fund support on a basis not directly linked to student full-time-equivalent measures, as in the case of General Fund support for the State System colleges and universities and the community colleges. CES is funded to meet the personnel and administration costs of its programs.

The Cooperative Extension Service (CES) pattern of funding has, in the eyes of CES's many supporters, much to commend it as the basis for funding of noncredit adult and continuing education.

CES is the instrument through which the research and knowledge that accumulates in a great university is carried throughout the state where it is translated into practical knowledge that is applied in the lives of Oregon's citizens to their great advantage.

CES is responsive to the needs of the people in the various counties. Its programs are planned cooperatively with the people locally, both in the short term and long term. A dual benefit is the result. The programs do meet local needs; the people locally support the CES budget when it is before the Legislative Assembly and when the county portion of the budget is before local citizens for consideration.
Volunteers are used extensively in the CES program, multiplying the resources that the budget would otherwise make possible. This builds people - both those who serve as volunteers and those who benefit from the expanded services made possible by the volunteers.

The fact that the funding pattern for CES is not built around the student FTE (full-time-equivalent) served, makes for greater flexibility in the programs offered.

The free services to residents of Oregon which CES funding makes possible results in much wider use being made of CES services than would otherwise be the case were CES required to be self-supporting.

The foregoing brief review of state policies for funding noncredit courses, programs, and activities indicates that (1) state policies as to General Fund support for noncredit programs are diverse, and (2) the concept of General Fund support for noncredit activities, programs, and courses is not a new or novel one in Oregon. Rather, it is one of the long-established and accepted policies employed in Oregon in support of some community college activities and the Cooperative Extension Service.

The extension of the foregoing funding policy to a Labor Education and Research Center in one of the State System universities would permit the serving of the special noncredit education and training needs of a major segment of Oregon's population - workers' organizations. The Center would desire to follow the CES lead in certain other particulars, too, namely (1) extending to users throughout the state knowledge and information generated on campus and elsewhere and making appropriate applications to the problems of the people locally, (2) the eventual possibility of locating field agents in selected areas of the state to assess needs, coordinate activities and offerings with the center, and provide direct service contact with program participants in the assigned region, (3) keeping the costs to the user as low as possible in order to encourage the fullest use of the Center's resources, (4) planning jointly with the workers' organizations to assure that the programs offered are consistent with the workers' needs, (5) using volunteers to the extent feasible to expand the resources of the Center.

Numerous other states, facing the same need have responded by creating one or more labor studies or labor education centers. Tinling's survey, the results of which have been included as Appendix A of the full report, identifies some of these states.

The size of the Center's budget will depend on the tasks to be undertaken. But there is merit in making a modest beginning and building as the Center gains acceptance from the unions and employee associations and gains experience identifying and serving the needs of the worker organizations. The proposed budget for the 1977-1979 biennium reflects that philosophy.

Nationally, experience shows that labor studies and labor education centers or institutes appear to follow a fairly typical pattern of growth in funding, according to the University and College Labor Education Association as reported by Tinling:

... There is generally a steady increase in funding during the formative stages of program development, usually the first five years. When a university has recruited its staff, program growth tapers off, and funding is stabilized at some adequate working level. Subsequent program growth comes in response to specialized needs signifying realistic possibilities for program expansion.

In the few university programs which have been continually plagued with budgetary problems, both the credibility and the quality of the programs have suffered. A particularly acute consequence of this problem is the lowering of staff morale, which jeopardizes the continuity of a well-seasoned, professional staff.
**Program Costs**

The size of the direct fee charge to participants affects the number of those from among the potential client pool who can or will participate in educational programs. In recognition of this fact, direct fee costs to clients of the Cooperative Extension Service have been eliminated in most instances, reduced to a minimum in others.

A similar concern has motivated institutions with labor studies or labor education programs offering noncredit work to keep the direct fee charges to the individuals or to the worker organization, to a minimum. This has been accomplished in a number of states (e.g., Colorado, Missouri, Massachusetts) by providing a base budget for the program funded primarily from state appropriated funds, with such program income as is realized being used for additional program activities. If fees were to be set high enough to meet all costs, including a contribution to administrative overhead, charges may be so high as to limit seriously the program's development.

Tinning summarized his findings as to pricing structures in programs across the country in these terms:

... The complexity and variety in university accounting and budgetary systems prohibits a ready comparison of the pricing structures for classes, conferences and services at the different universities. Several university programs are provided a rigid budget within which all program activities are planned for the year. Some programs are required to return income from their activities to the university or the extension division. However, in their report, Rogen and Rachlin [1968] noted that most university labor education programs are permitted to use program income for additional program activities. Several universities operate through extension division revolving funds tied into inflexible pricing structures. One university uses two different funds so that extension classes are held on a fixed fee basis, while charges for conference and short courses are set according to a flexible pricing schedule. Special tuition structures are sometimes developed for long-term classes, reflecting the higher costs of the more intensive kind of program.

Tinning suggests that the proposal that unions and employee association obtain education support benefits for their members through collective bargaining is gaining attention both in labor and education circles and may in the future help to solve the problem of labor education costs. He cites several union contracts that include tuition reimbursement programs. He notes several other developments that will, in the future, affect the funding of labor education: educational sabbaticals for workers, support by government education grants, joint apprenticeship and labor studies programs financed from apprenticeship funds.

**Program Staff**

It is proposed that in the first year the Center staff consist of three professional persons and a secretary: A Director with the rank of professor, an Associate Director with the rank of associate or assistant professor, and a staff member with the rank of assistant professor. In the second year, an additional assistant professor would be added.

The quality of the labor education program staff has everything to do with the success of the program. An effective labor education program staff must have (1) a sound educational background in the field of interest, strengthened by successful experience in the field of interest, (2) abundant knowledge of and a sympathetic view towards the labor movement - its inner workings, its interests and concerns, its values and its problems - knowledge gained in some measure through first-hand experience in the labor movement, (3) knowledge and successful experience in adult education, and (4) capacity to administer and conduct a diverse program with imagination.
The staff's functions include: planning, promoting, teaching, developing materials, directing programs, providing consultative services, carrying on scholarly activities directed at keeping abreast of developments in one's fields of specialization as they relate to the program of labor education, disseminating information necessary to an understanding of issues, problems, alternatives in the varied fields in which worker education develops.

The program staff must have the capacity to earn the confidence of both their institutional colleagues and the labor constituency. Tinning quotes Golatz, Director of Labor Education at Pennsylvania State University, to the effect that the labor educator "functions as a middle man, interpreting the educational goals of the university in terms of the educational needs of workers and their organizations . . . It sounds corny, but effective labor education means working with labor groups, not doing things to or for them."

Illustrative of the major areas of concern in noncredit programs offered in other states to workers include: Labor Relations (e.g., Leadership skills, steward training, collective bargaining, arbitration, job evaluation, wage systems, grievance procedures, health and welfare, pensions procedures, insurance, retirement), Labor History and Problems, Labor Law, Industrial Hygiene (occupational safety and health); Consumer Economics; Automation, Manpower and Unemployment; Community Services, Public Relations, Communications; Government Assistance Programs.

Practice in existing labor education centers varies somewhat in the extent to which the teaching is done by full-time staff as opposed to adjunct, part-time faculty. In some programs the bulk of the teaching is done by full-time staff - as in Missouri or Colorado, for instance, where a three-member staff in each case, handles most of the teaching and other responsibilities of the Centers. In the Wayne University-University of Michigan Labor Studies Program, on the other hand, there are 4.25 FTE on the staff, but an estimated 90% of the teaching is done by adjunct faculty.

Tinning concludes, on the basis of his study of labor education programs nationally, that:

Current pressure for granting academic rank and tenure to university labor educators encourages the trend to hire academically-trained staff. However, where labor program administrators are successful in equating union experience with education for purposes of academic rank and tenure, there is greater flexibility in recruiting competent staff. An ideal staff would include a combination of academically- and union-trained labor educators.

Location of the Center in the Institution

It is proposed that the Center, if funded by the Legislature, be an integral part of the University of Oregon. President Boyd reports that if the Board and the Legislature approve the establishment of the Center, its Director would be administratively responsible to the office of the Vice President for Academic Affairs.

Tinning found that the placement of labor education programs within the organizational structure of colleges and universities varies rather widely, reflecting the differing circumstances under which they developed. He cites these as illustrative of the variation: (1) equal status with other schools in the university (Roosevelt University); (2) a department in the college of liberal studies (Penn State); (3) placement in a college of business (University of Alabama); (4) location within a division of continuing education (Cornell); (5) location within an institute of labor and industrial relations (University of Illinois); and (6) independent status as a center for labor education and research (University of Colorado).
It would appear that labor education programs can be successful when placed in any one of several organizational locations within an institution, providing conditions are congenial to the program's development and growth. Tinning cites four such conditions: (1) a university administration sympathetic to and supportive of labor; (2) a labor program responsible for its own development; (3) flexibility in budgeting and program control over expenditures and fees paid to ad hoc instructors; and (4) freedom of program staff from undue involvement in academic teaching and research unrelated to program activities.

University-Worker Organization Cooperation in Programs of Labor Education

It is proposed that an active, effective advisory committee of 15 members be appointed by the President of the University of Oregon to advise in the establishment staffing, program development and operation, and continuing evaluation of the Center. Membership on the advisory committee should be from unions and employe associations, selected by the President from nominations from these organizations.

Effective university labor education programs are possible only when the university and the unions and employe associations have mutual confidence and trust in each other, and when each recognizes and appreciates the legitimate interests and needs of the other. The university's need is to maintain objectivity, intellectual integrity, and standards of teaching as it seeks to serve the labor education needs. The union-employe association need is that the programs speak to the needs of worker organizations and their members.

These dual needs require that active consultative relationships be established and maintained between the university and the unions and employe associations through which the planning and delivery of the programs, both short term and long term, can be carried on. Participation in most labor education activities is voluntary. Unless the programs offered touch a responsive chord in the union-employe association organizations and membership, they are foredoomed to failure.

A labor advisory committee has typically been the instrument through which university labor education programs have sought to establish this indispensable consultative relationship.

The functions of the labor advisory committee, as it has developed in labor education programs throughout the United States, are defined by a joint committee of AFL-CIO Education Directors and personnel from the University and College Labor Education Association as follows:

1. Interpret to the university the educational needs of the labor movement (e.g., types of programs, teachers and materials, geographical areas of activity, administrative relationships between university and unions, and problems of cost).

2. Serve as a review of university proposals. In the operation of the program ideas will come from both sides. The joint meetings will encourage this and test ideas that emerge.

3. Interpret the university programs within the labor movement, including the promotion of university labor education activities.

4. Help to interpret the program in the community so there is public understanding.

5. Building support for the program within the university, including adequate financing.
Provide an opportunity for interchange of ideas that will lead not only to experiments in education but also to research opportunities of mutual interest and concern.

At the request of Mrs. Carpenter, Dr. Romney reviewed the proposal for the establishment of a Labor Education and Research Center at the University of Oregon, to serve the state, and presented to the Committee a recommendation from the Board's Office that the Committee endorse the proposal and recommend Board approval thereof.

Discussion and Recommendation by the Committee

Mr. Robert Kennedy, President of the Oregon AFL-CIO, said his organization supported the establishment of the Labor Center. He indicated there was some difference of opinion among labor leaders with respect to the location of the Center in Portland or Eugene. However, he said, there are compelling reasons for locating the Center in Eugene and the decision on location should be left to the Board and the Chancellor's Office. Mr. Kennedy said he understood that there would be a need for close cooperation with the community colleges and other institutions in order to provide the instruction throughout the state.

Mr. Daniel Dorritie, Faculty Representative for the Oregon State Employees Association and a member of the advisory committee on the labor studies programs, which was appointed by the Chancellor, stated that he was representing himself and two other labor members of the advisory committee—Mr. Roy Ockert and Mr. David Sword. He said the three of them strongly support the concept of a labor education and research center as an essential program to serve the working people in Oregon. However, he said, these three and some others in the labor movement disagree with the staff's recommendation as to the location of the center.

The reasons given by the Board's Office for establishing the proposed Center in Eugene are valid considerations, Mr. Dorritie said. Mr. Dorritie briefly alluded to these considerations. In a more complete form they are as follows:

1. The University of Oregon has a wide range of programs at the master's and doctoral levels in the social sciences upon which labor education and research draw heavily for resources. These graduate and advanced graduate programs make available both qualified faculty and graduate students who will be useful in research efforts.

2. The University of Oregon has for a number of years had an Institute for Industrial and Labor Relations (located in the College of Business Administration). The program enrolls 50 master's degree students, who find ready placement upon graduation.

3. The University of Oregon has a law school which can provide support for the proposed Center in the field of labor law.

4. The University of Oregon has very valuable library resources in the labor field, built up over the years because of the interest of the University in labor issues (e.g., Institute for Industrial and Labor Relations, the various social sciences, and the Law School interest in labor history and various aspects of labor issues).

5. The University of Oregon has a national reputation as a major instructional, research, and service institution, which fact will facilitate the labor education and research center's securing federal and other grant and contract support. Attracting such support will be important to the full development of the Center.
6. Many of the foregoing factors would make the proposed center attractive to potential directors of the center, and offer a wide selection of competent persons from which to select the director of the program.

7. The University of Oregon, as a residential institution, can provide convenient and inexpensive housing for summer programs.

But, Mr. Dorritie said, the question of labor's accessibility to the proposed center is also a matter of utmost importance.

He noted that the proposal calls for an extension service to bring the instructional programs and research services of the center to all parts of the state. However, the permanent site for the center must provide access to its resources by working people, labor organizations and their officers and staffs. For this reason, three of the four labor members of the advisory committee favor Portland as the site for the center. He said 50% of the working people of the state live in the Portland metropolitan area, whereas only 10% are in the Eugene-Springfield area. The Portland location would guarantee physical proximity to the largest portion of the group the center is expected to serve.

In addition, occupational safety and health will be a concern of the center and the University of Oregon Health Sciences Center presently has a research project in this field.

Mr. Dorritie said many representatives of labor would support an affiliation with Portland State University because it has a significantly higher proportion of its students who work in regular full-time or part-time jobs than does the University of Oregon. The institution is fully a part of the urban community in which it resides. In contrast, he said, the University of Oregon is viewed by working people as a typical residential institution. Mr. Dorritie said, in his opinion, working people would relate less well to a center at the University of Oregon than to one at Portland State University, and this is an important consideration in trying to bridge the separation between working people and the academic community. In addition, Mr. Dorritie said the budget for travel would be less if the center were in Portland.

Mr. Dorritie then referred to various labor organizations, including those with headquarters outside the Portland area, who favored location of the Labor Center in Portland.

Mr. Dorritie said the staff recommendation is based on academic concerns and is not a labor recommendation. He urged that the staff proposal be approved with the exception of location and that determination of the location await a formal proposal from the advisory committee to be established for the center.

Mr. Layman asked Mr. Dorritie to comment on the reasons which led the staff to select Eugene as the site for the Labor Center. Mr. Dorritie responded that accessibility must be the overriding consideration. He said the University of Oregon has the best library resources, but it is only necessary to have adequate resources to carry on the specified task. The University of Oregon has a law school but not a medical center for health and safety programs, and most of the practicing labor attorneys are in the Portland area. He said in evaluating the relative academic merits, the University of Oregon is slightly ahead, but not sufficiently so to place the center at the University of Oregon, which is a less accessible location.

President Boyd said the University of Oregon enthusiastically supports both the establishment of the center and its location at the University of Oregon. He said the institution has the motivation, commitment, and resources to make it successful. He pledged that these resources would be turned to the service of the labor community if that is the decision of the Board.
Dr. Steven Deutsch, Professor of Sociology at the University of Oregon, described his background in working with organized labor and in labor education. He then described the development of the agricultural extension service, the shift from an agricultural society to an urban society, and the current need, as a result of this change, for higher education to be responsive to the educational requirements of working people. The proposed program makes a serious effort to utilize the human and capital resources already invested in higher education to serve the citizenry of the state through the Labor Center. In discussing the question of location, Dr. Deutsch said the program should be evaluated on the basis of how well it serves the state-wide constituency, not just a particular community or municipality.

President Boyd said he agreed that access is one of several relevant considerations. Proximity of institutions has had an important role in the relationship to the use of the institutions and would be a consideration in the case of the Labor Center, if only traditional means of providing access were being considered. However, no traditional way of providing access will serve the entire state, regardless of where the center is located. Since it will be necessary to use non-traditional methods for delivering service and education to the state as a whole, access can no longer be the governing factor. Dr. Boyd said the people who direct the center will have to develop methods to bring the entire educational investment of the state into the service of labor, not simply the resources available in one community or institution.

Dr. Deutsch said there is a variety of models in other states and these examples, together with the creative genius available in Oregon, must be used to develop a suitable program. He said he intentionally referred to the Agricultural Extension Service because a similar state-wide effort would be needed in labor education.

Dr. Romney said that in conferring with outside consultants in the process of developing the proposal, the Board’s Office had drawn upon the special capabilities of Professor George Boyle of the University of Missouri Labor Studies Center, who is the President of the University and College Labor Education Association, the national organization to which belong the institutions offering labor education programs. Professor Boyle is widely acknowledged to be one of the most knowledgeable and best-qualified labor educators in the country and has long been associated with the national association in a leadership role. Professor Boyle was brought to Oregon for brief consultation, upon the recommendation of a wide variety of labor educators throughout the United States who identified him as without peer in the labor education movement. He concurred in the recommendation that the center have its locus at the University of Oregon for the reasons cited earlier.

President Blumel said since there was considerable labor support for location of the center at Portland State University, he would comment briefly but would not wish in any way to prejudice the establishment of this center. He said Portland State University has a long and historic relationship with labor, and if it should be the decision of the Board that the center be located in Portland, Portland State University would welcome it enthusiastically and make every effort to develop the center as a viable and productive unit of the State System.

In response to a question concerning funding, Dr. Romney said every effort will be made to obtain grants to assist in the development of the Labor Center.

Mr. Harms asked at what point the Oregon Educational Coordinating Commission would review this program. The Chancellor said the Commission had defined new programs in such a way that, in his judgment, it excluded this program from its required review. However, it is probable the Commission will wish to examine the program. He said it is likely that eventually there will be a degree program which will develop out of the Labor Center, but that is not part of the present proposal.
Dr. Terry Olson, Executive Director of the Oregon Educational Coordinating Commission, said the Commission may want to review this program because of the potential development into a degree program. In addition, some funding will be requested from the 1977 Legislature and the Commission will be reviewing the Labor Center as a program improvement request in connection with its budgetary review of the State System for the Governor and the Legislature.

The Committee recommended that the Board establish a Labor Education and Research Center to provide programs of training, education and research in labor-related fields, contingent upon funding by the 1977 Legislative Assembly, that the Center be located at the University of Oregon, and that an advisory committee of 15 members be appointed by the President of the University of Oregon to advise in the establishment and staffing of the center. Mr. Maden abstained from voting.

Board Discussion and Action

Mrs. Carpenter presented the recommendation of the Board's Committee on Instruction, Research, and Public Service Programs that the Board approve the establishment of a Labor Education and Research Center at the University of Oregon, contingent upon legislative funding of the center. She then summarized the proposal and the Committee discussion.

Dr. Romney then read into the record the following letter from Mr. Pat Randall, Secretary of the AFL-CIO, indicating that at its annual convention in July 1975, the AFL-CIO had endorsed the establishment of the proposed center:

WHEREAS, it is critical to the public interest in Oregon that the "Oregon Labor Research and Education Center" be established; therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the 1976 Oregon AFL-CIO Convention endorse establishment of the "Oregon Labor Research and Education Center" according to the following set of priorities --

(1) full funding for the proposed Center for the 1977-79 biennium;

(2) direct responsibility of the Director of the Center to the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs;

RESOLVED, that the Oregon AFL-CIO send copies of this Resolution to Governor Straub, Chancellor Lieuallen, Labor Commissioner Stevenson, and other interested parties.

Mr. Layman asked whether there was any reference to location of the center in the AFL-CIO resolution. Dr. Romney affirmed that there was none, but that it was the attitude of the state officials of the AFL-CIO that the matter of location should be decided by the Board. Chancellor Lieuallen said that there were resolutions at the convention which called upon the convention to take a position on the site, but that the convention specifically chose not to refer to the site, implying an official neutrality on this issue.

Mr. McLaurin expressed the feeling that the placing of the proposed center at Eugene rather than at Portland State University appeared to him to be contrary to action recently taken by the Board to authorize Portland State University to bring together a variety of its urban-related programs into a unit to be designated the School of Urban Studies. The proposed center, Mr. McLaurin said, seemed to him to fit logically within the School of Urban Studies.

Mr. Ingalls requested a separation of the question of the establishment of such a center from the issue as to its location. It was agreed upon by the Board.

The Board approved the establishment of the Labor Center, with the following voting in favor: Directors Carpenter, Feves, Harms, Ingalls, Joss, Maden, McIntyre, McLaurin, Perry, and Layman. Those voting no: Director Stewart.
Mr. Joss affirmed that he was uncertain as to where the center should be established. He could see some arguments for establishing it at either location.

Mr. Pat Randall, Secretary of the state AFL-CIO, testified that the reason the state convention didn't specify location for the center was that the convention thought the question was beyond their purview. He said that the recommendation of the Chancellor and the Board's staff to place the center at the University of Oregon was one that he personally and other state officials of AFL-CIO would support. A number of letters have been transmitted to the Chancellor to that effect.

Mrs. Carpenter then asked that Dr. Romney review with the Board communications he had received from Professor George Boyle, President of the University and College Labor Education Association, and head of the Labor Education Center at the University of Missouri, concerning the question of location of the center.

Dr. Romney read the letter from Professor Boyle. Dr. Romney indicated that in conferring with Labor Education Center personnel from institutions across the nation (e.g., Illinois, Minnesota, Michigan, Massachusetts) and with the advisory committee appointed by the Chancellor, Professor Boyle had been repeatedly suggested as a consultant who would be extremely helpful in conferring with the advisory committee and with the Board's staff concerning many issues relating to the establishment and the work of a labor education center.

Professor Boyle has been employed in several such centers, has been head of the University of Missouri center for 8 or 10 years, and has been a leader nationally in the labor education and research movement.

Dr. Romney had come to Oregon and had met briefly with the advisory committee appointed by the Chancellor and with the Board's staff. He had recommended that the center be located at the University of Oregon.

Mr. Maden asked several questions concerning the extent and the nature of Professor Boyle's visit to Oregon. Responding to Mr. Maden's questions, Dr. Romney reported that Professor Boyle had spent two days in Oregon during which he conferred with the advisory committee appointed by the Chancellor, and with the Board's Office staff. Dr. Romney said that Professor Boyle's experience in the labor education movement nationally, both as a staff member in such programs and as director of a successful program serving the State of Missouri, and his national standing as a leader in the field having familiarity with programs throughout the country had made him very helpful to the advisory committee and to the Board's Office. Professor Boyle explored with the advisory committee a variety of questions concerning the purposes of such centers, operational problems, trends in labor education. He also discussed the issue of location. He expressed the view then, as he has since, that the location of the center at the University of Oregon has some advantages.

Mr. Perry asserted that the issue as to location should be determined on the basis of merit, the type of programs to be offered and the location from which such programs might most effectively be offered. He said that bearing in mind the apparent importance of research as an aspect of the center's functions and the relationship of research and teaching, and considering the programs and resources available, it seemed to him that the University of Oregon is a logical location for the center. This is without prejudice to Portland State University, he said. He then moved adoption of a motion that the center be located at the University of Oregon.

Chancellor Lieuallen then noted that in the discussions as to location, the issue of proximity of center headquarters to the concentrations of laboring people had been considered. If the program were to be available only on the campus where the center headquarters are located, then it would be much more important that the center be located in closest proximity to the concentration of those in the labor movement. But where the program is seen as an extension program, with the program to be extended to all areas of the state, then the question of proximity of the headquarters of the program to the heaviest concentration of those in the labor movement is less of an issue.
Mr. Joss said that he had concluded that Portland should be the location of the center so that the faculty of the center could be in close touch with labor leaders, many of whom are located in the Portland area.

Responding to a question from Mr. Layman, Dr. Romney reported that the President of each institution (UO, PSU) had indicated to the Board's Committee that he could assure the Committee of the fullest effort on the part of the institution should the center be located there.

By a vote of six to four, the Board approved the motion by Mr. Perry that the center be established at the University of Oregon. The following voted in favor of the motion: Directors Carpenter, Feves, Harms, Perry, Stewart, and Layman. Those voting no: Directors Ingalls, Joss, Maden, and McLaurin. Director McIntyre abstained.
Staff Report to the Board

Upon the recommendation of institutional officials and Skidmore, Owings & Merrill, project architects, the work of the general contractor for the classroom, laboratory and office facilities, constructed as an addition to Semon Hall at Oregon Institute of Technology, was accepted as of May 13, 1976, subject to the completion of minor items on the punchlist. The work of the other two prime contractors (mechanical and electrical) had been accepted previously on February 17, 1976, also subject to punchlist items. An inspection of the building was made by the Vice Chancellor for Facilities Planning prior to acceptance on behalf of the Board.

A revised semifinal project budget is shown below in comparison with the budget reported to the Board on May 21, 1974, and adjusted on January 21, 1975:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Revised Budget 5/13/76</th>
<th>Original Budget 5/21/74 (As Adjusted)</th>
<th>Increase or Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct construction costs:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General work - Degree Constr-</td>
<td>$1,353,914</td>
<td>$1,283,543</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Company, Klamath</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical work - Patterson</td>
<td>$652,128</td>
<td>647,467</td>
<td>4,661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumbing Co., Inc., Medford</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical work - Howard</td>
<td>$210,588</td>
<td>205,700</td>
<td>4,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Company, Portland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$2,216,630</td>
<td>$2,136,710</td>
<td>$79,920 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional services fees</td>
<td>182,983</td>
<td>178,431</td>
<td>4,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction supervision costs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Plant and miscellaneous costs</td>
<td>44,698</td>
<td>43,273</td>
<td>1,425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furnishings and equipment</td>
<td>80,689</td>
<td>80,852</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67,734</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$2,525,000</td>
<td>$2,525,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Includes the modifications to and the reinstatement of an engineered fill previously deleted; the removal of existing substandard earth and its replacement with compacted fill; changing certain countertops from 1-1/2" thick to 3/4"; providing new steps at the court entrance including replacement of concrete at entrance doors; and other work revisions within nine approved general work change orders. Eight change orders were approved for the mechanical work including the addition of valves on exterior utility lines; changing fire hose cabinet supply lines from 2" to 2-1/2"; revisions to drain lines; and the addition of fire sprinkler heads. Nine change orders were approved for electrical work including the relocation of a transformer; provision for grounded busway in fourteen panels; addition of conduit in the computer area; revisions to the telephone rough-in system; and revisions to the emergency lighting system.

(2) Construction supervision was provided by Physical Plant personnel.

The addition to Semon Hall included general purpose classroom space, laboratories for biology, chemistry, computer science and mechanical drafting, faculty and staff offices, and related service spaces. Approximately 46,000 square feet of gross area were provided within the main floor and the partial basement. Because the contract requirements for the building construction exceeded the pre-bid estimates, it was necessary to defer the installation of additional water chilling capacity which had been requested as part of the legislative authorization in 1973. This item constitutes a portion of the utility improvement project proposed during 1977-1979.
Funds required for the Semon Hall Addition were provided in equal shares from the General Fund appropriation in Chapter 592, Oregon Laws 1973, and from proceeds from the sale of bonds under provisions of Article XI-G of the Oregon Constitution.

RECAPITULATION UPON INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE

Project - OIT Classroom, Laboratory and Office Facilities (Semon Hall Addition)

Architects - Skidmore, Owings & Merrill, Portland

Board's priority - No. 1 in 1973-1975 (Educational and General Plant)

Legislative authorization - Chapter 592, Oregon Laws 1973

Estimated gross area - 46,000 square feet

Estimated total project costs $2,525,000

Direct construction costs - Total $2,216,630
  Average (per square foot) - $48.19

Financing plan:
  General Fund appropriation $1,262,500
  Article XI-G bonding 1,262,500
  Total $2,525,000

Board Discussion and Action

The Board received the report as presented.

Staff Report to the Board

Upon the recommendation of officials of Oregon State University, the final drawings and specifications for the improvements to the fume collection system within Wiegand Hall, Withycombe Hall and Vocational Shops, which had been prepared with the assistance of Morrison, Funatake & Associates, Inc., consulting engineers, Portland, were accepted on behalf of the Board. Four bids for the work were received in Corvallis on May 11, 1976, ranging from a low of $55,599 to a high of $66,617. The low bid was within the estimate and the funding resources available; therefore, a contract award was made and the following tentative budget was approved for the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct construction costs - Beaver Plumbing &amp; Heating Company, Corvallis</td>
<td>$55,599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional services fees</td>
<td>5,004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction supervision and miscellaneous costs</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>2,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$71,400</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The work includes changing exhaust fans and ductwork on existing fume hoods, adding a central system of make-up air (including steam tempering of the air), and ventilating storage cabinets under fume hoods in Wiegand Hall. The work in Withycombe Hall includes adding exhaust fans to two existing fume hoods. In the Vocational Shops, a new exhaust system is to be added to the welding shop and the foundry arc furnace is to be provided with a ventilation system.

The estimated total budget of $71,400 is being charged against the expenditure limitation for safety deficiency corrections, Phase I, at Oregon State University, funded as part of the program authorized within Chapter 48, Oregon Laws 1975.
Meeting #432-115

RECAPITULATION UPON RECEIPT OF BIDS AND CONTRACT AWARD

Project - OSU Safety Deficiency Corrections, Phase I
(Wiegand Hall, Withycombe Hall and Vocational Shops
Fume Collection Improvements)

Engineers - Morrison, Runatake & Associates, Inc., Portland

Board's priority - Part of No. 1 in 1975-1977 (Educational and
General Plant)

Legislative authorization - Chapter 48, Oregon Laws 1975

Total project costs: $71,400

Total direct construction costs: $55,599

Scheduled completion - August 1976

Tentative financing plan:
- General Fund appropriation: $35,700
- Article XI-G bond proceeds: 35,700
- Total: $71,400

Board Discussion and Action

The Board received the report as presented.

Report of Bids

& Contract Award for Safety Deficiency Corrections, Phase I
(Dearborn, Dryden & Gilbert Halls, Gill Coliseum & Physical Plant
Shops Motor Disconnects Installation, OSU

Upon the recommendation of officials of Oregon State University, the final
drawings and specifications for the installation of motor disconnect switches
within Dearborn, Dryden & Gilbert Halls, Gill Coliseum and Physical Plant
Shops, which had been prepared with the assistance of C. W. Timmer Associates,
Inc., consulting engineers, Beaverton, were accepted on behalf of the Board.

Three bids for the work were received in Corvallis on May 11, 1976, ranging
from a low of $8,365.77 to a high of $17,535. These amounts included an
allowance for the possible replacement of certain disconnect switches should
their condition warrant it following a more thorough inspection than was
possible prior to the bid opening. The low bid was within the estimate and
the funding resources available; therefore, a contract award was made and
the following tentative budget was approved for the project:

- Direct construction costs - Linnco Electric Co., Albany: $8,365.77(1)
- Professional services fees: 753.00
- Construction supervision and miscellaneous costs: 800.00
- Contingencies: 501.23
- Total: $10,420.00

(1) Including an allowance of $1,456.60 for disconnect switch replacement of
different types and sizes.

The work includes the furnishing and installing of motor disconnect switches on
all existing motorized equipment in the listed buildings.

The estimated total budget of $10,420 is being charged against the expenditure
limitation for safety deficiency corrections, Phase I, at Oregon State University,
funded as part of the program authorized within Chapter 48, Oregon Laws 1975.
**RECAPITULATION UPON RECEIPT OF BIDS AND CONTRACT AWARD**

**Project - OSU Safety Deficiency Corrections, Phase I**
  (Dearborn, Dryden & Gilbert Halls, Gill Coliseum and Physical Plant Shops Motor Disconnects Installation)

**Engineers - C. W. Timmer Associates, Inc., Beaverton**

**Board's priority - Part of No. 1 in 1975-1977 (Educational and General Plant)**

**Legislative authorization - Chapter 48, Oregon Laws 1975**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total project costs</td>
<td>$10,420.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total direct construction costs</td>
<td>8,365.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduled completion - September 1976</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tentative financing plan:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund appropriation</td>
<td>$5,210.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article XI-G bond proceeds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$10,420.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Board Discussion and Action**

The Board received the report as presented.

**Staff Report to the Board**

Upon the recommendation of officials of Oregon State University, the final drawings and specifications for the installation of booster pumps and backflow preventers within Cordley and Weniger Halls, which had been prepared with the assistance of Morrison, Funatake & Associates, Inc., consulting engineers, Portland, were accepted on behalf of the Board. Four bids for the work were received in Corvallis on May 25, 1976, ranging from a low of $49,794 to a high of $58,212. The low bid was within the estimate and the funding resources available; therefore, a contract award was made and the following tentative budget was approved for the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct construction costs - B &amp; C Contractors, Bend</td>
<td>$49,794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional services fees</td>
<td>4,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction supervision and miscellaneous costs</td>
<td>2,724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$60,500</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The work includes the installation and connection of booster pumps and backflow preventers in existing plumbing systems complete with alarm panels.

The estimated total budget of $60,500 is being charged against the expenditure limitation for safety deficiency corrections, Phase I, at Oregon State University, funded as part of the program authorized within Chapter 48, Oregon Laws 1975.

**RECAPITULATION UPON RECEIPT OF BIDS AND CONTRACT AWARD**

**Project - OSU Safety Deficiency Corrections, Phase I**
  (Cordley & Weniger Halls Booster Water Pump and Backflow Preventers Installation)

**Engineers - Morrison, Funatake & Associates, Inc., Portland**
Board's priority - Part of No. 1 in 1975-1977 (Educational and General Plant)

Legislative authorization - Chapter 48, Oregon Laws 1975

Total project costs $ 60,500
Total direct construction costs $ 49,794
Scheduled completion - September 1976

Tentative financing plan:
- General Fund appropriation $30,250
- Article XI-G bond proceeds $30,250
- Total $60,500

Board Discussion and Action

The Board received the report as presented.

Staff Report to the Board

Arrangements have been made with Architects Jeppsen, Miller & Tobias, Corvallis, who were commissioned previously for the design and contract administration of student union facilities at Oregon State University, including the conversion of Snell Hall now underway, to assist the staff in defining the scope of additional remodeling to be undertaken in the Memorial Union Building, if possible, following legislative authorization in 1977. The tentative recommendations for capital construction for auxiliary enterprises during 1977-1979 include $630,000 for this work.

The architects' services for this particular assignment are being compensated on a time and materials basis within a maximum limitation of $3,500. Funds required therefor are being provided from commingled student building fees.

Board Discussion and Action

The Board received the report as presented.

Staff Report to the Board

As a result of many physical improvements and changes which have taken place on the campus of Oregon State University, as well as changed enrollment patterns and modified campus priorities subsequent to the adoption of the 1964 Long-Range Development Plan, an up-date of the master plan was initiated in 1972. The Board was advised that arrangements were made for professional assistance from Mr. Louis A. DeMonte, the same architect who had participated in formulating the 1964 Plan, and who has continued his relationship with the institution over the years as planning consultant.

On November 27, 1972, and at the January 22, 1973, meeting, the Board reviewed the proposed planning assumptions, objectives and principles which were formulated for the up-dated plan with the intent that the planning was to foster the achievement of the goals and missions of Oregon State University consistent with policies approved by the Board. Following considerable discussion, these Assumptions, Objectives and Principles for the Long-Range Development Plan were amended and approved. Subsequently, the Assumptions were modified for planning purposes to reflect the enrollment limitations adopted by the Board on March 28, 1974.

Based upon the approved planning guidelines, institutional officials and the planning consultant have up-dated the 1964 Long-Range Development Plan to reflect current academic programs and related policies. The revised Plan outlines the
facilities that are needed to support the functions incorporated within the institutional interpretation and expression of these programs and policies. A brief history of the planning and development of Oregon State University is used to set the context for the planning document which is presented in text and graphic form.

The principal features of the document now being published include the following:

a. A statement of the Objectives of the State System of Higher Education and the Institutional Objectives outlined in the Institutional Guidelines for the 1970's which the Board approved at its January 22, 1973, meeting as the basis of orderly, systematic, planned growth and change in the State System of Higher Education, as well as the institution's response to these Guidelines.

b. The proposed enrollment distribution by academic level and discipline as well as the student-faculty ratio. These are related to the various activities of the institution which are organized by Colleges and Schools, by Departments within Colleges and Schools, in Defense Education and the Graduate School.

c. Statements of University policies related to service and ancillary functions such as the library, research activities, the experiment stations, extension activities, extramural programs and housing.

d. Identification of the physical space needs for service, support, extramural and other ancillary functions, following a documentation of existing and proposed building space on the campus.

e. A table which lists existing teaching and research space assigned by discipline that is presented for comparison with a table which lists the teaching and research space requirements by discipline for a planned 16,000 FTE enrollment (excluding physical education activity/recreation and military areas).

f. A listing of the Assumptions, Objectives and Principles which were used as the basis for the planning. These items are included for reference by the reader and for use by other consultants.

g. The Physical Development Plan itself which identifies in graphic form the principal campus features of existing buildings, some proposed buildings, open space, parking and circulation, as well as reservations of land for facilities that may be needed in the future. The latter includes space reserved for instructional areas for veterinary medicine, fine arts, agricultural science, bioscience and child care development, as well as for a performing arts center, support functions, extramural activities and research. The text amplifies the graphic plan and identifies proposed locations of disciplines and functions by building and defines clusters of buildings, some of which would include new facilities.

h. The remainder of the text is devoted to campus continuity and character, considering such factors as the maintenance of the "family resemblance" of buildings, the function of landscaping and open spaces, access to the campus, vehicular circulation, parking, pedestrian orientation, bicycle needs and community considerations as well as a discussion of utilities and features of plan implementation.

The most significant differences between the present plan and the 1964 Long-Range Development Plan are the response to the projection of a relatively steady-state enrollment rather than the anticipation of a large increase in the numbers of students (from approximately 10,000 in 1964) and the gradual shift to a larger
percentage of graduate and upper-division students with fewer lower-division students. The changes in distribution of students by discipline reflect the societal demand for graduates and student preferences, with Education exhibiting both a numerical and a percent-of-total student decline while Engineering experiences a rise in numbers but a decline in the percent-of-total. The increase in enrollment has been distributed among other disciplines. (Although student enrollment in Agriculture was expected to decrease numerically subsequent to the 1964 Plan, it has in fact increased.)

Improvements in animal care, based not only upon more extensive regulations but also because of an increase in the quantity of livestock and the number of pets, have had, and are expected to have, a significant impact on the campus plan. Facilities such as the new Animal Care Laboratory and the Animal Disease Research Isolation Facilities have been completed and authorization for the proposed Veterinary Hospital and Clinic Building is being requested in 1977-1979.

Athletic and recreation facilities also have had, and are expected to continue to have, a significant impact upon the campus plan. The relocation of spring sports to a partially completed complex south of Western Boulevard is the most significant change. Spaces closer to the campus core are being assigned for more intense use.

The up-dated plan has required a more carefully measured perspective than that which was needed for the 1964 Plan inasmuch as land has become more fully utilized and the enrollment is at a relatively steady state. Further, it can be appreciated that a few areas of the campus now appear to be somewhat saturated with facilities, and if any one discipline is to expand another must be displaced.

Inasmuch as the 1976 Oregon State University Physical Development Plan has been prepared in accordance with the assumptions, objectives and principles previously approved by the Board, it is being accepted and copies are being printed for use as a guide to the future physical development of the campus.

Board Discussion and Action

The Board received the report as presented.

(Considered by Committee on Finance, Administration, and Physical Plant, June 21, 1976; present--Perry, Ingalls, McIntyre, McLaurin, Stewart, Carpenter, Feves, and Layman.)

Staff Report to the Committee

An endowment was created for the University of Oregon in 1967 with a transfer of assets from a privately held trust which had been established by the will and codicil of Joseph K. Starr upon his death in 1953. He directed that income from the trust was to be applied to award and finance scholarships for students at the University. Each awardee was to be a white, Caucasian, boy. Also, he was to be of American descent from a person who was a resident of the presently constituted United States prior to July 4, 1776, and to have come from the country or town of less than five thousand population. An assisted student was to be one who would be unable to attend the college without help such as is provided by the scholarship.

Legal relief has been sought of, and granted by the Circuit Court of Lane County from will and codicil provisions now considered to be discriminatory, and to simplify standards to be followed in the future granting of scholarships from the perpetual trust. The decree of the Court (May 5, 1976) directs the State Board of Higher Education to determine the first of each year the number and amount of each scholarship for the ensuing year, and to notify the University of Oregon so that it may choose deserving persons meeting qualifications as amended. Recipients of scholarships shall be citizens of the United States of America, and they shall be persons who would be unable to attend college without help such as is provided by the scholarships. The Court decree removed all racial and sexual restrictions.
The principal book value of the endowment and trust fund is $579,637, and the estimated net income for 1975-76 is expected to approximate $34,000. As part of the court decree, permission has been granted to the use of $42,000 of accumulated income to make scholarship awards for 1976-77, with the remainder (presently estimated to approximate $55,000) to be added to principal of the trust.

Discussion and Recommendation by the Committee

The Committee recommended that the Board receive the report as presented. In response to a question during the discussion, it was indicated that the terms of a trust must be observed unless there is a release by the proper judicial authority.

There are numerous trusts with discriminatory provisions, but it is possible to rely to some extent on the balance in the distribution of all trusts to avoid charges of discrimination.

Board Discussion and Action

The Board received the report as presented.

At the January, March and May, 1976, meetings of the Board, guidelines were reviewed and approved to apply to the preparation of the 1977-1979 Biennial Budget requests. Summaries for the Education and General Services Program have now been developed and are provided for Board review. Requests for other activities, including Statewide Public Service Division, Auxiliary Activities and Gifts, Grants, and Contracts, are presently being prepared by the institutions and divisions in accord with the Board approved guidelines. All of the programs will be summarized and presented for final Board approval in August, prior to submission to the Governor's staff on September 1, 1976.

This report for Education and General Services is to inform the Board of the status of the request as it is moved toward completion. The attached schedules reflect the expenditure request by classification (Base Budget, Workload Increase, New Building Operation and Maintenance, and Program Improvement), and the resource requirements from the State General Fund and Other Fund resources. Primary sources of other funds consist of the instruction fees, other fees (such as application, placement service, transcripts and fines), and Federal Land Grant University Funds. The estimates are based upon rates effective for fiscal year 1976-77. Request amounts do not include salary adjustments which are expected to be separately reviewed and approved by the 1977 Legislature.

Discussion and Recommendation by the Committee

In response to a question concerning inflation in energy costs, Mr. Holmer said the institutions had been absorbing the increases by deferring expenditures. Information on the costs for the present fiscal year is being obtained, and a request will be made to the Emergency Board to provide funds for the inflation in energy costs for a two-year period.

The Chancellor emphasized that salary and wage levels in the report represented current rates and did not include any adjustments for salary increases.

The Committee recommended that the Board receive the report as presented.

Board Discussion and Action

Mr. Holmer said a revised summary of the current status of the education and general services budget had been distributed and would need further revision to reflect provisions for the Labor Education and Research Center. All of these adjustments will be included in the final budget presented to the Board in August.

The Board received the report as presented.
### Education and General Services


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base Budget</td>
<td>$279,012,667</td>
<td>$307,478,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workload Increase</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>8,071,146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Building Operation and Maintenance</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>595,884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Improvement</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>13,740,303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenditures</strong></td>
<td>$279,012,667</td>
<td>$329,886,293</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resources</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State General Fund</td>
<td>$199,685,259</td>
<td>$247,886,293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction Fees and Other Fee Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Including Balances From Prior Years</td>
<td>$78,778,488</td>
<td>$81,421,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Fees</td>
<td>71,000</td>
<td>101,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Income</td>
<td>49,500</td>
<td>49,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Land Grant Funds</td>
<td>428,420</td>
<td>428,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Funds Total</strong></td>
<td>$79,327,408</td>
<td>$82,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Resources</strong></td>
<td>$279,012,667</td>
<td>$329,886,293</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Biennium 1975-1977 includes estimated amount for July 1, 1976 salary adjustments not yet released by the State Emergency Board.

Instruction Fee and Other Fee Income estimated for 1977-1979 pending development of detailed analysis of income generated by the various fee classifications. Estimate and detailed calculations reflect continuation of rates in effect during year 1976-77.
# Education and General Services

**Summary of Proposed Biennial Budget Request 1977-1979**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UO</th>
<th>OSU</th>
<th>PSU</th>
<th>OCE</th>
<th>OIT</th>
<th>HSC</th>
<th>TR</th>
<th>CA</th>
<th>Systemwide</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Base Budget</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recurring Biennial</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjustments:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enrollment Change</strong></td>
<td>1,376,736</td>
<td>1,344,290</td>
<td>679,000</td>
<td>1,212,780</td>
<td>162,508</td>
<td>76,018</td>
<td>356,582</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inflation Allowances</strong></td>
<td>2,153,679</td>
<td>3,605,991</td>
<td>1,252,360</td>
<td>184,757</td>
<td>486,539</td>
<td>337,435</td>
<td>335,699</td>
<td>648,230</td>
<td>9,044</td>
<td>688,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, Base Budget</strong></td>
<td>$77,489,533</td>
<td>$80,322,449</td>
<td>$71,099,553</td>
<td>$17,561,453</td>
<td>$7,925,167</td>
<td>$33,997,668</td>
<td>$436,050</td>
<td>$10,443,360</td>
<td>$720,262</td>
<td>$307,478,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workload Increase</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State &amp; Federal Admin. Require.</strong></td>
<td>$369,636</td>
<td>$376,586</td>
<td>$244,548</td>
<td>$68,418</td>
<td>$37,542</td>
<td>$58,792</td>
<td>$166,767</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$191,306</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HSC School of Med. Faculty (1967 Authorization)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enrollment Funding Beyond Enrollment Targets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, Workload Increase</strong></td>
<td>$369,636</td>
<td>$376,586</td>
<td>$244,548</td>
<td>$68,418</td>
<td>$37,542</td>
<td>$58,792</td>
<td>$1,323,222</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$191,306</td>
<td>$5,316,576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Building Oper. &amp; Maint.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New or Altered Structures</strong></td>
<td>$79,256</td>
<td>$119,486</td>
<td>$56,280</td>
<td>$72,482</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$268,380</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$595,884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Improvement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inst. Priorities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Within 2% Guideline</strong></td>
<td>$1,478,544</td>
<td>$1,506,346</td>
<td>$978,194</td>
<td>$273,670</td>
<td>$338,080</td>
<td>$150,168</td>
<td>$235,166</td>
<td>$666,706</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$114,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Veterinary Med. Instr.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HSC Enc. Pos.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equip. Replace. (Est. of Ed&amp;Gen Portion of Systemwide Reqt.)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, Prog. Improvmt.</strong></td>
<td>$1,478,544</td>
<td>$2,194,526</td>
<td>$978,194</td>
<td>$273,670</td>
<td>$338,080</td>
<td>$150,168</td>
<td>$235,166</td>
<td>$1,891,546</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$114,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Proposed Request 1977-1979</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KJJ:1
7/19/76
In accordance with the provisions of an agreement dated June 12, 1969, reported to the Board on July 21, 1969, the Monsanto Company furnished and installed AstroTurf in Autzen Stadium at the University of Oregon at a contract price of $179,255 and provided a ten-year guarantee of the installation from the date of acceptance. As noted in the minutes of the October 27, 1969, meeting of the Board, the work was inspected and accepted on September 11, 1969, subject to the completion of a few minor items.

Because of the deterioration of the surface material, negotiations have been undertaken with officials of the Monsanto Company for the replacement of the AstroTurf and pad at a price of $130,000, payable in two installments. The initial payment of $65,000 would be made by December 1, 1976, and the other payment of $65,000, with interest at six percent, would be made on or before December 1, 1977.

The contractor would remove the original surface material and pad, would place the turf portion on rolls for other use, sale or storage by the University, and would install new materials over the area of approximately 65,076 square feet covered in the initial project, continuing the present warranty until September 11, 1979.

The estimated total expenditure requirements for the project would be about $141,400, including not only the obligation to the contractor, but also construction supervision, the cost of disposing of the present pad and other engineering and Physical Plant expenses, such as the repair of the asphalt base, if necessary, as well as a modest reserve for contingencies. Because of the multi-use aspects of the stadium field for physical education, recreation, athletics and general purposes, it is expected that the funding would be provided from a combination of Athletic Department resources and the operating budget of the institution.

The arrangements with the Monsanto Company are being incorporated within an amendment to the original contract dated June 12, 1969. (Similar procedures were followed two years ago when this contractor replaced the synthetic turf and pad in Parker Stadium at Oregon State University, as reported to the Board on May 21, 1974.)

The work constitutes maintenance and repair rather than capital construction inasmuch as it is being done to sustain the value of the facility at a level considered normal for its type and to prevent unnecessary depreciation in value during its normal useful life span, particularly as defined by the initial contract guarantee.

Since this project involved a contractual obligation of $130,000, which will be protected by a performance bond, Mr. Hunderup called special attention to the project.

The Board received the report as presented.

Staff Report to the Board

Drawings and specifications for remodeling within the Main Science Building at the University of Oregon were prepared with the assistance of Skidmore, Owings & Merrill, Portland, project architects. Upon the recommendation of institutional officials, these documents were accepted on behalf of the Board and bids for the work were opened in Eugene on May 18, 1976. Two separate basic bids were requested for portions of the renovation work, principally because of differences in financing, and an optional bid for the possible combination of all work was permitted. Four bids were received for Basic Bid "A", ranging from a low of $247,634 to a high of $283,928, and the four bids quoted for Basic Bid "B" ranged from a low of $16,640 to a high of $37,779. Inasmuch as the sum of the two lowest separate bids was more favorable than the lowest optional combination bid ($274,474), and inasmuch as the amounts were within the architects' estimate and the resources available, contract awards were made and the following tentative budget was approved for the project:
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Direct construction costs:

Basic Bid "A" - A. E. Stafford, Eugene $247,634
Basic Bid "B" - Howard Nelson Construction Co., Eugene $16,640

Total $264,274

Professional services fees $26,427
Construction supervision and miscellaneous costs $16,656
Furnishings and equipment $21,500
Contingencies (including $15,000 for possible reinstatement of items previously deferred) $36,143

Total $365,000

The work includes the alteration and renovation of approximately 9,700 square feet of space in the north end of the second and third floors in the Main Science Building. The space will be converted into various research and teaching laboratories, offices, animal colony spaces, etc., for use by the Biopsychology Group of the Psychology Department. The contracts include both new and renovated mechanical, electrical, and architectural work, the latter including cabinet work and fixtures to be renovated and relocated.

Of the estimated total budget, $335,000 is being charged against the expenditure limitation of $1,430,000 for various remodeling projects at the University of Oregon, funded and authorized within Chapter 331, Oregon Laws 1975. The remaining $30,000, which is applicable to work covered in Basic Bid "B" and related items, is being provided from capital outlay building use credits available to the institution from instructional and research contracts and grants.

RECAPITULATION UPON RECEIPT OF BIDS AND CONTRACT AWARDS

Project - UO Main Science Remodel

Architects - Skidmore, Owings & Merrill, Portland

Board's priority - Part of No. 4 in 1975-1977 (Educational and General Plant)

Legislative authorization - Chapter 331, Oregon Laws 1975

Total project costs $365,000

Total direct construction costs $264,274

Approximate area to be remodeled - 9,700 sq. ft.
Estimated unit cost - $27.24 per square foot

Scheduled completion - December 1976

Tentative financing plan:
General Fund appropriation $167,500
Article XI-G bond proceeds 167,500
Capital outlay building use credits 30,000

Total $365,000

Board Discussion and Action

The Board received the report as presented.

Report of Bids & Contract Award for Alterations in University Hospital North for the Clinical Research Center at the University of Oregon Health Sciences Center were prepared with the assistance of Architects Broome, O'Toole, Kudlow & Associates, Portland. Upon the recommendation of institutional officials, these documents were accepted on behalf of the Board and bids for the work were opened in Portland on May 20, 1976.

Staff Report to the Board

Drawings and specifications for remodeling work within University Hospital North for the Clinical Research Center at the University of Oregon Health Sciences Center were prepared with the assistance of Architects Broome, O'Toole, Kudlow & Associates, Portland. Upon the recommendation of institutional officials, these documents were accepted on behalf of the Board and bids for the work were opened in Portland on May 20, 1976.
Four bids were received ranging from a low of $89,720 to a high of $101,352, inclusive of Alternate No. 1 for the addition of toilet rooms. Inasmuch as the low bid was well within the resources available for the work, a contract award was made and the following tentative budget was approved for the project:

- **Direct construction costs - Bart Hess Building Contractor, Inc., Portland**: $89,720
- **Professional services fees**: $12,016
- **Construction supervision and miscellaneous costs**: $8,342
- **Contingencies**: $7,800

**Total**: $117,878

This project will expand the Clinical Research Center in University Hospital North to a total area of about 4,243 square feet. The expansion will be accomplished by altering an existing patient ward on the second floor to provide an intensive care unit, a future diet kitchen, a new toilet room, and the remodeling of an existing toilet room. The renovation in the remainder of the space will be minor, involving primarily clean-up, patching and painting.

All of the costs for these alterations will be financed from gift and grant funds, principally from the National Institutes of Health of the federal Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

**RECAPITULATION UPON RECEIPT OF BIDS AND CONTRACT AWARD**

- **Project - UOHSC University Hospital North Clinical Research Center Alterations**
- **Architects - Broome, Oringdulph, O'Toole, Rudolf & Associates, Portland**
- **Legislative authorization - State Emergency Board action of November 21, 1975**

**Total project costs**: $117,878

**Total direct construction costs**: $89,720

**Scheduled completion - November 1976**

**Tentative financing plan:**
- **Gifts and grants**: $117,878

**Board Discussion and Action**

The Board received the report as presented.

**Acceleration of Dietary Food Service Remodel, UOHSC**

In accordance with authorization granted by the Board on May 25, 1976, a request was presented to the State Emergency Board on June 25 to proceed with the first phase of remodeling of the dietary food service areas within University Hospital North utilizing resources of $384,800 available from authorizations in Chapter 48, Oregon Laws 1975, for the correction of safety deficiencies. It was anticipated that the remainder of the remodeling project, which would permit the conversion of all hospital food service operations at the University of Oregon Health Sciences Center to the fast freezing/thawing/warming method, would be included in high priority within the 1977-1979 capital construction program requests.

As noted in the agenda item relating to the Board's capital construction program for 1977-1979 and the succeeding two biennia, when this item was presented to the Emergency Board, authorization was given to proceed with the entire remodeling and
conversion project within an expenditure limitation of $1,017,480. To supplement the indicated resources of $384,800, an allocation of $632,680 was made from the Emergency Fund, with the expectation that a plan for the repayment of the total expenditure requirements will be developed during the 1977 Legislative Session when the 1977-1979 budget for the hospitals is reviewed.

To expedite the project, Architects Broome, Oringdulph, O'Toole, Rudolf & Associates are completing the design development phase of planning for the remodeling and equipment installations within University Hospitals North and South and then will be instructed to prepare the bidding documents. Tentatively, it is estimated that the direct construction costs and the food service equipment items will total approximately $827,670. The remainder of the budget is needed for professional services fees, construction supervision, the purchase of a special delivery truck, contingencies and other project costs.

Board Discussion and Action

The Board received the report as presented.

Staff Report to the Board

Administrative Rule 50.150(4) authorizes the University of Oregon Medical School (UOHSC) to establish patient fee schedules, subject to approval of the Chancellor and report to the Board. (Although modification of AR 50.150 is proposed for Board consideration at the July 20, 1976, meeting, it remained effective on date of approval of UOHSC University Hospital rate adjustments on July 1, 1976.)

A public hearing was held on the proposed adjustments on June 18, 1976, at 1:30 P.M. by the Hearing Officer for the University of Oregon Health Sciences Center in accordance with the requirements of the Administrative Procedures Act. The University Hospital adjusted rates were effective July 1, 1976, in selected departments as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inpatient Services</th>
<th>Gastroenterology Laboratory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surgery Services</td>
<td>Glasses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor and Delivery</td>
<td>Blood Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory Therapy</td>
<td>Emergency Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation Therapy</td>
<td>Clinical Pathology Laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopedic Brace Shop</td>
<td>Post-Anesthesia Recovery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The rate adjustments are necessary to meet the financial requirements of University Hospital for the 1976-77 fiscal year. The basis on which ancillary services are charged to inpatients is being altered with the rates. Previously, inpatients were charged for most ancillary services on the basis of a per diem fee. Effective July 1, these per diem ancillary fees are reduced substantially to coincide with the establishment of itemized ancillary charges. The per diem ancillary charge which remains in effect after July 1 represents an average charge per inpatient day for routine medications, parenteral fluids and medical supplies.

It is the intention of University Hospital to eliminate completely per diem ancillary charges as soon as mechanisms to process itemized data can be developed. It is not likely that this can be accomplished prior to January 1977.

It is also the intention of University Hospital to propose adjustments effective August 1, 1976, for departments other than those adjusted July 1 in order to meet financial obligations for the 1976-77 fiscal year. These departments include: Diagnostic Radiology, Angiography, Ultrasound, Nuclear Medicine, Outpatient Services, Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy, Pharmacy, Central Supply, Anatomical Pathology, Cardiac Laboratories.
The rate changes are consistent with achieving equitable recoveries from various classes of patients and third party carriers, including Medicare. The routine room, board and nursing charges are equal to the currently approved Medicare limitation on routine service. Charges were adjusted to minimize the financial impact of the Medicare regulation on "lower of costs or charges."

Board Discussion and Action

The Board received the report as presented.

Staff Report to the Board

Upon the recommendation of officials of Oregon College of Education and Payne Settecase Smith & Partners, Salem, project architects, the work of the three prime contractors for the construction of the Auditorium and Related Fine Arts Facilities on the campus in Monmouth was accepted as of June 1, 1976, subject to the completion of minor items on the punchlist. The work was inspected by the Vice Chancellor for Facilities Planning for acceptance on behalf of the Board.

A revised semifinal project budget is shown below in comparison with the budget reported to the Board on January 21, 1975:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Revised Budget 6/1/76</th>
<th>Original Budget 1/21/75</th>
<th>Increase or Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct construction costs:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General work - C. A. Lantz Construction Co., Salem</td>
<td>$1,263,163</td>
<td>$1,243,007</td>
<td>$20,156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical work - Valley Plumbing &amp; Heating, Salem</td>
<td>339,229</td>
<td>339,978</td>
<td>-750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical work - Electric Corp., Salem</td>
<td>221,490</td>
<td>218,940</td>
<td>2,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$1,823,882</td>
<td>$1,800,925</td>
<td>$22,957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional services fees</td>
<td>73,142*</td>
<td>67,100</td>
<td>6,042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furnishings and equipment</td>
<td>76,460</td>
<td>70,155</td>
<td>6,305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction supervision</td>
<td>23,659</td>
<td>15,800</td>
<td>7,859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Plant and miscellaneous costs</td>
<td>2,857</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>(7,143)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36,020</td>
<td>(36,020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$2,000,000*</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excludes $11,386 for direct construction costs and $142 for professional services fees applicable to the rerouting of storm sewer lines by the mechanical work contractor. This work was funded separately from the institution's operating budget.

(1) Includes adding door, steps and ladder for access from fly gallery to the exterior; providing U.L. labeling of a special door; relocating switch station to comply with code; adding locking rail at fly gallery; providing a credit from the finish hardware allowance; adding grab bars in two toilet stalls; adding doors and an exit corridor from the auditorium as required by the Fire Marshal; modifying acoustical absorption panels at rear wall of auditorium from fixed to adjustable; revising ladders in the stagehouse area; adding beam hangers in the electrical vault; constructing orchestra pit; adding plastic laminate counter tops in projection booth; adding three battens at the ceiling of the drama rehearsal room; and other minor modifications included within thirteen approved change orders for the general work. Excluding the change order for rerouting and extending existing storm sewer lines, which was funded separately, five change orders were approved for minor modifications in the mechanical work contract. Eight approved change orders issued for the electrical work included deleting the wiring for power for a motor-operated...
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The new building provides an auditorium with a seating capacity of approximately 600 persons, plus a stage and ancillary spaces, such as costume shop, scene shop, dressing rooms, drama rehearsal room, and offices for the Speech and Drama Department. The gross area of the Building is approximately 25,810 square feet including a projection booth and lighting gallery on a small mezzanine level within the auditorium.

The indicated total of $2,000,000 corresponds with the expenditure limitation authorized by the Board on March 26, 1974, and by the State Emergency Board on May 10, 1974. The financing was accomplished through a combination of state tax funds, bond borrowings, redirected auxiliary enterprises net income and student building fees, and capital outlay building use credits as detailed in the recapitulation below.

RECAPITULATION UPON INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE

Project - OCE Auditorium and Related Fine Arts Facilities

Architects - Payne Settecase Smith & Partners, Salem

Legislative authorization - State Emergency Board on May 10, 1974, acting under the provisions of Chapter 66, Oregon Laws 1974 Special Session

Estimated gross area - 25,810 square feet

Estimated total project costs $2,000,000

Direct construction costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building and fixed equipment only</td>
<td>$1,823,882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average (per square foot) $64.34</td>
<td>$1,660,701</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tentative financing plan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State funds:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund appropriation in Chapter 592, Oregon Laws 1973</td>
<td>$ 708,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond borrowings under Article XI-G of the Oregon Constitution (including $147,276 carried forward from 1971-1973 capital outlay program)</td>
<td>855,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>$1,563,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redirected auxiliary enterprises net income and student building fees allocated previously for educational and general plant capital outlay projects</td>
<td>$ 206,713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital outlay building use credits (OSU, UO, UOHCSC and PSU)</td>
<td>230,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Board Discussion and Action

The Board received the report as presented.
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Report of Appointment of
Architects for Maaske Hall
Renovation, OCE

Staff Report to the Board

Upon the recommendation of officials of Oregon College of Education, arrange-
ments were made for the professional services of Architects Hewlett, Jamison,
Atkinson & Luey, Portland, to assist in the preparation of drawings, specifications,
cost estimates and contract administration for the proposed conversion of Maaske
Hall, a former residence hall, to laboratory and office space. Legislative authoriza-
tion for the rededication and renovation of these facilities is being requested in
1977.

For their services, the architects are being compensated on a time and materials
basis not to exceed ten percent of the direct construction costs of the work,
tentatively estimated to be approximately $129,360 as of the projected bid date
early in 1978. Funds required for the initial phases of planning are being advanced
from the Board's reserve for architectural/engineering planning.

Board Discussion and Action

The Board received the report as presented.

Report on Recommendations of Ad Hoc Committee on Competencies in English Composition

Staff Recommendation to the Committee

The recommendations of the ad hoc committee on competencies in English compo-
sition are far-reaching. They propose a cooperative, comprehensive statewide
approach to what is widely recognized as a major instructional problem. The
Board's Office believes the Board's Committee on Instruction, Research, and Public
Service Programs will wish to review these proposals in detail. In view of the
heavy agenda for the June 22 meeting, it was the recommendation of the Board's
staff that the Committee accept the report of the ad hoc committee and commend it
to its institutions for their review and recommendations. The report can then be
placed on the agenda of the Committee for a fall meeting for discussion of the
recommendations and for review of how the Board might participate in their implemen-
tation.

Discussion and Recommendation by the Committee

The Committee recommended that the Board receive the report as presented.

Board Discussion and Action

The Board received the report as presented.

Staff Report to the Committee

At its November 1972 meeting, the Board's Committee on Academic Affairs called for
a report to be rendered to the Committee on institutional efforts to develop
writing proficiency among students in the State System of Higher Education. The
resulting report, recounting not only developments in the State System institu-
tions but also participation of the writing staffs in statewide activities looking
to the improvement of writing proficiency among students, was discussed in considerable
detail at the December 15, 1975, meeting of the Committee on Instruction, Research,
and Public Service Programs.

One of the statewide activities reported was the ad hoc committee on competencies
in English composition, chaired by Dr. Frank Ligon of Oregon State University, an
interinstitutional and interagency committee of persons knowledgeable in development
of writing competencies called into being in February 1974 by the State System-
Community College Coordinating Committee. (This latter committee, the Board will
recall, is an informal working committee which meets at least once each term to
facilitate articulation of programs and transfer of students between the two-year
and four-year institutions.)
The committee on competencies in English composition has completed its two years of work and has transmitted its report to the parent committee by which it was appointed and to the Department of Higher Education, Department of Education, and Educational Coordinating Commission.

The report was transmitted previously to the Board's Committee on Instruction, Research, and Public Service Programs for its information. The report includes 13 recommendations (see p. v) directed toward:

- establishing statewide agreements concerning objectives of the basic freshman course in English composition, requirements for admission to the course, and standards, criteria and procedures for granting waiver and credit through advanced placement, challenge, and credit by examination (recommendations 1-6, pp. 21-38);

- improving and maintaining the quality of teaching of writing and the writing program at all instructional levels--kindergarten through college (recommendations 7-9, pp. 39-46);

- implementing the total package (recommendations 10-13, pp. 47-57).

As described in the report, pp. 14-19, the committee's work has already begun to have significant impact on college composition programs in the state. Implementation of recommendations 1-6 is, for the most part, a matter of departmental and institutional action.

Recommendations 7-9 propose to enlist all teachers, kindergarten through graduate school, in the development and maintenance of writing skills. In the opinion of the committee, these recommendations are key to the total program of improvement of writing skills of Oregon students. Recommendations 10-13 outline a proposal as to how the statewide program might be accomplished.

All together, in the words of the committee, "the thirteen recommendations constitute a single, feasible strategy for a mutual approach, by all segments of Oregon education under the two Boards, to the total problem of literacy in Oregon's schools and colleges."

Needless to say, a project of this scope cannot be undertaken entirely with "in kind" participation of those most interested in its success, and some funding is proposed (recommendations 11 and 12, pp. 49-57).

NOTE: Page numbers refer to the complete report on file in the Board's Office.

(Considered by Committee on Finance, Administration, and Physical Plant, June 21, 1976; present--Perry, Ingalls, McIntyre, McLaurin, Stewart, Carpenter, Feves, Layman.)

Staff Recommendation to the Committee

It was recommended that the Board approve the institutions' current incidental fee guidelines and procedures relating to budgeting and allocation of incidental fee income, which appear as Supplement B to these minutes.

Discussion and Recommendation by the Committee

In response to a question from Miss McIntyre concerning the need to consider the incidental fee guidelines and procedures immediately, Mr. Holmer stated that the fees have just been set on each campus. In addition, the incidental fees for 1976-77 will be presented for adoption by the Board at the July meeting. It would be an appropriate time to consider the guidelines and procedures because approval of the fees represents an implicit approval of the guidelines upon which the fees are based.
Mr. Kirby Garrett, a former Vice President of the Associated Students of the University of Oregon, requested the Committee and the Board to delay action. He said there had been some misgivings when the 1971 policy was adopted, and adoption of the guidelines presently under consideration by the Committee would indicate a reaffirmation of the 1971 policy.

Mr. Holmer said he had no objections to deferring consideration of the guidelines providing the Board, in adopting the incidental fees for 1976-77, was not concerned that it had not formally approved guidelines in which there had been some changes since prior Board approval. Mr. Holmer said the students had not indicated recommended changes to the present policy.

The Chancellor said a deferral of the decision on the actual fees would present a problem, but deferring approval of the guidelines and procedures would not.

Student representatives indicated that at several institutions students were in the process of revising incidental fee guidelines and would be requesting modifications to the 1971 policy.

Mr. Garrett said the Oregon Student Lobby is looking at the 1971 policy statement and will be presenting proposed modifications for Board consideration.

Mr. Stewart objected to meetings of student incidental fee committees without representation present from other groups. Mr. Holmer said any recommendation made by students would be subject to the approval of the president of the institution. Mr. Stewart said, in his opinion, this was not a fair premise for the president and procedures should be established so that domination by any one group would be prevented.

Dr. Hawk said student government represents only a small percentage of the students voting to elect the student officers, and incidental fee committees deal with a substantial amount of money. On the University of Oregon campus, the members have been responsible. However, the incidental fees represent an area where there is often a great difference of opinion and the process requires education and understanding on the part of all concerned. Decisions on the recommendations from the committee are often very difficult for a president of an institution because that office receives the full impact of student opinion on these issues. Dr. Hawk said reason usually prevails and differences are reconciled, but these are controversial questions and the Board needs to give careful attention to the guidelines and procedures.

The Committee acknowledged receipt of the guidelines but deferred action pending development and consideration of revisions to the present guidelines and procedures.

Board Discussion and Action

The Board received the report as presented.

Staff Report to the Committee

The Board at its January 25, 1971, meeting adopted a policy relating to the guidelines and procedures of incidental fee budgeting, allocation and amount of fee to be formulated at each institution. The policy as stated below provides for the inclusion of student representation in determining the incidental fee policy:

The duly organized and recognized student government at each institution shall appoint and delegate student representatives to meet with each institutional executive for the formulation of guidelines and procedures to be followed at that institution in the budgeting, allocation, and amount of incidental fee income derived at such institution. The guidelines and procedures shall be subject to approval by the State Board of Higher Education.
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The intent of the policy is that the Board will regard the institution executive as the person responsible for the institutional recommendations regarding incidental fees. The policy statement recognized that students should be involved in campus decisions and specifies that designated representatives of student government participate in decisions based on formal guidelines.

Each institution has been requested to provide an updated incidental fee guideline and procedure policy, copies of statements are attached for review and approval by the Board.

Request for Program Improvement Appropriation for Labor Education and Research Center

(Considered by Committee on Finance, Administration, and Physical Plant, June 21, 1976; present--McIntyre, Ingalls, McLaurin, Stewart, Carpenter, Feves, Layman.)

Staff Report to the Committee

The Office of Academic Affairs recommended to the Committee on Instruction, Research, and Public Service Programs that an Oregon Labor Education and Research Center be established at the University of Oregon. The staff recommendation, if approved by the Committee on Instruction, Research, and Public Service Programs and by the Board at its July meeting, will require a General Fund appropriation of $237,278 during the 1977-1979 biennium and an expenditure limitation for the same period of $247,278. The difference between the appropriation and the limitation will be made up from projected revenue from noncredit course offerings. The requested appropriation would be a program improvement addition to those improvements requested by the University of Oregon.

Board Discussion and Action

The Board received the report as presented.

(Considered by Committee on Instruction, Research, and Public Service Programs, June 22, 1976; present--Carpenter, Feves, Harms, Maden.)

Staff Report to the Committee

PLATO is a technologically very sophisticated computer-based educational delivery system. Developed initially at the University of Illinois beginning in 1959, the system has received substantial support from the National Science Foundation. It is now in use at 70 educational campuses employing nearly 800 specially designed PLATO terminals. More than one-half of these terminals are located on campuses other than the University of Illinois.

In April 1974 the State System hosted a demonstration of PLATO. Interest in this educational capability has increased since then. Oregon State University got its first experience with PLATO beginning in the Fall of 1975 using borrowed equipment. It acquired its first terminal in March 1976 and began to make use of PLATO services from the University of Illinois. Class usage, although minimal this spring because of late terminal delivery, nonetheless has resulted in benefits to programs in the English Department, the English Language Institute, and the Music Department. Additional programs which will make use of the capability include Veterinary Medicine, Sociology, and Business.

Continued study of PLATO will be done through an interinstitutional committee. This committee, in addition to studying the long-term applicability of PLATO to Oregon programs in higher education, will evaluate potential research projects in computer-based education.

Board Discussion and Action

The Board received the report as presented.
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CONDITION OF BOARD'S SPECIAL AND PLANT REHABILITATION RESERVES
(As of July 20, 1976, for the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1977)

I. Board's Unallocated Reserve

(No funds reserved in allocation plans for fiscal year 1976-77.)

II. Board's Reserve for Plant Rehabilitation

Balance reported as of May 25, 1976

\[ \text{Less: Amounts approved by the Chancellor:} \]
- for repair or replacement of portions of the air conditioning system, Cordley Hall, OSU: $21,410
- for repair or replacement of portions of the air conditioning system, Administrative Services Building, OSU: $20,000

Balance remaining from the allocation for fiscal year 1975-76: $41,410

Amount approved by the Board in the initial budget plan for year 1976-77: $168,900

\[ \text{Less: Amounts approved by the Chancellor:} \]
- for alterations to the President's Residence at OSU: $5,000
- for relocation of computer center to Shattuck Hall, PSU: $20,000

Estimated balance as of July 20, 1976: $143,900

III. Computer Systems Development

Balance reported as of May 25, 1976

\[ \text{(Amount earmarked for library systems development to be carried forward for allocation to planned projects during year 1976-77)} \]

Amount approved by the Board in the initial budget plan: $70,500

Board Discussion and Action

The Board received the report as presented.

Report of Grant Award for TV Mobile Unit Color Modifications and Capitol Studio, OEPBS

Staff Report to the Board

Inasmuch as the U. S. Office of Education, within the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, has notified officials of the Oregon Educational and Public Broadcasting Service that the grant application for $120,000 has been approved and that these funds are available to cover approximately three-fourths of the estimated expenditure requirements for the proposed TV Mobile Unit Color Modifications and Capitol Studio project authorized by the 1975 Legislature, a request is being made to the State Emergency Board to release the appropriated funds for expenditure so that the project can proceed as soon as possible within a total budget of $160,000. The remaining $40,000 will be financed in equal shares from the General Fund appropriation in Section 16 of Chapter 331, Oregon Laws 1975, and from proceeds from the sale of bonds issued under the provisions of Article XI-G of the Oregon Constitution.

It is expected that the project will include the purchase of two or three color television cameras (depending upon vendor prices) and the necessary camera control units and switching gear for installation within the TV mobile van donated to OEPBS sometime ago by KQUN-TV. This equipment will make it possible to originate color television broadcasts from the Capitol and from other locations in the Salem area. When the cameras are not being used in the Capitol or in the mobile van, they will be available for use in the studios of OEPBS, particularly KVOR (Channel 3, Salem).

Board Discussion and Action

The Board received the report as presented.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting Date</th>
<th>Meeting Total</th>
<th>Cumulative Total for Current Year</th>
<th>Comparable Cumulative Total for Preceding Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 20, 1976</td>
<td>$14,192,401.52</td>
<td>$14,192,401.52</td>
<td>$13,636,435.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following gifts and grants to the institutions have been approved for acceptance and expenditure by the institutions and the Board's Office in accordance with Board action on January 27-28, 1964. It was recommended that the Secretary of the Board be authorized to call upon the institutions to make suitable acknowledgement on behalf of the Board to the donors and grantors.

Board Discussion and Action

The Board approved the staff recommendation as presented, with the following voting in favor: Directors Carpenter, Feves, Harms, Ingalls, Joss, Maden, McIntyre, McLaurin, Perry, and Layman. Those voting no: None. Director Stewart was absent from the meeting at this time.

Oregon State University

Gifts totaling $44,546.02 from the following donors for scholarships and fellowships:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scholarship/Fellowship</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alpha Lambda Scholarship, Corvallis</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Legion Auxiliary, Portland</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aileen S. Andrew Foundation, Orland Park, Illinois</td>
<td>$467.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Anukam Memorial Scholarship, through the Oregon State University Foundation, Corvallis</td>
<td>$94.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashland High School, Ashland</td>
<td>$217.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated Student Body of Dos Palos High School, Dos Palos, California</td>
<td>$530.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inez J. Belknap Memorial Scholarship, through the Oregon State University Foundation, Corvallis</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bend Aerie 2089, Fraternal Order of Eagles, Salem</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethany Missionary Baptist Church, Los Angeles, California</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. P. Bishop Estate, Honolulu, Hawaii</td>
<td>$666.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bikby Scholarship, Charlestown, New Hampshire</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brookings Emblem Club No. 265, Brookings</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnation Company Scholarship, through the Seattle First National Bank, Seattle, Washington</td>
<td>$213.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson Valley Chamber of Commerce, Gardnerville, Nevada</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Point School District #6, Central Point</td>
<td>$1,352.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cockerline Memorial Trust Fund, through the U. S. National Bank of Oregon, Salem</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cole, Clark &amp; Cunningham, Inc., Portland</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Colonial Dames of America, New York</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commonwealth of Massachusetts, through the State Street Bank and Trust Company, Boston, Massachusetts</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottage Grove High School, Cottage Grove</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crook County High School National Honor Society, Prineville</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown Zellerbach Foundation, San Francisco, California</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamond Jubilee Foundation, Corvallis</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eagle Point High School, Eagle Point</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elks Lodge No. 1680, Burns</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elks Lodge No. 1829, Enterprise</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elks Lodge No. 1950, Independence</td>
<td>$366.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elks Lodge No. 287, Walla Walla, Washington</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Englund Trust, through the National Savings and Trust Company, Washington, D. C.</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Presbyterian Church, Portland</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max C. Fleischmann Foundation, Carson City, Nevada</td>
<td>$333.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fong, Miho &amp; Robinson, Honolulu, Hawaii</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundry Educational Foundation, Cleveland, Ohio</td>
<td>$499.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harold Freres Memorial Fund, through the Oregon State University Foundation, Corvallis</td>
<td>$240.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia-Pacific Foundation, Portland</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good Samaritan Hospital Auxiliary, Corvallis</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grollier Foundation, Inc., New York</td>
<td>$184.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heppner Lodge 69 of A.F. and A.M., Heppner</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grantor</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harold Newlin Hill Trust, through the Girard Trust Bank, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hood River Memorial Hospital Women's Auxiliary, Hood River</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson Foundation, through the U. S. National Bank of Oregon, Portland</td>
<td>$8,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwin J. Nelsey Charitable Foundation, Petaluma, California</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana Pacific Foundation, Portland</td>
<td>$375.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medford Senior High School, Medford</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Arthur Mellinger Educational Foundation, Inc., Monmouth, Illinois</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milwaukie Rod and Gun Club, Portland</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Miss Oregon Scholarship Pageant of Seaside, Inc., Seaside</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobil Oil Corporation, Los Angeles, California</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multnomah Anglers and Hunters Club, Clackamas</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Rodeo Association, Hermiston</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Association of Educational Secretaries, Oregon State University Chapter, Corvallis</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Congress of Parents and Teachers, Inc., Portland</td>
<td>$374.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Dairy Industries, Corvallis</td>
<td>$266.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon State Federation of Garden Clubs, Inc., Corvallis</td>
<td>$278.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon State University Folk Club, Corvallis</td>
<td>$1,163.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacy Department, through the Oregon State University Foundation, Corvallis</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix High School, Phoenix</td>
<td>$333.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary E. Pitney Scholarship Fund, through the Oregon State University Foundation, Corvallis</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland Rose Festival Association, Portland</td>
<td>$215.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogue River Kay-Cee Corporation, Grants Pass</td>
<td>$115.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salem Garden Club, Salem</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle First National Bank, Seattle, Washington</td>
<td>$215.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigma Chi Foundation, Evanston, Illinois</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph E. Simmons Memorial Scholarship Fund, through the Massachusetts Endowment Fund, Corvallis</td>
<td>$691.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Society of American Military Engineers, Portland</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tillamook High School, Tillamook</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max D. Tucker Scholarship Fund, through the U. S. National Bank of Oregon, Portland</td>
<td>$2,001.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U. S. Bancorp, Portland</td>
<td>$1,917.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wahtonka Boosters Club, The Dalles</td>
<td>$364.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watts Memorial Trust, through the U. S. National Bank of Oregon, Portland</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education, Boulder, Colorado</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Rod and Reel Club, Portland</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sales Company, Portland</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**American Revolution Bicentennial Commission of Oregon**

Grant of $4,000 from the American Revolution Bicentennial Commission of Oregon, Portland, for the "touring theatre of American history," April 23 through September 5, 1976, under the direction of Dr. C. V. Bennett, Associate Professor of Speech Communication.

**City of Salem**

Grant of $297.95 from the City of Salem, Salem, for a "Cultural Resource Inventory Study," May 3 through May 31, 1976, under the direction of Dr. David R. Brauner, Department of Anthropology.

**Delft Hydraulics Laboratory**

Grant of $139,010 from the Delft Hydraulics Laboratory, The Netherlands, for "research involving review of existing analyses, the design of a caisson, and the fluidization and compacting system," March 1 through November 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. John H. Nath, Director, Oregon State University Fluid Dynamics Laboratory.
Grant of $250 from the Farm Foundation, Chicago, Illinois, for the "Farm Management Workshop," under the direction of Mr. Manning H. Becker, Extension Farm Management.

Gift of a non-contemporary carved walnut wood sculpture, the Standard Treasury of the World's Great Paintings, and one full color reproduction of the painting "Isabella's Triumph," from Mrs. Violet Graf, Portland. The gift is valued at $200.

Gift of an oriental skirt and cap, a shadow box frame, a wooden file box, and "The Book of Household Management in 1895," from Mrs. Isabella Holt, Portland. The gift is valued at $800.

Gift of forty gallons of gasoline, eight pints of oil, the use of a meeting room for two days, and lodging for 19 students and two staff members for two nights, from Mr. Larry Howard, Bend. The gift is valued at $218.

Grant of $10,608.75 from the Institute of International Education, New York, for "expenses in behalf of Dr. Herbert H. Stoevener," March 23 through June 19, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Ludwig Eisgruber, Head of Agricultural Economics.

Gift of materials and equipment for poultry experiments, from Mr. L. C. Jensen, Corvallis. The gift is valued at $373.

Gift of one unit of Aqua-Magic Egg Washer and Grader, from Mr. and Mrs. Donald L. Johnson, Eugene. The gift is valued at $3,715.

Gift of 84 back issues of the Journal of Animal Science and the 1965 index, from Mr. Earle Jossy, Medford. The gift is valued at $339.

Grant of $1,630 from the King-Snohomish Manpower Consortium, Seattle, Washington, "for the conduct of management training sessions," April 30 through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Gary Sorenson, Director, Institute for Manpower Studies.

Grant of $1,500 from the Klamath County Board of Commissioners, Klamath Falls, "to study the cost and revenue impact of recreational subdivisions on local government," May 1, 1976, through January 1, 1977, under the direction of Mr. Bert Wilcox, Klamath County Extension Chairman.

Grants totaling $57,015 from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Houston, Texas, for the following purposes:

1. $30,015 - Assessments of the Impact of Increased Solar Ultraviolet Radiation upon Marine Ecosystems," April 8 through October 7, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Henry Van Dyke, Associate Professor of Botany.

2. $27,000 - "Study of Elemental Abundances in Meteorite and Terrestrial Matter," March 1, 1976, through February 28, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Roman A. Schmitt, Professor of Chemistry.

Grants totaling $50,078 from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Seattle, Washington, for the following purposes:

1. $16,000 - "Provide Taxonomic Studies on Larval and Juvenile Rockfish," June 16, 1976, through June 15, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Sally L. Richardson, Research Associate in Oceanography.

2. $19,078 - "Community Structure Distribution and Interrelationships of Marine Birds in the Gulf of Alaska," May 14 through September 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. John A. Wiens, Associate Professor of Zoology.
National Science Foundation Grants totaling $903,700 from the National Science Foundation, Washington, D. C., for research and other purposes, as follows:

1. $11,500 - "Estimation of Stimulus-Response Curves," June 15, 1976, through November 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Hugh D. Brunk, Professor of Statistics.

2. $42,000 - "Raman and Fluorescence Spectroscopy of Unstable and Reactive Molecules," April 15, 1976, through September 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Joseph W. Nibler, Associate Professor of Chemistry.

3. $289,800 - "An Investigation of the Nazca Lithospheric Plate and Its Interactions with the South American Continental Block," May 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. LaVerne D. Kulm, Professor of Oceanography.

4. $33,000 - "Syntheses of New Lanthanide and Uranium Pi-Carbocyclic Compounds," July 1, 1976, through December 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Carroll W. DeKock, Associate Professor of Chemistry.

5. $38,000 - "Nature and Environmental Response of Marine Psychrophilic Bacteria," June 1, 1976, through November 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Richard Y. Norita, Professor of Microbiology.

6. $29,400 - "Physical Oceanography of the Winter-Spring Transition on the Oregon Continental Shelf," May 1, 1976, through October 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Robert L. Smith, Associate Professor of Oceanography.

7. $26,900 - "Partial Differential Equations-Algebraic Geometry," June 1, 1976, through November 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Aldo Andreotti, Professor of Mathematics.


10. $10,000 - "Quantitative Analysis of Behavior in Spermophilus Beecheyi," June 1, 1976, through January 31, 1978, under the direction of Dr. Dennis L. McDonald, Assistant Professor of Biology.

11. $35,000 - "Equipment for Real Time Data Acquisition and Data Processing for Enhancement of Energy Research Capability," July 1, 1976, through December 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. James G. Knudsen, Associate Dean of Engineering.

12. $39,400 - "Vertical Heat Transport in Lakes," May 1, 1976, through October 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Douglas R. Caldwell, Associate Professor of Oceanography, and Dr. Victor T. Neal, Assistant Professor of Oceanography.
13. $7,800 - "Division Algebra," July 1, 1976, through December 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Burton I. Fein, Associate Professor of Mathematics.

14. $8,800 - "Function of Sterols in the Metabolism of Saccharomyces and Cerevisiae," July 1 through December 31, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Leo W. Parks, Professor of Microbiology.

15. $123,000 - "Assessment of Impact on Estuarine Ecosystems Resulting from Residuals Management by Dredging," July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. W. L. Schroeder, Associate Professor of Civil Engineering.

16. $26,000 - "Nuclear Physics and Weak Interactions at Intermediate Energies," June 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. L. Wayne Swenson, Associate Professor of Physics.

17. $35,000 - "Fluorescence Time Decay Studies of Biopolymers," June 1, 1976, through November 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Irvin Isenberg, Professor of Biochemistry and Biophysics.

Oregon Institute of Technology

Grant of $79,316 from Oregon Institute of Technology, Klamath Falls, for research entitled, "Evaluation and Design of Downhole Heat Exchangers for Direct Application," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1978, under the direction of Dr. Gordon M. Reistad, Assistant Professor of Mechanical Engineering.

Oregon State Dept. of Education

Grant of $4,219 from the Oregon State Department of Education, Salem, "for the conduct of a series of training workshops to improve the competencies of teachers and to bring them up to state educational standards," July 1, 1975, through August 31, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Arnhe Heuchert, Instructor, Vocational Education.

Oregon State Dept. of Energy

Grant of $4,526 from the Oregon State Department of Energy, Salem, for research entitled, "Thermal Infrared Surveillance of Geothermal Surface Anomalies in South Central Oregon," June 12 through June 23, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Charles L. Rosenfeld, Assistant Professor of Geography.

Oregon State Employment Division

Grant of $2,828 from the Oregon State Employment Division, Salem, "to employ eligible participants under the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act of 1973," March 8 through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Mr. A. C. Vanderplaat, Fiscal Manager, Agricultural Experiment Station.

Oregon State Executive Dept.

Grants totaling $60,585 from the Oregon State Executive Department, Salem, for the following purposes:

1. $4,000 - "Provision of employment training of adults in Wallowa County area," February 1 through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Mr. Charles Gavin, Wallowa County Extension Agent.

2. $56,585 - "To furnish pre-employment training to unemployed persons to better fit them to compete in seeking employment," October 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Mr. John Frizzell, County Extension Chairman.

Pacific Northwest River Basins Commission

Grant of $5,170 from the Pacific Northwest River Basins Commission, Vancouver, Washington, for the "Development of a Comprehensive Study Plan for the Columbia River Estuary," April 1 through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Robert L. Holton, Research Associate in Oceanography.

Paperboard Packaging Council

Grant of $22,051.97 from the Paperboard Packaging Council, Washington, D. C., for "An Analysis of Milk Processing and Delivery Costs in the Pacific Northwest," March 1 through July 31, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Carl W. O'Connor, Assistant Professor of Agriculture and Resource Economics.
Gift of an Intel SDK-80 System, from Mr. Robert L. Spaw, Houston, Texas. The gift is valued at $350.

Grant of $734,280 from the U. S. Agency for International Development, Washington, D. C., "for research to develop weed control systems for representative farms in developing countries," April 1, 1976, through March 31, 1979, under the direction of Dr. S. F. Miller, Director of International Agriculture.

Grants totaling $85,006 from the U. S. Department of Agriculture, Portland, for the following purposes:

1. $13,006 - "Determination of Equivalencies--'A Values' for Emulsion Cold Mix Paving Materials," June 16, 1975, through December 15, 1977, under the direction of Dr. R. G. Hicks, Associate Professor of Civil Engineering.


3. $40,000 - "To conduct a study of legal and financial structure of federal and state extension services and to develop prototype models of information on financing, management, accountability, fiscal reporting and legal structures," June 1 through September 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. C. G. Weedman, Fiscal Specialist.

Grant of $45,606 from the U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Seattle, Washington, for "Upward Bound," July 1 through August 31, 1976, under the direction of Mr. Ramon Chacon, Project Director of Special Services and Upward Bound.

Grants totaling $55,064 from the U. S. Department of the Interior, Portland, for the following purposes:

1. $40,078 - "For the operation of a Youth Conservation Corps Camp," April 27 through August 31, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Meryyn L. Filipponi, Associate Professor of Resource Recreation Management.

2. $14,986 - "Vegetation Dynamics in Lodgepole Pine Ecosystem in Crater Lake National Park," May 7, 1976, through January 1, 1978, under the direction of Dr. Donald B. Zobel, Associate Professor of Botany.

Grants totaling $17,736 from the U. S. Department of Labor, Washington, D. C., for the following purposes:

1. $7,787 - "OMRD's Rural Work: A Synthesis for CETA Practitioners," June 1 through October 31, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Gary W. Sorenson, Director, Institute for Manpower Studies.

2. $9,949 - "Develop Criteria for Determining the Distribution of TAT Clearing House Materials and Make Recommendation Regarding an Initial Copying and Distribution Posture," May 1 through August 31, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Gary W. Sorenson, Director, Institute for Manpower Studies.

Grants totaling $110,199 from the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration, Richland, Washington, for the following purposes:

1. $11,725 - "Summer workshop on Energy Resources and Electrical Power Generation," January 1 through December 31, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Chih H. Wang, Director, Radiation Center.

3. $50,000 - "Investigation of the Rate of Combustion of Wood Residue Fuels," April 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. David C. Junge, Research Associate in Mechanical Engineering.

U. S. Environmental Grants totaling $81,588 from the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, Ohio, for the following purposes:

1. $47,500 - "Study on Energy Costs of Water Quality and Improvement," April 1, 1976, through February 28, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Kenneth J. Williamson, Assistant Professor of Civil Engineering.

2. $34,088 - "A Comprehensive Feedlot Pollution Control Design Model," June 15, 1976, through June 14, 1977, under the direction of Dr. J. Ronald Miner, Associate Professor of Agricultural Engineering.

U. S. Marine Mammal Commission Grant of $3,524 from the U. S. Marine Mammal Commission, Washington, D. C., for research entitled, "The Numbers, Sex, and Age of Breeding and Non-Breeding Populations Throughout the Year of Pinnipeds Hauled Out From Mazatlan, Mexico to Vancouver Island, British Columbia," April 12 through June 12, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Bruce R. Mate, Research Associate in Oceanography.

U. S. Public Health Service Grants totaling $602,600 from the U. S. Public Health Service, Bethesda, Maryland, for research and other purposes, as follows:

1. $56,155 - "Replication Map of an Oncogenic Virus," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. George D. Pearson, Assistant Professor of Biochemistry and Biophysics.

2. $71,634 - "Effects of Dietary Factors on Metallothionein Levels," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Philip D. Whanger, Associate Professor of Agricultural Chemistry.

3. $41,720 - "Biochemistry of Procarbazine and Related Hydrazines," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Donald J. Reed, Professor of Biochemistry.

4. $15,218 - "Fluorescence of Nucleic Acids and Bases at Room Temperature," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Malcolm Daniels, Associate Professor of Chemistry.

5. $31,039 - "Ring Expansion Routes to 8, 9, 13, 14-Di-secosteroids," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Richard W. Thies, Assistant Professor of Chemistry.

6. $23,960 - "Training program in Microbiology," July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Paul R. Elliker, Head of Microbiology.

7. $22,465 - "Comparative Pharmacology and Toxicology," July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Lavern J. Weber, Associate Professor of Pharmacology and Fisheries.

8. $16,102 - "Models of Populations Policy Determinants in the LDC's," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. R. Kenneth Godwin, Associate Professor of Political Science.

9. $69,081 - "Training program in Biometry," July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Lyle Calvin, Head, Department of Statistics.
10. $56,530 - "Metabolic Relationships of Selenium in Myopathies," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Philip D. Whanger, Associate Professor of Agricultural Chemistry.

11. $38,601 - "Analysis of the Sterol Components of Yeast," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Leo W. Parks, Professor of Microbiology.

12. $24,551 - "Fluorescence Studies of Enzyme Structure and Function," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Sonia R. Anderson, Associate Professor of Biochemistry and Biophysics.

13. $63,201 - "N-Nitrosamines in Foods," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Richard A. Scanlan, Associate Professor of Food Science and Technology.

14. $54,063 - "Respiratory Proteins, Structure and Function," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Kensel E. Van Holde, Professor of Biophysics.

15. $18,280 - "For the assignment of Dr. Wilbert Gamble to work as Research Chemist for the Laboratory of Cellular Metabolism," July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Robert W. Newburgh, Chairman, Biochemistry and Biophysics.

University of California Grant of $5,218.85 from the University of California, La Jolla, California, for "Oceanographic Research," July 1 through August 31, 1976, under the direction of Dr. John V. Byrne, Dean of Oceanography.

Dr. T. van Andel Gift of volumes 31 through 59 of the American Associate of Petroleum Geologists, from Dr. Tjeerd H. van Andel, Corvallis. The gift is valued at $700.

Grants to Agric. Exper. Stations: Gifts to the several Agricultural Experiment Station Departments and Divisions for research and other purposes, from various donors, as follows:

Agricultural Research Foundation $246.23 - "Farm Operations project," July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Wheeler Calhoun, Associate Professor of Agronomy.

$475 - "Identification and characterization of pea and bean viruses and mycoplasma-like agents," July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Richard Hampton, Associate Professor of Plant Pathology.

$10,763 - "Study fasciola," under the direction of Dr. T. P. Kistner, Associate Professor of Veterinary Medicine.

Biddle Sawyer Corp. $3,200 - "Overall control of mint diseases," July 1, 1975, through June 1, 1976, under the direction of Dr. C. E. Horner, Professor of Plant Pathology.

Blue Mountain Potato Growers Association $3,200 - "Biology, epidemiology and management of Arthropod Vectors of Plant Pathogens," under the direction of Dr. R. G. Clarke, Assistant Professor of Entomology.

Chevron Chemical Co. $1,000 - "Tests on powdery mildew, rust and black spot of roses," July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. I. C. MacSwan, Professor of Plant Pathology.

Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation $4,839.97 - "Feasibility of an expanded tribal agricultural enterprise at Umatilla Indian Reservation, Oregon," January 1 through March 31, 1976, under the direction of Dr. James B. Fitch, Assistant Professor of Agricultural and Resource Economics.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Grant Amount</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Principal Investigator(s)</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Klamath County</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>&quot;Potato and forage crop evaluation and improvement in the Klamath Basin,&quot; July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Mr. George E. Carter, Assistant Professor of Agronomy.</td>
<td>Dr. George E. Carter, Assistant Professor of Agronomy</td>
<td>Leman Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm. Leman Inc.</td>
<td>$1,400</td>
<td>&quot;Control of mint diseases,&quot; under the direction of Dr. C. E. Horner, Professor of Plant Pathology.</td>
<td>Dr. C. E. Horner, Professor of Plant Pathology</td>
<td>Eli Lilly &amp; Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eli Lilly &amp; Company</td>
<td>$2,405</td>
<td>&quot;Ruminant nutrition research dealing particularly with energy utilization,&quot; under the direction of Dr. Harley A. Turner, Assistant Professor of Animal Nutrition.</td>
<td>Dr. Harley A. Turner, Assistant Professor of Animal Nutrition</td>
<td>Merck &amp; Company, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merck &amp; Company, Inc.</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>&quot;Acidosis in cattle,&quot; July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. David C. Church, Professor of Beef Cattle Studies.</td>
<td>Dr. David C. Church, Professor of Beef Cattle Studies</td>
<td>National Oceanic and Atmospheric Admin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Fish Commission</td>
<td>$13,251</td>
<td>&quot;Help maintain the Astoria Seafoods Laboratory and it's research projects,&quot; January 1 through March 31, 1976, under the direction of Dr. David L. Crawford, Associate Professor of Food Science.</td>
<td>Dr. David L. Crawford, Associate Professor of Food Science</td>
<td>Oregon Fish Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Nursery Advisory Council</td>
<td>$5,998</td>
<td>&quot;Growth and development of ornamental plants,&quot; July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Leslie H. Fuchigami, Assistant Professor of Horticulture.</td>
<td>Dr. Leslie H. Fuchigami, Assistant Professor of Horticulture</td>
<td>Oregon Nursery Advisory Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Portland Cement Company</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>&quot;Research and education in soil acidity and liming,&quot; July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Hugh Gardner, Professor of Soil Fertility/Soil Chemistry.</td>
<td>Dr. Hugh Gardner, Professor of Soil Fertility/Soil Chemistry</td>
<td>Oregon Portland Cement Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon State Dept. of Fish and Wildlife</td>
<td>$700</td>
<td>&quot;Analysis of food habits of selected fish from Tillamook Bay, Oregon,&quot; July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Howard Horton, Professor of Fisheries and Wildlife.</td>
<td>Dr. Howard Horton, Professor of Fisheries and Wildlife</td>
<td>Oregon State Dept. of Fish and Wildlife</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Wheat Commission</td>
<td>$3,750</td>
<td>&quot;Improvement and varietal testing of small grains in Northeastern Oregon,&quot; July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Charles R. Rohde, Professor of Agronomy.</td>
<td>Dr. Charles R. Rohde, Professor of Agronomy</td>
<td>Oregon Wheat Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennwalt Corp.</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>&quot;Cereal improvement in wheat,&quot; July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Warren E. Kronstad, Professor of Plant Breeding.</td>
<td>Dr. Warren E. Kronstad, Professor of Plant Breeding</td>
<td>Pennwalt Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell Oil Co.</td>
<td>$550</td>
<td>&quot;Testing crop varieties and spray materials,&quot; July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Porter B. Lombard, Professor of Horticulture.</td>
<td>Dr. Porter B. Lombard, Professor of Horticulture</td>
<td>Shell Oil Co.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Soil Improvement Committee

$500 - "Legume Nodulation research," July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Charles Hagedorn, Professor of Soil Microbiology/Agronomy.

$1,500 - "Soil fertility for vegetables," July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Thomas L. Jackson, Professor of Agronomy Soils/Crop Science.

U. S. Dept. of Agriculture

$11,657.53 - "Virological studies of calfhood diseases," July 1 through December 31, 1975, under the direction of Dr. Donald Wattson, Associate Professor of Calfhood Diseases.

$5,000 - "Study of the seeds, weed control and agronomic and genetic values of the poppy," July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Wheeler Calhoun, Associate Professor of Agronomy.

$2,287.88 - "Identification and characterization of pea and bean viruses and mycoplasma-like agents," January 1 through March 31, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Richard Hampton, Associate Professor of Plant Pathology.

$1,273.05 - "Environmental stresses in relation to fungi infecting roots of ornamental plants," July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Robert Linderman, Associate Professor of Plant Pathology.

$2,175.83 - "Identification and control of plant parasitic nematodes," July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Harold J. Jensen, Professor of Nematology.

$1,500 - "Oat Cyst Nematode research," July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. C. E. Horner, Professor of Agronomic Crop Science.

$1,227.03 - "Management of bark beetle populations using pheromones," January 1 through March 31, 1976, under the direction of Mr. James Swaby, Graduate Research Assistant in Entomology.

$1,250 - "Study of the seeds, weed control and agronomic and genetic values of the poppy," January 1 through March 31, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Wheeler Calhoun, Associate Professor of Agronomy.

$811.90 - "Cooperative research on the management of bark beetle populations," July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Mr. Anthony Start, Graduate Research Assistant in Entomology.

$761.01 - "Assistantship for Don Scott-Cooperative research on the management of bark beetle populations," July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Don Scott, Graduate Research Assistant in Entomology.

$3,430 - "Effects of Tussock Moth Virus on Salmonid Fish," July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. John L. Fryer, Professor of Microbiology and Fisheries.

U. S. Fish & Wildlife Service

$5,667 - "Study of Columbia River Chinook Salmon," July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. John D. McIntyre, Assistant Professor of Fisheries Ecology.

University of Idaho

$1,794.94 - "Development and evaluation and maintenance of Pathogen free vegetables propagated planting stock," July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. T. C. Allen, Professor of Plant Pathology.

Valley Nitrogen Producers

$250 - "Soil fertility," July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Thomas L. Jackson, Professor of Agronomy Soils/Crop Science.
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Wasco County Fruit & Produce League

$14,750 - "Fluoride research on cherries," July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Mr. W. M. Mellenthin, Professor of Horticulture.

Western Onion Growers Association

$5,000 - "Research and experiments on onions," July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Daryl C. Richardson, Assistant Professor of Horticulture.

Willamette Vet. Lab.

$200 - "Study of poultry diseases," under the direction of Dr. M. Matsumoto, Assistant Professor of Poultry Diseases.

Winter Pear Control Committee

$9,000 - "Pear research program," July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Mr. W. M. Mellenthin, Professor of Horticulture.

University of Oregon

Scholarships & Fellowships

Gifts totaling $5,431.33 from the following donors for scholarships and fellowships:

- Estate of Eric Englund, through the National Savings and Trust Company, Washington, D. C. $2,000.00
- Eugene Rotary Foundation, Eugene 431.00
- Eugene Symphony Association, Eugene 1,500.00
- Excalibur Foundation, San Francisco, California 333.33
- Marshall Family Charitable Trust, through Woodside High School, Woodside, California 304.00
- Miners Memorial Educational Fund, Wallace, Idaho 303.00
- Oregon State Association of Emblem Clubs, Lincoln City 100.00
- Rotary Club of Brookings, Brookings 150.00
- United Methodist Ethnic Scholarship, Nashville, Tennessee 250.00

California Institute of Technology

Grant of $303 from California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, for research entitled, "Development of High Sensitivity Methods to Study the Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation from the Space Shuttle," April 1 through September 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Russell J. Donnelly, Professor of Physics.

The Jane Coffin Childs Memorial Fund for Medical Research

Grant of $21,500 from The Jane Coffin Childs Memorial Fund for Medical Research, New Haven, Connecticut, for a "Fellowship award on behalf of Robert E. Wagner," September 1, 1976, through August 31, 1978, under the direction of Dr. Franklin W. Stahl, Professor of Biology.

Educational Testing Service

Grant of $1,100 from the Educational Testing Service, Princeton, New Jersey, for "CAEL (Cooperative Assessment of Experiential Learning) field research," September 1, 1975, through December 31, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Norman Sundberg, Professor, Community Service and Public Affairs.

Lane County

Grant of $4,400 from Lane County, Division of Social Services and Community Services Division, Eugene, for the "University Year for Action program," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Anita Runyan, Assistant Professor, Community Service and Public Affairs.

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Grant of $2,000 from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, California, for research entitled, "Polarization in the Infrared: Mechanisms, Techniques for Observation, and Likely Sources," April 1 through June 15, 1976, under the direction of Dr. James Kemp, Professor of Physics.

National Endowment for the Arts

Grant of $3,000 from the National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, D. C., for a project entitled, "Craftsmanship 1976, Eugene, Oregon," July 1 through October 31, 1976, under the direction of Mr. Richard C. Paulin, Director, Museum of Art.
Grants totaling $243,800 from the National Science Foundation, Washington, D. C., for research and other purposes, as follows:


2. $26,100 - "Oscillations in Chemical Systems," April 15, 1976, through September 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Richard M. Noyes, Professor of Chemistry.


4. $22,900 - "Permutation Groups and Their Geometries," July 1, 1976, through December 31, 1979, under the direction of Dr. William M. Kantor, Associate Professor of Mathematics.

5. $25,000 - "Low Temperature Physics," June 1, 1976, through November 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. George W. Rayfield, Associate Professor of Physics.

6. $34,400 - "To assist in the acquisition of ESR, NMR and Infrared Accessories," June 1, 1976, through November 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Richard M. Noyes, Professor and Head, Chemistry.

7. $24,500 - "Rings and Forms," July 1, 1976, through December 31, 1978, under the direction of Dr. D. K. Harrison, Professor of Mathematics.

8. $27,700 - "Theory of Solids," June 1, 1976, through November 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. G. H. Wannier, Professor of Physics.

9. $48,000 - "Molecular Biology of Phage Lambda Operator and Transcripts," June 1, 1976, through November 30, 1978, under the direction of Dr. Gerald R. Smith, Assistant Professor of Biology.

Grant of $60,000 from the Northwest Area Foundation, Saint Paul, Minnesota, for research entitled, "The Development of Dynamic Objective Tests for the Evaluation of Joint Function During Normal and Abnormal Walking and Running," June 1, 1976, through June 1, 1978, under the direction of Dr. Barry T. Bates, Assistant Professor of Health and Physical Education.

Grant of $21,893 from the Oregon State Department of Education, Salem, for a project entitled, "Preschool through Third Grade Regular Class Teacher Preparation for Serving the Handicapped," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Knute Espeseth, Head, Special Education.

Grant of $2,500 from the Oregon State Department of Human Resources and Corrections Division, Lane County Legal Aid, Eugene, for the "University Year for Action program," April 1, 1976, through March 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Anita Runyan, Assistant Professor, School of Community Service and Public Affairs.

Grant of $52,861 from the Pacific Northwest Regional Commission, Washington, D. C., "to help the Oregon state government strengthen and link together the various components of policy management to direct the state's economic, natural and human resources more effectively toward common goals," May 18, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Mr. Kenneth C. Tollenaar, Director, Bureau of Governmental Research and Services.

Grant of $42,506 from the State of Montana, Helena, Montana, "for Dr. Robert Mattson's second year's appointment as Director of Institutions, State of Montana," July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Robert D. Gilberts, Dean, College of Education.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Grants Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teaching Research Division</td>
<td>Grants totaling $4,588 from the Teaching Research Division, Monmouth, &quot;for the pre-service program entitled Competency Training for Teachers,&quot; June 1, 1975, through May 31, 1976, under the direction of Dr. John Stamm, Assistant Professor of Education, and Dr. Robert Schwarz, Director, Center on Human Development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U. S. Dept. of Agriculture</td>
<td>Grant of $801 from the U. S. Department of Agriculture, Roseburg, &quot;for an archaeological survey of the proposed South Bend timber sale area,&quot; February 24 through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Melvin Aikens, Associate Professor of Anthropology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U. S. Dept. of Health, Education, and Welfare</td>
<td>Grants totaling $3,745,775 from the U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D. C., for the following purposes:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. $194,000 - &quot;Preparation of Special Education and Speech Pathology Personnel in the Education of Handicapped Children,&quot; June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. V. Knute Espeseth, Associate Professor of Education.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. $42,000 - &quot;Project EXTEND-ED VIII,&quot; June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Fred W. Martin, Assistant Professor, Recreation and Park Management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. $1,302,657 - &quot;College Work-Study Program,&quot; July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Mr. Emmett Williams, Acting Director, Office of Financial Aids.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. $703,579 - &quot;Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants Program,&quot; July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Mr. W. N. McLaughlin, Director of Business Affairs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. $1,262,752 - &quot;National Direct Student Loan Program,&quot; July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Mr. W. N. McLaughlin, Director of Business Affairs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. $30,000 - &quot;Special Educator,&quot; June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Robert H. Schwarz, Director, Center on Human Development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. $30,000 - &quot;A New Look at Teacher Training,&quot; June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Robert D. Gilberts, Dean, College of Education.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. $77,823 - &quot;Upward Bound Program,&quot; July 1 through August 31, 1976, under the direction of Mrs. Pearl Hill, Director of Upward Bound Program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. $102,984 - &quot;Measurement of Community Adjustment of Retarded Young Adults,&quot; June 16, 1976, through March 15, 1977, under the direction of Dr. John E. deJung, Professor of Education.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U. S. Dept. of Labor</td>
<td>Grant of $37,500 from the U. S. Department of Labor, Washington, D. C., for a project entitled, &quot;Providing Research Assistance and Technical Training to the National Occupational Information Service,&quot; May 18, 1976, through May 18, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Bruce McKinlay, Director, Career Information Service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration</td>
<td>Grant of $117,000 from the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration, Richland, Washington, for research entitled, &quot;Theory of Elementary Particles,&quot; June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Michael Moravcsik, Professor of Physics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U. S. Public Health Service</td>
<td>Grants totaling $700,290 from the U. S. Public Health Service, Bethesda, Maryland, for research and other purposes, as follows:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. $60,518 - &quot;Systems and Integrative Biology Training Program,&quot; July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Wayne A. Witanen, Assistant Professor of Agriculture.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. $48,005 - "Kinetic Analysis of Enzyme Catalysis," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Sidney A. Bernhard, Research Associate, Institute of Molecular Biology.

3. $5,992 - "Smoking Treatment Follow-up and Resumption Processes," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Edward Lichtenstein, Professor of Psychology.

4. $54,741 - "Genetic Control of Mating in Yeast," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Ira Herskowitz, Assistant Professor of Biology.

5. $98,109 - "Divorce Adjustment: Clinical and Survey Research," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Stephen M. Johnson, Associate Professor, Psychology.

6. $31,080 - "Extracellular Matrix and Morphogenesis in Mutant Mice," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. James A. Weston, Professor of Biology.

7. $61,844 - "Structure, Function and Stability of T4 Phage Lysozyme," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Brian Matthews, Research Associate, Institute of Molecular Biology.

8. $26,177 - "Molecular Interactions in Synaptic Transmission," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Sidney A. Bernhard, Research Associate, Institute of Molecular Biology.


11. $3,000 - "Institution allowance on behalf of Thomas H. Carr," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Michael I. Posner, Professor of Psychology.

12. $38,637 - "Catalytic Mechanism Studies, Malic Dehydrogenase," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Raymond G. Wolfe, Jr., Professor of Chemistry.

13. $82,426 - "Spin Labeling and Membrane Structure," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. O. Hayes Griffith, Research Associate, Institute of Molecular Biology.

14. $96,561 - "A Research Study of Retirement Preparation Programs," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Frances G. Scott, Director, Center for Gerontology.

15. $27,429 - "Studies on Spatial Representation," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Fred Attneave, Professor of Psychology.
University of Oregon Health Sciences Center

University of Oregon Dental School

U. S. Public Health Service

Grants totaling $212,789 from the U. S. Public Health Service, Bethesda, Maryland, for the following purposes:

1. $48,949 - "Physiological Basis of Dental Electrical Pain Therapy," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. R. Wayne Fields, Assistant Professor of Biophysics, Child Study Clinic.

2. $163,840 - "Study of Periodontal Disease on Patients with Abnormalities on the Immune System," June 27, 1976, through June 26, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Howard Creamer, Associate Professor of Microbiology.

University of Oregon Medical School

Ayerst Laboratories

Grant of $10,000 from Ayerst Laboratories, New York, for "miscellaneous research," beginning December 1, 1975, under the direction of Dr. William E. Connor, Professor of Medicine.

Dr. E. J. Bardana

Gift of $125.25 from Dr. Emil J. Bardana, Portland, for "Arthritis and Lupus Research," under the direction of Dr. Bernard Pirofsky, Professor of Medicine.

Mr. & Mrs. B. Bennett

Gift of $100 from Mr. and Mrs. Bernard Bennett, Mill City, "for unrestricted use of Doernbecher Memorial Hospital," under the direction of Mr. Stanley Urban, Associate Administrator of University Hospital South.

Estate of L. I. Brown

Gift of $82,981.46 from the Estate of Lester I. Brown, Portland, "for the unrestricted use of Doernbecher Memorial Hospital for Children," under the direction of Mr. Robert A. Peterson, Vice President for Administration and Finance.

Drs. P. A. & L. M. Cibis Charitable Trust

Gift of $700 from Drs. Paul A. and Lisa M. Cibis Charitable Trust, Creve Coeur, Missouri, for "Pediatric Ophthalmology," under the direction of Dr. Kenneth C. Swan, Professor and Chairman, Department of Ophthalmology.

R. Dakin & Co.

Grant of $345 from R. Dakin and Company, San Francisco, California, for "Pediatrics," under the direction of Mrs. Marla J. Clark, Director of Volunteer Services.

Estate of G. A. Dickson

Gift of $2,237.72 from the Estate of George A. Dickson, Portland, for "University Hospital North," under the direction of Dr. Donald G. Kassebaum, Medical Director and Administrator of Hospitals and Clinics.

Eaton Laboratories

Grant of $300 from Eaton Laboratories, North Norwich, New York, for "research in urology," under the direction of Dr. Clarence Hodges, Professor and Head, Division of Urology.

Good Samaritan Hospital

Grant of $4,320 from Good Samaritan Hospital, Portland, for "Cerebellar Stimulation," April 1 through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. John P. Hammerstad, Associate Professor of Neurology.

Mrs. J. Hornung

Gifts totaling $2,000 from Mrs. Johanna Hornung, Portland, for the following purposes:

1. $666.67 - "Heart Research," under the direction of Dr. Robert S. Stone, Dean, School of Medicine.

2. $666.66 - "Cancer Research," under the direction of Dr. Robert S. Stone, Dean, School of Medicine.

3. $666.67 - "Research in Arthritis," under the direction of Dr. Bernard Pirofsky, Professor of Medicine.
Grant of $360 from the Lieberman-Okinow Foundation, Minneapolis, Minnesota, for "research for Leukemia," under the direction of Dr. James W. Linman, Head, Division of Hematology.

Grant of $30,000 from Miles Laboratories, Elkhart, Indiana, for research entitled, "Use of Bacteriocins for the Detection and Identification of Various Bacteria Research," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Barbara Iglewski, Associate Professor of Microbiology, and Dr. Steven Morse, Associate Professor of Microbiology.

Grant of $12,500 from the Oregon Lung Association, Portland, for "A research fellowship for Ralph Leonard Burke, Jr.," July 15, 1976, through July 14, 1977, under the direction of Mr. Ralph Leonard Burke, Jr., Fellow in Chest Diseases.

Grant of $21,247 from the Oregon State Mental Health Division, Salem, for research entitled, "Outreach Treatment of Multi-handicapped Children," March 1 through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Victor Menashe, Director, Crippled Childrens Division.

Gifts totaling $10,684.14 from the Estate of John H. Ostoffer, New York, for the "unrestricted use of Crippled Children's Division," under the direction of Mr. William A. Zimmerman, Special Assistant to the President.

Gift of $125.25 from Dr. Bernard Pirofsky, Portland, for "Arthritis and Lupus Research," under the direction of Dr. Bernard Pirofsky, Professor of Medicine.

Gift of $345 from the Portland Legal Secretaries Association, Portland, for "Emergency support services and indigent patients of Oregon," under the direction of Dr. Leslie W. Hunter, Professor and Director, Medical Social Service Department.

Gift of $285 from Dr. Ralph H. Rinne, Bellingham, Washington, for the "Education Fund," under the direction of Mr. Robert A. Peterson, Vice President for Administration.

Grant of $400 from Schwan's Sales Enterprises, Inc., Marshall, Minnesota, for "Research in the Medical School," under the direction of Dr. Robert S. Stone, Dean, School of Medicine.

Gift of $1,000 from the Estate of Hazel Strom, Portland, for "general research," under the direction of Mr. Robert A. Peterson, Vice President for Administration.

Grants totaling $1,746,364 from the U. S. Public Health Service, Bethesda, Maryland, for research and other purposes, as follows:

1. $210,661 - "General Research Support Grant," April 1, 1976, through March 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Robert S. Stone, Dean, School of Medicine.

2. $65,864 - "Toxin Cancer Chemotherapy in Immunoprotected Animals," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Marvin B. Rittenberg, Professor of Microbiology.

3. $201,745 - "Investigation of Agents Which Damage the Ear," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Jack A. Vernon, Professor of Otolaryngology.

4. $52,585 - "Selective Intoxication of Virus Transformed Cells," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Wallace J. Iglewski, Associate Professor of Microbiology and Immunology.
5. $50,344 - "Angiography and Cardiovascular Radiography," July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Charles T. Dotter, Professor and Chairman, Department of Diagnostic Radiology.

6. $157,920 - "General Clinic Research Center," October 1, 1975, through September 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. John M. Porter, Assistant Professor of Surgery.

7. $25,000 - "Metabolic Changes in the Preimplantation Embryo," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Harry M. Weitlauf, Associate Professor of Anatomy.

8. $25,790 - "Role of Solute Drag in Biological Transport," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. John T. VanBruggen, Professor of Biochemistry.

9. $86,633 - "A Program in Coronary Artery Disease," March 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Shabudin Rahimtoola, Professor of Medicine.

10. $74,376 - "Neural Mechanisms of Postural Control," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. John M. Brookhart, Chairman and Professor, Department of Physiology.

11. $67,400 - "Effect of Function on Grafts and Implants of Collagen," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. William W. Krippaehne, Professor and Chairman, Department of Surgery.

12. $47,703 - "Neural Control of Acth Release," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. John W. Kendall, Professor of Medicine.

13. $68,464 - "Human Chromosome Structure, Function and Behavior," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Frederick Hecht, Professor of Pediatrics, Crippled Children's Division.


15. $33,692 - "Synthesis and Phagocytosis in Ocular Neuropithelia," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Lynette Feeney, Associate Professor of Ophthalmology.

16. $20,071 - "Toxin Cancer Chemotherapy in Immunoprotected Animals," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Marvin B. Rittenberg, Professor of Microbiology.

17. $70,807 - "Genetic Linkage Analysis in Selected Families," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Everett W. Lovrien, Associate Professor of Pediatrics.

18. $19,740 - "Comparative Characteristics of Giardia Trophozoites," under the direction of Dr. Ernest A. Meyer, Professor of Microbiology.


20. $22,181 - "Nursing Loans," July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Mr. Robert A. Peterson, Vice President for Administration and Finance.
21. $2,245 - "Graduate nursing loans," July 1, 1975, through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Mr. Robert A. Peterson, Vice President for Administration and Finance.

22. $5,390 - "Role of Solute Drag in Biological Transport," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. John T. VanBruggen, Professor of Biochemistry.

23. $15,431 - "Polyamine Radioimmunoassay in Cancer," June 1, 1975, through August 31, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Robert A. Campbell, Professor of Pediatrics.

24. $39,420 - "Undergraduate training program in Psychiatry," July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. James H. Shore, Associate Professor, Director of Community Psychiatry Training Program.

25. $54,339 - "Psychiatry GP Special," July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. William H. Sack, Associate Professor of Psychiatry.

26. $61,308 - "Control of Gene Expression in Differentiating Cells," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. David Kabat, Associate Professor of Biochemistry.

27. $32,946 - "Prolactin-Estrogen Interaction in Breast Carcinoma," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Benjamin S. Leung, Associate Professor of Surgery.


29. $54,175 - "Effects of Psychotropic Drugs on Retinal Function," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Duane D. Denney, Professor of Psychiatry.

Vierani Family Fund for Charitable Purposes
Grant of $2,400 from the Vierani Family Fund for Charitable Purposes, Portland, "to furnish medically indigent patients with service, supplies, and medical accessories not available in hospitals' and clinics' routine budgets," under the direction of Dr. Donald G. Kassebaum, Medical Director and Administrator of Hospitals and Clinics.

Weeks Trust
Grant of $10,000 from the Weeks Trust for the "Weeks Ophthalmology Laboratory," under the direction of Mr. W. A. Zimmerman, Special Assistant to the President.

Mrs. H. F. Wendel
Gift of $100 from Mrs. Harold F. Wendel, Portland, for "scholarships for medical students," under the direction of Dr. Robert S. Stone, Dean, School of Medicine.

Portland State University

American Chemical Society
Grant of $9,000 from the American Chemical Society, The Petroleum Research Fund, Washington, D. C., for research entitled, "Haloform Production Through Chlorination of Humic Substances in Natural Waters," September 1, 1976, through August 31, 1979, under the direction of Dr. Edward M. Perdue, Assistant Professor, Chemistry.

City of Portland
Grant of $7,000 from the City of Portland, Portland, for "special courses in management, business techniques and business financing for minority businesses at the King Neighborhood Facility," March 25, 1976, through March 31, 1978, under the direction of Mr. Lucious Hicks IV, Director, Portland State University Education Center.
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Grant of $182 from the International Research and Exchanges Board, New York, "to support air fare between Belgrade and Warsaw, Poland," May 1 through September 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Thomas Poulsen, Central European Studies Center.

Gift of $685.35 from Mrs. Betty L. Leonard, Laguna Beach, California, for "research partially supported by the Jerome Foundation," January 1 through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Mrs. Betty L. Leonard, Project Director.

Grant of $5,527 from the Northwest Federation for Human Services, Boise, Idaho, for the "conduct of an evaluation of four Head Start demonstration projects," March 5 through June 30, 1976, under the direction of Dr. Arthur Emison, Director, Regional Research Institute.

Grant of $3,000 from the Oregon Arts Commission, Salem, "to support summer workshops in a variety of areas of the Black Fine Arts," June 22 through August 9, 1976, under the direction of Dr. William Harris, Director, Black Studies Center.

Grant of $4,317 from the Oregon Graduate Center, Beaverton, for research involving "Protein isolation and purification," May 1, 1976, through April 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Joann S. Loehr, Assistant Professor of Chemistry.

Grant of $7,500 from Seattle University, Department of Rehabilitation, Seattle, Washington, "to train University personnel and personnel from other agencies who are involved in the placement of severely handicapped individuals," April 1, 1976, through March 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Les James, Director, Regional Rehabilitation Research Institute.

Grants totaling $5,090,263 from the U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D. C., for the following purposes:

1. $7,500 - "To train University personnel and personnel from other agencies who are involved in the placement of severely handicapped individuals," April 1, 1976, through March 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Les James, Assistant Professor of Social Work.

2. $325,000 - "To provide a general assistance center for desegregation problems other than those associated with English language deficiencies of non-English dominant minority group students," July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Ralph Nelsen, Director, General Assistance Center.

3. $95,000 - "To assist the Special Education Department in the training of teachers in the visually handicapped and mentally retarded area," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Keith H. Larson, Head, Department of Special Education.

4. $105,859 - "To provide support for American Indians and Alaskan natives," July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. John D. Spence, Assistant Professor of Social Work.

5. $1,301,980 - "College Work-Study Program," July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Mr. Richard Rankin, Director, Financial Aids.


7. $206,040 - "Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants Program (continuing year awards only)," July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Mr. Richard C. Rankin, Director, Financial Aids.
8. $410,253 - "Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants Program (initial year awards only)," July 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Mr. Richard Rankin, Director, Financial Aids.

Oregon College of Education

Scholarships & Fellowships

Gifts totaling $1,733 from the following donors for scholarships and fellowships:

- Alpha Delta Kappa, Xi Chapter, Salem
- $100.00
- Blackfoot Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation, Browning, Montana
- $100.00
- Oregon Association of Health, Physical Education and Recreation, Portland
- $150.00
- Oregon State Employees Association, Medford
- $210.00
- Pt. Barrow Lions Club, Barrow, Alaska
- $1,175.00

Mrs. I. D. Fissel

Gift of $500 from Mrs. Iva D. Fissel, Danville, Illinois, for "emergency loans," beginning December 1, 1975, under the direction of Mr. R. John Brinegar, Director, Student Financial Aids.

Oregon College of Education Veterans Club

Grant of $768.52 from the Oregon College of Education Veterans Club, Monmouth, for "emergency loans," beginning January 1, 1976, under the direction of Mr. R. John Brinegar, Director, Student Financial Aids.

Oregon State Health Dept.

Grant of $6,225 from the Oregon State Health Department, Portland, "to train nurses in techniques used in family planning, cancer screening, prenatal care, counseling, and general health care for women who are well and only need routine evaluations, exams and/or counsel," May 10 through August 15, 1976, under the direction of Mrs. Betty Orner, Nurse.

U. S. Dept. of Health, Education, and Welfare

Grant of $224,000 from the U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D. C., "to assist local school districts in staffing the diagnostic-prescriptive centers for exceptional children and to train regular educators to use the service," June 1, 1976, through May 31, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Thomas D. Rowland, Professor of Education and Psychology.

U. S. Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands

Grant of $77,029 from the U. S. Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, Saipan, Mariana Islands, "to upgrade the social studies teacher education component in the Community College of Micronesia," June 1, 1976, through August 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Ronald Chatham, Professor of Geography.

Southern Oregon State College

Scholarships & Fellowships

Gifts totaling $29,769.83 from the following donors for scholarships and fellowships:

- Association for Retarded Children, Smithtown, New York
  $ 500.00
- Britt Memorial Scholarship, Medford
  $ 1,500.00
- Bureau of Indian Affairs, Denver, Colorado
  $ 3,000.00
- Bureau of Indian Affairs, Muskogee Area Office, Muskogee, Oklahoma
  $ 5,503.00
- Carpenter Foundation, through Ashland Senior High School, Ashland
  $ 4,247.00
- Carpenter Foundation, through Medford Senior High School, Medford
  $ 1,304.00
- Carpenter Foundation, through Phoenix High School, Phoenix
  $ 1,363.00
- Carpenter Foundation, through School District #6, Central Point
  $ 1,471.00
- Cockerline Memorial Trust Fund, through the U. S. National Bank of Oregon, Portland
  $ 200.00
- Mr. and Mrs. Clifford B. Cardy, Medford
  $ 500.00
- Crater High School, Central Point
  $ 28.67
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Grants totaling $6,300 from the Eastern Oregon State College Foundation, La Grande, for the following purposes:

1. $6,000 - "Branner Library gift," under the direction of Mr. James C. Lundy, Director of Business Services.
2. $300 - "Davison Memorial Library Gift," under the direction of Mr. James C. Lundy, Director of Business Services.

Eastern Oregon State College Foundation

Grants totaling $2,500 from Tektronix Foundation, Beaverton, for the "purchase of a Varian EM-600 Mass Spectrometer," under the direction of Dr. Lloyd Pennington, Professor of Chemistry.

Tektronix Foundation

Scholarships & Fellowships

Gifts totaling $7,832 from the following donors for scholarships and fellowships:

- Bureau of Indian Affairs, Umatilla Agency, Pendleton $ 2,560.00
- Delta Kappa Gamma Society, Zeta Chapter, La Grande 200.00
- Eastern Oregon State College Foundation, La Grande 2,000.00
- General Telephone Company of the Northwest, Inc., La Grande 600.00
- Kopp Memorial Fund, through Eastern Oregon State College Foundation, La Grande 1,000.00
- McKenzie Scholarship Fund, through Eastern Oregon State College Foundation, La Grande 500.00
- Mid-Willamette Valley Alumni 397.00
- Parent Johnson O'Malley Committee, through the Confederated Tribes of Umatilla Reservation, Pendleton 220.00
- U. S. Bancorp, Portland 140.00
- Yakima Tribal Education Committee, Toppenish, Washington 215.00

Eastern Oregon State College

Gifts totaling $7,832 from the following donors for scholarships and fellowships:

- Crater Lions, through Medford Senior High School, Medford $ 132.00
- Delta Kappa Gamma, Medford 150.00
- Kaliulani Home for Girls Grant, through the Hawaiian Trust Company, Limited, Honolulu, Hawaii 250.00
- KOMX 580, Ashland 200.00
- Mrs. Irene Kenney, Hastings, Michigan 100.00
- Martin Luther King Jr. Scholarship Fund of Oregon, Portland 464.00
- Kings Park Fire Department, Kings Park, New York 500.00
- Klamath Falls Kiwanis Club, Klamath Falls 150.00
- Litster Scholarship, through the U. S. National Bank of Oregon, Medford 166.66
- Marshfield Senior High School Scholarship, Coos Bay 100.00
- Medford Rotary, through Medford Senior High School, Medford 383.00
- Joe Mouse Kiwanis Foundation of White City, Inc., Medford 660.00
- Miss Rogue Valley Pageant Scholarship, Ashland 100.00
- National Secretaries Association, Medford 200.00
- Oregon Nurses Association, Medford 200.00
- Oregon State Association of Emblem Clubs, Lincoln City 100.00
- Oregon State University Foundation, Corvallis 200.00
- PEO Sisterhood, Oregon State Chapter, Medford 200.00
- PEO Chapter CG, Irv Mirick, Moore Hamilton Memorial, through Medford Senior High School, Medford 300.00
- Parent Teacher Association Scholarship Loan Committee, through the First National Bank, Seward, Alaska 500.00
- Rogue Valley Memorial Hospital Auxiliary, through Medford Senior High School, Medford 166.00
- Rogue Valley Physicians Service Scholarship, Medford 2,877.50
- Soroptimist Club, Ashland 660.00
- Southwest Forest Industries, Phoenix, Arizona 167.00
- U. S. National Bank, Portland 440.00
- Zonta Club, through Medford Senior High School, Medford 197.00

Eastern Oregon State College

Grants totaling $7,832 from the following donors for scholarships and fellowships:

- Bureau of Indian Affairs, Umatilla Agency, Pendleton $ 2,560.00
- Delta Kappa Gamma Society, Zeta Chapter, La Grande 200.00
- Eastern Oregon State College Foundation, La Grande 2,000.00
- General Telephone Company of the Northwest, Inc., La Grande 600.00
- Kopp Memorial Fund, through Eastern Oregon State College Foundation, La Grande 1,000.00
- McKenzie Scholarship Fund, through Eastern Oregon State College Foundation, La Grande 500.00
- Mid-Willamette Valley Alumni 397.00
- Parent Johnson O'Malley Committee, through the Confederated Tribes of Umatilla Reservation, Pendleton 220.00
- U. S. Bancorp, Portland 140.00
- Yakima Tribal Education Committee, Toppenish, Washington 215.00

Eastern Oregon State College

Grants totaling $6,300 from the Eastern Oregon State College Foundation, La Grande, for the following purposes:

1. $6,000 - "Branner Library gift," under the direction of Mr. James C. Lundy, Director of Business Services.
2. $300 - "Davison Memorial Library Gift," under the direction of Mr. James C. Lundy, Director of Business Services.
Gifts totaling $4,390.66 from the following donors for scholarships and fellowships:

- Alsea High School Student Body, Alsea: $100.00
- Elmer Bankus Memorial Scholarship Funds, Brookings: $234.00
- Central Council of Tlingit and Haida Indians of Alaska, Juneau, Alaska: $200.00
- Elks Lodge No. 823, Vancouver, Washington: $200.00
- Gilmore Steel Scholarship, through the Oregon State Scholarship Commission, Eugene: $200.00
- Langmack Scholarship Fund, Sweet Home: $300.00
- Litster Scholarship, through the U.S. National Bank of Oregon, Medford: $410.00
- Minnesota Chippewa Tribe, Cass Lake, Minnesota: $350.00
- Neighbors of Woodcraft, Portland: $100.00
- Oregon State Employees Association Scholarship, Eugene: $100.00
- Oregon State University Foundation Scholarship, Corvallis: $300.00
- Dr. Stearns Memorial Scholarship, through Presbyterian Intercommunity Hospital, Klamath Falls: $400.00
- Tulelake Kiwanis Community Hunt Club, Tulelake, California: $166.66
- Weyerhaeuser Company, Klamath Falls: $500.00
- Women's Guild of the Presbyterian Intercommunity Hospital, Klamath Falls: $830.00

Grant of $40,000 from Eugene Television, Inc., Eugene, for "operation of KVDO," May 7, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. Donald Bryant, Executive Director, Oregon Educational and Public Broadcasting Service.

Grant of $1,076.58 from the Lake Oswego Welcome Wagon Club, Lake Oswego, for "program enrichment," under the direction of Dr. Donald Bryant, Executive Director, Oregon Educational and Public Broadcasting Service.

Grant of $95,000 from the Oregon State Department of Education, Salem, "to develop a program of statewide leadership in bilingual/bicultural education for the State of Oregon," March 1, 1976, through June 30, 1977, under the direction of Dr. William Moore, Associate Research Professor and Project Director.

Mr. Layman announced that the next regular Board meeting would be held on September 28, 1976, on the campus of Oregon College of Education, Monmouth, Oregon. It was indicated that it would be necessary for the July 20 Board meeting to be adjourned until August 24, 1976, for the purpose of final adoption of the budget.

The Board's Committees will meet on August 24, 1976, at Portland State University, Portland, Oregon.

Mr. Layman called for the report of the nominating committee from Mr. Ingalls, chairman of the committee.

Mr. Ingalls placed the following names in nomination for the positions indicated: Mr. Louis B. Perry, President; Mr. Edward C. Harms, Jr., Vice President; and Mr. Loran L. Stewart, third member of the Executive Committee.

Mr. Layman asked if there were any further nominations.
Mr. Joss moved that the nominations for the third member of the Executive Committee be closed and that the Secretary be instructed to cast a unanimous ballot for Mr. Stewart for that position. The Board approved the motion, with the following voting in favor: Directors Carpenter, Feves, Ingalls, Joss, Maden, McIntyre, McLaurin, Perry, and Layman. Those voting no: None. Director Stewart was absent from the meeting at this time.

Mrs. Carpenter moved that the nominations for Vice President be closed and that the Secretary be instructed to cast a unanimous ballot for Mr. Harms for that position. The Board approved the motion, with the following voting in favor: Directors Carpenter, Feves, Harms, Ingalls, Joss, Maden, McIntyre, McLaurin, Perry, and Layman. Those voting no: None. Director Stewart was absent from the meeting at this time.

Mr. Harms moved that the nominations for President be closed and that the Secretary be instructed to cast a unanimous ballot for Mr. Perry for that position. The Board approved the motion, with the following voting in favor: Directors Carpenter, Harms, Ingalls, Joss, Maden, McIntyre, McLaurin, Perry, and Layman. Those voting no: None. Director Stewart was absent from the meeting at this time.

Mr. Layman said that he was leaving the Board after 23 years of continuous part-time state service--10 years in the Legislative Assembly and 13 years on the Board. He expressed his appreciation to present and past Board members, to the Chancellor and his staff, and to the institutional executives, for their cooperation during the years Mr. Layman served on the Board, and particularly during his six years as president. Mr. Layman commented that he had been on the Board throughout almost all of Dr. Lieuallen's tenure as Chancellor and said it had been a very nice professional relationships and a personal pleasure to work with him. Mr. Layman said he and the Chancellor may at times have taken different positions, but recognizing different viewpoints is the important thing, not uniformity of action.

In the future, Mr. Layman said, there will be many problems. The question of governance will be paramount in the coming session, as it has been in the past. There will undoubtedly be proposals for governing boards above and below the Board of Higher Education, and perhaps governing boards at the institutions. This development should be watched closely because, while coordination and cooperation is important, duplication of judgmental decisions by another board above the Board of Higher Education on matters which are within the latter's responsibility is not good for the state as a whole. Many people, even in the Legislature, may not realize that the Board of Higher Education is in itself a coordinating board, having replaced several other boards when it was created.

Mr. Layman then presented the gavel to Mr. Perry and congratulated him on his appointment. Mr. Perry said Mr. Perry was uniquely qualified for the position, having served as president of Whitman College and having an important position in the business and financial world.

Mr. Perry said the Board would miss the three individuals who will be leaving the Board at the completion of their term of service. He described briefly the background and service of Mr. Maden, Mr. Joss, and Mr. Layman. With the loss of these individuals, he said, the Board is losing a great deal of judgment and advice, but fortunately it will be possible to consult with them.

Mr. Perry said he had reservations about accepting the office of president for several reasons, among them the fact that he had been on the Board for only a little more than a year. Secondly, he was concerned that the task required more of a legal mind than he possessed, particularly as the Board becomes involved increasingly in regulations and rules. Finally, it takes a great deal of time to serve effectively. However, Board members and the Chancellor's staff have indicated a willingness to cooperate fully in making the Board as outstanding during the coming year as it has been in the past.
Mr. Perry said he would be most interested to insure that the Board operates with candor, honesty, and integrity and with an effort to reconcile the best efforts of all concerned. It must be recognized that perfection will not be achieved, but the Board members and staff will apply their best thinking and effort to the task. Mr. Perry said the Board will do its best to represent the citizens of Oregon and represent them effectively in working with students, faculty, and others who want to see the State System continue its present enviable position in higher education in this country.

ADJOURNMENT & RECONVENING DATE

At 3:25 P.M., the Board voted to adjourn the meeting until August 24, 1976, on which date the Board will reconvene at 10:30 A.M. in Smith Memorial Center, Portland State University, Portland, Oregon.

George H. Layman, President

D. R. Larson, Secretary
AN INTERIM AGREEMENT BETWEEN
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY AND THE OREGON STATE BOARD OF HIGHER EDUCATION
TO ESTABLISH A SHARED CURRICULUM IN VETERINARY MEDICINE

THIS INTERIM AGREEMENT is made and entered into by and between
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY, an institution of higher education and an
agency of the State of Washington, hereinafter sometimes referred to as "WSU," and THE OREGON STATE BOARD OF HIGHER EDUCATION, acting for Oregon State University, hereinafter sometimes referred to as "OSU."

Recitals

(1) This agreement is entered into pursuant to the Washington Interlocal Cooperation Act, Chapter 239, Laws of 1967 (Chapter 39.34 Revised Code of Washington) and Oregon Interstate Cooperation Act, ORS 190.410 through 190.440, and in accordance with the Western Interstate Compact for Higher Education, which has been ratified by the legislatures of both Washington and Oregon.

(2) There presently exists a critical and growing shortage of persons professionally trained to serve as veterinarians in the states of Washington, Idaho, and Oregon, and generally throughout the nation, at a time when demand for these professional services is increasing.

(3) There exists a need, therefore, for additional facilities suitable to properly educate and prepare students for the veterinary profession, to meet the public's needs and demands, and to further essential medical research.

(4) Washington State University's College of Veterinary Medicine located on its Pullman campus has a need for certain additional facilities and resources, particularly clinic material in dairy, food animal, aquatic and marine animal, and small herd practice to augment its on-going program; and Oregon State University is desirous of participating in a curriculum in veterinary medicine utilizing the teaching facilities and professional personnel at its Corvallis campus where possible and minimizing costs of new physical facilities.

(5) Both Washington State University and Oregon State University are desirous of combining resources to expand the shared curriculum in veterinary medicine designed to improve the ability of each to meet the needs alluded to above, to provide for joint use of facilities of the two institutions. Each has determined that undertaking the shared
curriculum program will promote the most efficient use of the resources available to each institution.

(6) The parties recognize that the activities pursuant to this agreement represent, in many respects, pioneering efforts in interstate and interinstitutional relationships, and that modifications or amendments to this agreement may be necessary from time to time as unforeseen problems arise.

(7) Washington State University and the University of Idaho, hereinafter sometimes referred to as "U of I," have previously entered into an agreement effective July 1, 1974, for the purpose of sharing the curriculum in veterinary medicine to expand the capacity of the Washington State University College of Veterinary Medicine.

(8) The Oregon State Board of Higher Education is authorized by ORS 352.285 to enter into agreements on behalf of Oregon State University with the Board of Regents of Washington State University for cooperative development of the shared curriculum program in veterinary medicine and to plan for a veterinary clinical facility at Oregon State University, as part of the shared curriculum program.

(9) This interim agreement is entered into for the purpose of facilitating the entry of Oregon certified students into the shared curriculum program during the period necessary for planning a veterinary clinic facility at Oregon State University and the final development of course offerings at each of the three institutions. Provisions of this interim agreement are expected to differ materially from provisions of a later agreement concerned with the final development of the program.

(10) The Board of Regents of Washington State University by resolution adopted at its meeting held _______________________, has approved and authorized the terms of this agreement.

(11) The Oregon State Board of Higher Education by resolution adopted at its meeting held _______________________, has approved and authorized the terms of this agreement.
NOW, THEREFORE, it is agreed as follows:

I. ESTABLISHMENT OF A SHARED CURRICULUM

WSU and OSU hereby agree to engage in a shared curriculum in veterinary medicine on an interim basis and in accordance with the terms of this agreement. WSU has previously entered into a similar shared curriculum agreement with the University of Idaho. The shared curriculum shall be administered by the Washington State University College of Veterinary Medicine as provided in provision V and will function as a single college for accreditation and overall administrative purposes.

II. PURPOSE

This agreement is entered into for the purpose of expanding the shared curriculum in veterinary medicine to improve the capacity of the WSU College of Veterinary Medicine and to increase the number of Washington, Idaho, and Oregon residents admitted to the veterinary program; and to enable continued opportunities for education in veterinary medicine for WICHE students from other states. Further, it provides an affiliation among WSU, OSU, and U of I to make more efficient use of resources available in each of the three states for veterinary medicine education.

The principal benefits to be derived by the parties are expected to be:

A. An increased supply of veterinarians.

B. Increased opportunities for education in veterinary medicine for residents of Washington, Idaho, and Oregon, and continued opportunities for residents of other northwest states.

C. Through coordination to enhance on each campus the programs of research, extension, and continuing education relating to veterinary medicine and allied fields.

D. Greater clinical exposure to the various aspects of veterinary medicine because of the availability of increased clinical material.

E. A broader base of support for a college of veterinary medicine making possible greater regional and federal assistance.

F. Increased efficiency brought about through joint use of faculty members with specialty fields and decreasing the need for duplicate facilities at the separate campuses.

G. Strengthening the accreditation status of the WSU College of Veterinary Medicine.
II. DURATION

This agreement shall be effective July 1, 1976. The agreement will be reviewed from time to time and may be amended in accordance with provision XVII. The agreement may be terminated by either party upon due notice in accordance with the terms of provision XIII or by execution of an on-going agreement executed on behalf of WSU, OSU, and the U of I; or automatically on July 1, 1979, whichever event occurs first.

IV. OBLIGATIONS OF THE PARTIES - AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS

It is recognized by the parties that the obligations of each assumed by this agreement are subject to the availability of funds provided therefor by legislative and other funding allocation authorities of each state. In the event of termination by either party, WSU will allow those students already admitted to the veterinary medicine program pursuant to the terms of this agreement to continue until graduation; provided that OSU continues to provide teaching contributions, acceptable to the WSU College of Veterinary Medicine dean, equivalent to $10,000 or to pay $10,000 in cash to WSU per certified Oregon student receiving training for an academic year at WSU's College of Veterinary Medicine.

V. ADMINISTRATION

The Washington State University Board of Regents will be the legal governing body of the shared curriculum program. The Oregon State Board of Higher Education will continue the functions presently assigned to it regarding approval of appointments at OSU, requesting state funds for OSU's share of the costs, and general university policy regarding OSU's participation in the program.

The program will be considered a single college for accreditation purposes with components of the program and a dean at the separate campuses of OSU, U of I, and WSU. For the purpose of administering this program, the dean at WSU will serve as the executive dean.

The committees on admission and curriculum, working cooperatively with the OSU and U of I deans, will be joint committees with representatives from the three universities, WSU, OSU, and the U of I, included in their membership. An associate or assistant dean or deans at WSU will be responsible for the administration of these areas of the program.

All courses for which credit is claimed as a teaching contribution to the sharing of costs must be approved by the joint curriculum committee
or other appropriate committee. Consideration for approval will include a review of the course content and qualifications of the proposed instructor by the curriculum committee and the usual approvals by established academic review procedures on the respective campuses. Final selection of courses and course instructors will be made by the deans. It is recognized that each dean, in the performance of his function, is subject to the requirements established by his governing board.

VI. FACILITIES

Washington State University will provide the office, classroom, laboratory, diagnostic, and clinic facilities presently available or to be constructed at Pullman. Oregon State University will provide office, laboratory, classroom, diagnostic, and clinic facilities on the OSU campus in support of the shared curriculum program. OSU will continue its plans to construct a hospital-clinic facility on the OSU campus to further support the program. By separate agreement the U of I has provided assurances that facilities will be constructed for use by the shared curriculum program.

VII. FACULTY

The procedure for hiring OSU faculty for this program will include consultation with the deans on each campus on the following matters: (1) subject to be taught; (2) job description for the position; and (3) review of candidates. As between the parties, however, the OSU dean will have the final decision on hiring where the employee will be based on the OSU campus pursuant to the rules and procedures established by the Oregon State Board of Higher Education. Affirmative action and other personnel policies of OSU will be followed.

The OSU dean will be responsible for evaluating the teaching performance periodically, and for taking action as required with regard to all personnel matters relating to OSU faculty or employees participating in the shared curriculum program on the OSU campus including termination, tenure awards or denials, promotions, salary adjustments, and similar matters.

Hiring and evaluation practices at WSU and U of I will also be accomplished by the aforementioned system with deans on the respective campuses having authority and responsibility to act relative to personnel matters involving faculty or employees participating in the shared curriculum program.
VIII. FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITIES OF OSU

A. Facilities to be provided will be as provided in provision VI above.

B. Operational funding shall be provided by each state. WSU will continue to provide operational funding for its faculty. OSU will provide operational funding in support of the shared curriculum program in the amount of $120,000 for the fiscal year 1977-78 and $240,000 for the fiscal year 1978-79.

1. Distribution of OSU funding. The distribution of OSU funding to support the shared curriculum program on the WSU campus is projected as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>WSU</th>
<th>OSU</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1977-78</td>
<td>$96,000</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978-79</td>
<td>192,000</td>
<td>48,000</td>
<td>240,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Final distribution of the above totals may be made to reflect changes in teaching effort at each location as recommended by the curriculum committee and approved by the OSU and WSU deans.

2. OSU faculty with professional rank and residents may be employed by OSU as provided in provision VII, and may be assigned for duty at either the WSU College of Veterinary Medicine at Pullman or the OSU teaching program in veterinary medicine at Corvallis. Faculty, residents, lecturers, postdoctoral teaching associates, interns, technicians, and classified staff to serve on the WSU campus may be employed by WSU. Posts of duty and the amount of funds to be transferred to WSU for classified staff, related benefits, supplies, animals, equipment, and other teaching costs will be agreed upon in writing prior to the beginning of each fiscal year. Funds will be transferred to WSU on or before August 1 of each year as indicated in provision VIII B 1 above.

The following OSU costs will not be considered as support required by this provision:

- Research
- Service to livestock owners or practicing veterinarians
- Administrative costs in excess of 15% of the total contributed operational funding
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Maintenance and use costs of facilities

Indirect costs

It is recognized by the parties that the obligations of each assumed by
this agreement are subject to the availability of funds provided therefor
by legislative and other funding allocation authorities of each state.

C. Tuition and Fees

Student tuition and fees will be paid by Oregon students and col-
lected at the time of registration by WSU. Students who are admitted
under the program established by this agreement will pay tuition at the
rate applicable to Washington residents. The operating fees as defined
by RCW 28B.15.031 will be returned to OSU. General tuition fees estab-
lished by RCW 28B.15.300 and service and activities fees, as defined by
RCW 28B.15.041 will be retained at WSU.

D. Option for Admitting Other Students

If fewer students from the State of Oregon have the required qual-
ifications to be admitted to the College of Veterinary Medicine than con-
templated pursuant to this agreement, WSU shall have the option of ad-
mitting students from Washington or from other states participating in
the exchange program previously established by the Western Interstate
Commission for Higher Education. If positions are made available and
utilized in this manner, WSU will transmit to OSU the revenue from WICHE
received by WSU or the equivalent amount if a Washington student is ad-
mitted under this provision.

In the event that insufficient numbers of qualified Oregon students
are available to meet the quota for a given year, the support level as
provided in provision VIII B above will not be reduced.

E. Overhead

Neither university will include administrative overhead costs in
equalizing instructional costs and contributions.

F. Federal Appropriations for Instructional Purposes

Federal capitation grant funds, allocated on the basis of student
enrollment, will be used to enrich the teaching program by defraying
costs for instructional faculty and staff, including preceptors. Equip-
ment and building modifications to improve the teaching program will
also be considered as appropriate enrichment items. These funds will be
allocated as determined by the deans in concert in accordance with the
guidelines issued by the federal granting agency. Applications for this
federal support will be submitted by WSU, upon recommendation of the U of I and OSU deans of veterinary medicine, and allocation of funds granted will be in accordance with the same procedure.

IX. STUDENTS

A. Number

Up to 12 first-year veterinary medicine students, who have been certified by the Oregon State Board of Higher Education and who have the required qualifications as provided in IX C below, will be admitted to the WSU College of Veterinary Medicine in each of the academic years 1977-78 and 1978-79. For the period of this contract the maximum numbers for each year are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>First Year</th>
<th>Second Year</th>
<th>Third Year</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1977-78</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978-79</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Currently Enrolled Students

Oregon students enrolled prior to the 1977-78 academic year under the student exchange program previously established by WICHE will continue to be enrolled and funded under that program.

C. Selection of Students

All applicants who have been certified by the Oregon State Board of Higher Education will submit applications to the WSU Office of Admissions for initial processing and transmittal to the Associate Dean for Admissions, College of Veterinary Medicine, WSU. These applications will be made available to the appropriate screening or advisory committee. Prior to forwarding the applications to the committee, the Associate Dean for Admissions will be responsible for checking the application for completeness, fulfillment of the preveterinary requirements and confirming the fact that the student applicant meets the standards established by the faculty of the College of Veterinary Medicine, WSU. The screening or advisory committee of the State of Oregon will review the application, may rank the individual applicant according to qualifications, and will submit its evaluation to the admissions committee of the College of Veterinary Medicine, WSU.

The admissions committee of the program will be chaired by the Associate Dean for Admissions. The committee will be composed of up to
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four members: one to be appointed by the U of I dean from the U of I faculty, one to be appointed by the OSU dean from the OSU faculty, and the balance to be appointed by the WSU executive dean.

If, after conferring with the screening or advisory committee, the admissions committee determines that there are fewer than 12 students who meet the required qualifications, the admissions committee shall not be compelled to admit the full contract quota.

In the event that sufficient numbers of qualified and certified students from Oregon are not available to meet the established quota for a given year, the contributed support level from Oregon will not be reduced.

D. Admission Criteria

1. Academic Record

   (a) Each applicant must supply satisfactory evidence of completion of all preveterinary requirements, as stated in the current WSU catalog, by the end of the current academic year.

   (b) A minimum overall grade point average of 3.00 (on a 4.00 system), covering academic work comparable to WSU standards, will be required for consideration for admission. This must include a minimum basic biological and physical science GPA of 3.00. Exempted from this rule may be students who have shown outstanding improvement over the last two years of academic work, i.e. performance at the 3.50 level over this period. Graduate performance will be scrutinized especially carefully both in terms of work load and total course load, since graduate course grades generally fall only in the A-B category. In all cases a student must provide sufficient evidence that he can meet the challenge of a full course load, an average of 15 credit hours per term.

2. Veterinary Medical Exposure

   In order to gain serious consideration, an applicant should have been employed by, worked on a volunteer basis for, or by some other means gained significant contact with one or more graduate veterinarians. Significant contact will be establishe
in terms of information provided in favorable letter of evaluation from such veterinarian. Veterinary contact in connection with normal farming or ranching operations or in treatment of applicant's pets will be considered beneficial but, by itself, may be insufficient to meet the terms of this particular criterion. Additional animal experience will improve the applicant's credentials.

3. Predictive Variables
In the selection process, the committee will give attention to those predictive variables which have been shown to be of value in determining the future contribution of the applicants to the areas of anticipated need within the profession.

4. Maturity
Candidates should provide evidence of leadership and community responsibility and have strong recommendations from credible respondents regarding honesty, responsibility, capability, and other desirable traits.

5. Application Form
Candidates must complete the application form in a clear and concise manner.

6. Interview
Candidates must demonstrate during the interview that they are articulate, poised, and well-motivated toward a career in veterinary medicine.

7. Recommendation Forms
Each applicant should have three recommendation forms submitted to the admissions committee as an aid in an evaluation of personal traits.

8. At any time during the term of this agreement additions to or changes in criteria can be adopted on recommendation of the admissions committee and approval by the faculty and by the WSU Senate.

X. CURRICULUM
A. Courses Taught at Oregon State University during the Academic Year
Courses approved by the curriculum committee and the executive dean
may be offered as part of the shared curriculum program on the OSU campus during the academic years 1978 and 1979.

B. Special Courses Taught at OSU

The offering of fourth-year clinical blocks in advanced surgery and preventive medicine, to be taught on an externship basis during the 1976 summer session, has been recommended by the curriculum committee and approved by the deans. Students enrolled in the shared curriculum in veterinary medicine who elect to take such approved special elective courses in veterinary medicine taught at OSU will pay fees established and collected by OSU. Costs of presenting such courses will be borne by OSU in addition to the funding to be provided under provision VIII B above, and fees will be retained by OSU.

C. Graduate Program

Programs leading to graduate degrees will not be operated under this proposal. However, WSU will continue to offer the programs of studies under veterinary sciences leading to the degrees of Master of Science and Doctor of Philosophy, and the U of I will continue to offer the program of studies in veterinary science leading to the degree of Master of Science. Members of the U of I, OSU, and WSU faculties may be appointed to the graduate faculty of the other institutions according to existing policies and regulations. Some research may be performed conjointly, and selected thesis problems may be conducted in several locations, including locations in Idaho and Oregon.

XI. AWARDING OF DEGREES

The degree of Doctor of Veterinary Medicine will be conferred as a program degree by the Board of Regents of WSU as the accredited university. However, each participating university may transmit the degree to its students at the time of its individual commencement exercises.

XII. CONTINUING REVIEW OF THE PROGRAM

U of I, OSU, and WSU will jointly and in conjunction with appropriate state officials of Idaho, Oregon, and Washington conduct continuing cost and effectiveness studies of the shared curriculum program. Changes in the terms of this contract indicated by such studies will be made by amendment as provided by provision XVII.

XIII. TERMINATION

This agreement may be terminated by either party at any time, provi
that at least 120 days' advance notice in writing is delivered to the
other party, with the understanding that students then in the program
will be allowed to complete their programs of instruction in accordance
with provision IV of this agreement.

XIV. NONDISCRIMINATION

Both Washington State University and Oregon State University sub-
scribe to equal opportunity and nondiscrimination national and state
laws, regulations, and policies, and agree not to discriminate against
any applicant for admission or any employee or applicant for employment
because of race, creed, color, national origin, sex, or age.

The nondiscrimination clause contained in Section 202, Executive
Order 11246, as amended by Executive Order 11375, relative to Equal
Employment Opportunity for all persons without regard to race, color,
religion, national origin, sex, marital status, age, or the presence of
any sensory, mental, or physical handicap, and the implementing rules
and regulations prescribed by the U.S. Secretary of Labor, Washington
State Human Rights Commission, and the Oregon State Bureau of Labor,
Civil Rights Division, are incorporated herein.

XV. EFFECTIVE DATE AND FILING REQUIREMENTS

Copies of this agreement shall be filed with the Whitman County
Auditor, the Secretary of State of Washington, and the Office of the
Chancellor of the Oregon State Department of Higher Education prior to
its entry into force. The agreement shall be effective upon execution
by the parties, accomplishment of all filing requirements, and approval
required by law.

XVI. NOTICES

All notices, payments, requests, consents, approvals, or other
communications which may be required under this contract shall be given
as follows:

A. Notice or payments to Washington State University: Dr. Glenn
Terrell, President, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington 99163,
or to his successor in office.

B. Notice to Oregon State Board of Higher Education: Dr. Roy E.
Lieuallen, Chancellor, Oregon State Board of Higher Education, P.O. Box
3175, Eugene, Oregon 97403, or to his successor in office.
XVII. AMENDMENTS

This agreement may be amended by mutual agreement executed in writing by the officials executing this agreement, or their successors, and appended herewith.

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

By ___________________________

OREGON STATE BOARD OF HIGHER EDUCATION

By ___________________________

Approved:

WESTERN INTERSTATE COMMISSION FOR HIGHER EDUCATION

By ___________________________

Accepted for Purposes of the Shared Curriculum:

UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO

By ___________________________
PREAMBLE

The Student Incidental Fee as approved by the Oregon State Board of Higher Education in 1945 is to provide "for cultural and physical development" of the students. Students who pay the fees properly expect to be involved in the decisions affecting the development of the appropriate programs and services as well as in their respective levels of support. The purpose of this report is to outline the authority, conditions, and criteria under which students shall participate in decisions on budgeting, allocations, and determination of incidental fee income.

The provisions outlined herein follow from the Board's delegation of authority, and assignment of responsibility to the institutional executive for administering the affairs of the institution in accordance with the Board's policies, plans, budgets and standards; and the Board's policy that representatives of duly-organized and recognized student government shall (1) participate with the institutional executive in the formulation of guidelines and procedures for budgeting, allocation, and amount of incidental fee income to be derived at the institution, and (2) shall participate in the decisions on budgetary, allocation, and determination of incidental fee income based upon said guidelines.

Based on the Board's delegation, President Clark delegates to ASUO control over distribution of incidental fee income used in the "major" and "minor" activities subject to the conditions, criteria and procedures outlined in the remainder of the statement.

A. DELEGATION OF RESPONSIBILITY TO ASUO INCIDENTAL FEE COMMITTEE

The Associated Student Incidental Fee Committee shall represent the students of the University in making the general decisions affecting student recommendations to the University President and State Board of Higher Education concerning the levels of incidental fee support for programs affecting the cultural and physical development of the students.

B. CRITERIA FOR ALLOCATION RECOMMENDATIONS

For the purposes of allocating incidental fees, the programs and activities receiving such support shall be divided into Major Budget Activities and Minor Budget Activities.

Those programs considered Major Budget Activities are: The Athletic Department, the Erb Memorial Union, the Associated Students and University programs. Programs within the Associated Students and University programs are classified as Minor Budget Activities. Within both categories are certain programs which have been "traditionally" funded.

General Criteria

Should the ASUO deem it necessary or advisable to make substantial decreases in the level of incidental fee support for either Major Budget Activities or traditionally funded activities the following criteria shall hold:
C. INCIDENTAL FEE LEVEL

Incidental fees may be increased or decreased from the 1971-72 level on the prior year's level by the ASUO Incidental Fee Committee upon review of the proposed incidental fee budgets and upon being convinced of the necessity and worth of the proposed increase or decrease in the budget. Any such changes shall be consistent with Board policy and Oregon Statutes.

D. PROCEDURES FOR BUDGET DEVELOPMENT

In order for the Associated Student Incidental Fee Committee to be kept fully aware of the budgetary situation in the Athletic Department, the Erb Memorial Union and Educational Activities, and the Associated Students Executive and Program Offices, and further, so that the Associated Student Incidental Fee Committee can develop and maintain a well founded basis for making responsible budgetary recommendations to the President and to the State Board of Higher Education, procedures will be developed so that representatives of the three incidental fee areas will be able to meet with the Incidental Fee Committee at periodic intervals to discuss both short and long range implications of their programs and budgets.

At the beginning of each calendar year the President of the University, after consultation with the Associated Students Executive Office, will set a date at which time he wants recommendations from the ASUO on levels of incidental fee support for the various programs thus funded. At that time, the Incidental Fee Committee will establish schedules for fiscal committee hearings and Incidental Fee Committee review of the budgets and set appropriate deadlines for the Department of Athletics and the Erb Memorial Union and Educational Activities (including ASUO) to submit their budgets and needed levels of incidental fee support to the ASUO with a copy to the President of the University.

Upon receipt of the proposed budgets, prior to the Incidental Fee Committee fiscal recommendations, the University President (or his representative) and ASUO shall consult as they deem appropriate regarding development of the budget.

After scheduled reviews and recommendations by the ASUO Incidental Fee Committee, the President of the Associated Students will transmit the incidental fee budget to the President of the University for his review (or that of his representative) of the Incidental Fee Allocations to the Major Budget Activity areas to insure compliance with the guidelines and statutory requirements; and for compliance in respect to the Minor and traditionally funded areas. In instances where question exists regarding compliance, the President (or his representative) shall send a report of his findings to the Incidental Fee Committee for its review and further action deemed necessary. ASUO shall maintain proper fiscal control, and all expenditures of incidental fee income and projections of any income shall be subject to audit by the University Business Office. Upon completion of the appropriate reviews and establishment of said compliance the University President will submit to the O.S.B.H.E. the ASUO recommendation for incidental fee distribution.
1) The ASUO and the University shall recognize and provide for orderly withdrawal of support, including satisfaction of existing contractual obligations.

2) The ASUO and the University shall obtain alternate sources of funding, except that the University President in measuring the situation may allow the reduction without alternate funding in whole or part if he feels the circumstances so warrant. Fiscal justifiable projections of future income that can be expected as an offset to the activity in question may be considered to meet this "criterion" where phasing or change is being planned in advance.

Specific Criteria

There shall be no specific budgetary limitations placed on changes in Minor Budget Activities. (Other than application of the General Criteria to traditional activities.)

For the purposes of reducing incidental fee support to Major Budget Activities, the following specific criteria will apply:

A decrease in the level of incidental fee support of Major Budget Activities shall not exceed 10% of the preceding year's allocation. Ensuing consecutive reductions in the same activity will require the review and approval of the University President.

The 10% limitation may be exceeded if the particular proposed budgetary change is submitted to the student body on a referendum with at least one-third of the student body voting and is approved by a simple majority of the votes cast. Changes in the support following the provisions of this paragraph are subject to the General Criteria. The ASUO shall establish guidelines so that the candidate's position of fee distribution will become a part of the election platforms.

These criteria in no way are meant to preclude those responsible for a Major Budget Activity from voluntarily reducing their incidental fee subsidization beyond 10% provided that existing contractual obligations shall be met.

As a partial means of helping to realize sound fiscal management, the ASUO shall annually budget for a contingency fund in order to meet emergencies, under realized enrollment, or any other contingencies. Over realized fee income will become a part of the contingency reserve. The fund will be carried over from one fiscal year to the next or allocated to budget activities as deemed necessary by the ASUO and approved by the President. Because certain contractual obligations and program commitments of the departments have major implications on the level of incidental fee support needed for maintaining existing levels, it is deemed necessary that all significant contractual arrangements and/or program alterations not reflected in existing or previous budgets need to be approved in accordance with the regularly defined University-ASUO procedures used for budget development. This provision does not exclude any needed University administrative approval.
If after completion of all existing procedural steps regarding the budget requests, non-ASUO agencies feel that disagreement exists as to the utilization of proper procedures, representatives of the President's Office may consult with the appropriate department personnel and the ASUO to assure that all procedures are both understood and adhered to.

If they deem necessary, the ASUO Executive Office or Incidental Fee Committee shall have the opportunity to submit a statement to the O.S.B.H.E. supporting their recommendations.

This document and the delegation herein may be withdrawn or revised at annual intervals by the President or at the initiative of the ASUO after consultation between the parties.
BUDGETING OF STUDENT FEES
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

Section 1. Student Fees are collected in addition to the tuition established by the Oregon State Board of Higher Education pursuant to ORS 351.070. ORS 351.070 provides that:

"(1) The State Board of Higher Education may, for each institution under its control:

..."

(c) Prescribe fees for enrollment into the institutions. Such enrollment fees shall include tuition for education and general services, and such other charges found by the board to be necessary to carry out its educational programs.

(d) Prescribe the incidental fees for programs under the supervision or control of the board found by the board, upon its own motion or upon recommendation of the recognized student government of the institution concerned, to be advantageous to the cultural or physical development of students.

(e) Upon the recommendation of the recognized student government, collect optional fees authorized by the institutional executive, for student activities not included in paragraph (c) or (d) of this subsection. The payment of such fees shall be at the option and selection of the student and shall not be a prerequisite of enrollment."

Fees collected at Oregon State University include a building fee; Health Service Fee; Incidental Fee covering operation of the Memorial Union, Educational Activities, Men's & Women's Intercollegiate Athletics and Physical Recreation, and at times optional fees. All fees support various student activity programs and services, and students who pay these fees rightly deserve optimum input into determining the services, programs and level of support provided.

The provisions outlined herein follow from the Board's delegation of authority and assignment of responsibility to the institutional executive for administering the affairs of the institution in accordance with the Board's policies, plans, budgets and standards, and the Board's policy that representatives of duly organized and recognized student government shall: (1) participate with the institutional executive in the formulation of guidelines and procedures for budgeting, allocation and amount of student fee income to be derived at the institutions, and (2) participate in the decisions of budgetary allocation, and determination of student fee income based upon said guidelines.

Section 2. Role of the Student Fees Committee; Membership.

A. The Student Fees Committee shall be responsible for establishing a calendar for budget review, conducting a budget investigation, conducting a public hearing on all Major Budgets and final recommendations within the below stated guidelines. Following Senate action (and mediation between the Student Fees Committee and the
ASOSU Executive Committee if necessary), budgets are forwarded from the Student Fees Committee to the University President.

B. The Student Fees Committee shall be composed of the ASOSU President, SU President, ASOSU Educational Activities Chairperson, Dean of Students, Dean of Administration, Dir. of Budgets and Personnel, and three (3) students elected at large. The Committee shall elect its own chairperson.

Section 3. Role of ASOSU Senate

A. Senate shall receive orientation of the Student Fee Budgeting process each fall term. Winter term Senate shall be presented with specific fee budgeting information and an outline of the procedures, timetables, etc., of the student fee process.

B. Final budgetary recommendations for student fees shall be presented to the University President by the Student Fees Committee after action by ASOSU Senate. Senate's action shall be to either approve or reject the Student Fees Committee's recommendation on each Major Budget. In the case of rejection of budgets, ASOSU Senate shall return the budget to the Student Fees Committee with specified objections. The ASOSU Executive Committee shall then meet in a joint session with the Student Fees Committee to develop a compromise agreement.

Section 4. Budgetary Procedures

A. Major and Minor Budgets. For budgeting purposes a distinction shall be made between Major and Minor Budgets.

i. 'Major Budgets' shall include Student Health Service, Memorial Union, Educational Activities, Physical Recreation, Men's Intercollegiate Athletics, and Women's Intercollegiate Athletics.

ii. 'Minor Budgets' shall designate budgetary units of the Major Budgets, e.g., Student Publications under Educational Activities and Dixon Recreation Center under Physical Recreation.

B. Calendar of Events

i. By October 1, of each academic year the Student Fees Committee shall notify each budgetary board of the calendar of events to be followed for summer term fee deliberations. The calendar shall include: the date for Minor Budgets to be submitted to Major Budgeting Boards, date for Major Budgets to be submitted to Student Fees Committee, date for Student Fees Committee's public hearing on the Major Budgets. A tentative level of summer term student fees shall be set by December 15th for transmission to the Director.

ii. By January 15 of each academic year the Student Fees Committee shall notify each budgeting board of the calendar of events to be followed during that year. The Calendar shall include: the
date for Minor Budgets to be submitted to Major Budgeting Boards, date for Major Budgets to be submitted to Student Fees Committee, dates for Student Fees Committee's public hearings on Major Budgets, date for Student Fees Committee's submission to ASOSU Senate, and date for submission to the Institutional Executive.

C. Student Fees Coordinator

Student Fees Committee shall appoint a Student Fees Coordinator (appointment shall come at the beginning of Winter Term, and the individual need not be a member of the Student Fees Committee).

It shall be the responsibility of the Student Fees Coordinator to keep the Major Budget agencies informed of the schedule and to coordinate the budget procedures and hearings.

D. Public Hearings

The Major Budgeting Boards will hold open hearings on their budgets; the dates of these meetings will be publicized.

All meetings of the Student Fees Committee, except those pertaining to personnel matters, shall be open to the public and previously publicized in the Barometer. Sources of information such as survey results (both independent and results of efforts by the Summer Term office) shall be actively sought.

Section 5. Budgetary Guidelines

A. All allocation of Student Fees must follow state statutory and Oregon State Board of Higher Education guidelines and in no way shall their collection or use violate the guaranteed rights of any person under the Constitution of the United States.

B. In the case that the Student Fees Committee or ASOSU Senate deems it necessary or advisable to make substantial decreases in the level of student fee support for any Major Budget program the following guidelines shall be followed:

i. Recognition and provision for orderly withdrawal of support must be given including satisfaction of existing contractual obligations which must be provided.

ii. Alternate sources of funding shall be provided for except that the University President in measuring the situation may allow the reduction without alternate funding in whole or in part if he feels the circumstances so warrant.

iii. A decrease in the level of the Student Fee support to Major Budgets shall not exceed 10% of the preceding year's allocation. Ensuing consecutive reduction in the same activity will require special review and approval by the University President.

iv. These guidelines do not preclude the right of the Major Budget's
a) The name of the organization responsible for expenditure, and description of the intended uses of the funds, which shall appear on the optional fee checkoff card.

b) Proposed amount (in even dollars) of the optional fee checkoff. A minimum contribution of $2.00 must be observed.

c) A proposed budget ('base budget') of at least $250.00, ($100.00 for Summer Term), showing estimated income and expenditures, including an appropriate administrative service fee.

d) The terms in which the optional fee shall appear at registration. No optional fee shall continue past summer term.

ii. If approved by the ASOSU Senate, the proposed fee must then be approved by the Student Fees Committee and University President.

F. Recipients of optional fee funding shall make every reasonable attempt to publicize their objectives to students during the term preceding its use of the optional fee. Such publicity shall include, but not be limited to, an article in the Barometer issue preceding Registration Day. Recognizing the diverse nature of summer term students the optional fee should also be explained by a handout at registration.

G. Oregon State University shall deduct from the total funds collected for each optional fee an amount equal to all marginal costs incurred by the University for the purpose of making such collections, a minimum of 2% of the total, before depositing the collected monies in the proper auxiliary account.

H. If the optional fees collected for a designated recipient are less than its base budget, the University shall refund said monies by an amount equal to the amount collected from each student for the optional fee to his or her University Deposit minus all marginal costs incurred in handling the optional fee, unless otherwise agreed to by the Student Fees Committee.

I. If the total optional fees collected for a designated recipient exceeds a recipient's base budget, the excess funds shall revert to the Incidental Fee Contingency Fund. The recipient shall be allowed to petition to the Student Fees Committee for release of said funds, showing their intended usage, within three (3) weeks, (two (2) weeks for summer) after written notification that such a surplus has occurred.

J. During Summer Term the ASOSU President shall act as the agent of the Student Fees Committee.

K. An optional fee shall be dropped from registration if it does not achieve its base budget in two terms or receives total contributions of less than $250.00 in any one term.

L. An optional fee may be collected to supplement an activity otherwise funded from the mandatory incidental fee.
budgeting board to voluntarily reduce their student fee requests by an amount greater than 10% of the preceding year's allocation providing that existing contractual obligations are met.

v. There shall be no specific budgetary limitations placed on changes in Minor Budgets other than satisfaction of existing contractual agreements.

C. The Student Fees Committee shall annually budget for a contingency Fund in order to meet emergencies and provide for under-realization of income. Overrealized fee income will become part of this fund. The fund shall be carried over from year to year in accordance with Oregon State Board of Higher Education guidelines, or allocated to activities as deemed necessary and approved by the ASOSU Senate, Student Fees Committee and University President.

D. The Incidental Fee for summer term shall be based upon services provided, not to exceed the amount of the Incidental Fee for full time students in the previous academic term.

E. The Summer Term Health Service Fee is to be based upon services provided, not to exceed the amount of the Health Service Fee in the previous academic term.

Section 6. Appeals

If any Major Budget agency feels that proper consideration has not been given to its budget request, it shall have the right to request a hearing before the Student Fees Committee. Only after following all procedural steps, including review by the Student Fees Committee, shall an agency have the right to appeal to the University President.

Section 7. Optional Fees

The collection of optional fees shall be the responsibility of Oregon State University.

A. The use of the optional fee shall be limited to recognized student organizations, as listed by the Student Activities Committee, for use in the cultural, physical and/or educational enhancement of Oregon State University or its students.

B. Optional fees shall be assessed during the normal payment of fees according to the individual student preferences indicated at the time of registration.

C. Optional fees shall be ineligible for deferred payment plans or as a basis for student loans and/or other financial aid.

D. A maximum of ten (10) optional fees may appear at registration.

E. Procedure for obtaining authorization to collect optional fees.

i. A proposal for optional fee funding shall be submitted to the ASOSU Senate by a recognized student organization at least one term prior to its collection. The proposal must contain, at a minimum, the following:
Section 8. Enactments

This document may be amended by 2/3 majority vote by ASOSU Senate, 2/3 majority vote of the Student Fees Committee and approval by the University President.

Section 9. Enactment

04/11/72
02/16/73 rev. (2)
01/16/75 rev. (5)
I. DEFINITIONS

A portion of the money required to operate Portland State University is paid directly by students as part of the registration process. These charges, generally called fees, are assessed in three major categories: tuition, building fees, and incidental fees. Tuition is used, along with state tax funds, to support teaching and related research. Building fees are used to finance construction or remodeling of buildings such as student unions, or to retire bonds, the proceeds of which were used for that purpose. Incidental fees are used to finance certain auxiliary activities, which have been defined by the Oregon State Board of Higher Education as consisting of Intercollegiate Athletics, Educational Activities, and Student Union operations.

This document outlines policies and procedures related to the funding of activities, programs, and services supported by incidental fees, superseding all previous guideline and policy statements.

II. AUTHORITY AND RESPONSIBILITY

By state law, the State Board of Higher Education is empowered to prescribe fees for the State System of Higher Education in general and for each constituent member institution of that system. The Board has the sole authority as to the amount of fees, whether they are to be increased or decreased, and how they are to be collected, used, and accounted for.

In practice, the Board delegates fee assessment, collection, application, and accountability to the President of the University. Thus, the President has authority to approve incidental fee budgets, subject only to review by the Board.

At Portland State University, the President has further delegated certain authority and responsibility to the Incidental Fee Committee. In general, the Incidental Fee Committee is the University agency which analyzes and appraises budget requests, conducts budget investigations, matches program requests for funding with available resources, and recommends to the President the budgets for those activities, programs, and services to be funded by incidental fees, after obtaining as broad a base of student input as possible, including public hearings both in Committee and in Student Senate.

The Incidental Fee Committee is composed of five voting student members and three ex-officio members. Any enrolled student of Portland State University is eligible for membership on the Incidental Fee Committee. The Committee chairperson is elected as provided in the ASPSU by-laws each fiscal year, July 1 to June 30. The four student members-at-large are appointed to the Committee after their applications have been reviewed by the ASPSU chairperson and the Incidental Fee Committee chairperson and ratified by the ASPSU Senate.
The three ex-officio members are the Dean of Students or a representative, the Budget Director or a representative, and a member of the President's staff designated by the President.

III. ELIGIBILITY FOR INCIDENTAL Fee FUNDING

To be eligible for funding from incidental fees, an activity, program, or service must meet at least one of the following criteria:

A. Be recognized as an integral part of the Educational Activities Department.

B. Be recognized as a student organization by the Student Government.

C. Be recognized as an official agency of the University by virtue of a charter or other similar document certifying that recognition.

D. Be specifically designated by the Oregon State Board of Higher Education's policy as eligible for incidental fee funding.

In addition, all activities, programs, and services funded by incidental fees must meet the following criteria:

A. Only Portland State University students may serve as the elected or appointed officers of student programs, and a list of such officers must be furnished to the Incidental Fee Committee.

B. A statement of the method of accounting and budgetary control to be employed must be furnished to the Incidental Fee Committee by December 1, 1975.

C. Each program must have a faculty and/or staff advisor approved by the Dean of Students.

It must be understood that meeting eligibility criteria for incidental fee funding does not guarantee fee support nor does it guarantee continuation of funding beyond initial approval. All programs that have had annual funding discontinued will be considered "new programs" and, as such, must meet the eligibility criteria again. An annual program review will be undertaken to assure the program's activities are consonant with its stated purposes and objectives. The review shall be undertaken by the Student Senate in the course of its duties. In any case, incidental fee support is dependent upon availability of funds, whether from fees themselves or from generated income; and approved budgets may be modified in relation to funds available during the course of the year.

IV. PROCEDURAL STEPS IN INCIDENTAL Fee BUDGETING

A. At the beginning of the fiscal year, the President issues to the director of each continuing activity and to the members of the Incidental Fee Committee: 1) that activity's approved budget for the current fiscal year; 2) the timetable for the Incidental Fee Committee operation during the fiscal year and the deadline to be observed; 3) budget guidelines for the upcoming year.
B. Guided by this statement of objectives, the director of each program requesting funding prepares: 1) a program's outline of goals and objectives; 2) an itemized operating budget desired to meet these goals and objectives; 3) a student wage matrix; 4) a break-down of non-fee income, wherever applicable, identifying the sources, cash flow, and gross amount anticipated; and 5) job descriptions for all persons that are employed and paid through incidental fees. The required form will be a presentation of each program's budget request as specified above plus any other documentation the IFC may request.

C. These documents are presented to the appropriate policy boards and program administrative staff which review and coordinate the recommendations of all activity heads under its jurisdiction, consulting with them as necessary. The budget recommendations are then forwarded to the Incidental Fee Committee.

D. The Incidental Fee Committee holds deliberations on all budget requests, including open hearings on each program budget and makes its recommendations to the ASPSU Senate on a program-by-program dollar amount basis, while simultaneously notifying in writing each fee supported program or activity of the recommendations. The IFC will also inform each policy board of its recommendation. There shall be one week after the notification to boards and programs of Incidental Fee Committee recommendations for appeals. These appeals will allow a second hearing. The ASPSU Senate shall develop procedures to provide for orderly consideration of IFC recommendations. The resulting budgetary decision shall then be forwarded along with the IFC recommendation to the President for his action.

E. The President may consult with the Office of Finance and Administration, the Faculty Budget Committee, individual activity heads, or such others as he deems necessary or advisable. If there are differences between the President and the Incidental Fee Committee, they shall meet and discuss these differences. The President shall then make his decision, issuing expense and income incidental fee budgets for the succeeding year.
An OCE Incidental Fee Committee (IFC) will be established. This committee will begin operation and will be expected to begin fulfilling its purpose during the 1976-77 academic year. After the first year of its operation and not later than the second, the structure and function of the committee will be re-evaluated by the institutional president, by student government through the ASOCE Executive Board, and by the committee itself. The institutional president together with the Student Body President will then decide whether to keep the structure and function as they are or to alter them.

The duties and responsibilities of this committee shall be as follows:

1. To study and evaluate the funding needs of the incidental fee supported programs carried on within the three categories of Educational Activities, Athletics, and the College Center, and to review the budgets of each.

2. To evaluate the various programs in terms of their contribution to the OCE student body and their conformity to State System intent for use of incidental fee monies.

3. To report at least once a year to a joint session of ASOCE and to the institutional president (both orally and in writing) the problems it observes in the various activity programs and to give its assessment of program effectiveness.

4. To submit to the institutional president yearly recommendations for alteration of the incidental fee charges to students.

5. To submit at least once a year to a joint session of ASOCE and to the institutional president (both orally and in writing) its
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assessment of how well the percentage funding levels reflect the concerns and desires of the general student body.

6. To submit to the institutional president recommendations each year on the percentage distribution of the income among the three categories: Athletics, College Center, and Educational Activities.

The committee may recommend that certain activities within these three categories be excluded from further funding or that activities be included for funding not presently included. The committee will not be required, however, to make recommendations regarding actual distribution of funds among the various varsity sports within Athletics, among the activities within the College Center, or among the separate activities funded under Educational Activities. The Director of Athletic and the Director of the College Center will continue to make such allocations within the areas of their administrative responsibilities.

Recommendations to the institutional president concerning subdivision of Educational Activity funds among ASOCE, music activities, drama, forensics, and Campbell Hall gallery will be made by the prescribed ASOCE budgeting procedures through the ASOCE president. Student government may decide not to mediate among the competing requests from music activities, drama, forensics, and Campbell Hall gallery, but may decide to recommend to the institutional president percentage allocations of Educational Activity funds to ASOCE and to other activities under this category as a group. On any given year, however, student government may decide to study these allocations and prepare recommendations for alterations.
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7. To submit to the institutional president recommendations for budget increases or decreases according to whether the initial incidental fee projections each year are over-realized or under-realized.

To carry on its work, this committee will do the following:
1. Select its own chairman, vice chairman, and secretary annually.
2. Establish and publish its operating procedures and policies.
3. Meet regularly at least once a month and hold its meetings in conformity with the Oregon Open Meeting Law.
4. Establish subcommittees as the IFC deems necessary to do its work.
5. Conduct open hearings on the three categories: Athletics, College Center, and Educational Activities which are funded through incidental fees.
6. Consult with the director of athletics, with the director of the College Center, and with student government or any branch of student government as well as any students at large or part of the college administration according to what appears necessary to the committee to accumulate necessary information and opinion to carry on its work.
7. Have access through the director of athletics, the director of the College Center, and student government to any information, written or otherwise, required to fulfill its purpose.
8. Request the services of resource individuals as deemed necessary to serve with the committee in temporary, non-voting, and advisory roles.
The committee will be composed of eight persons to be appointed and elected as described below. Appointed members will be selected each year with a system aimed at rotating periods of service. Each year at least one appointed member will be rotated off the committee. No appointed member will serve a term of more than three years. Elected members will be selected through the regular student election process.

1. The ASOCE president.
2. A representative of the institutional president to be appointed by the president.
3. A college dean as appointed by the institutional president.
4. Two student representatives to be appointed by the ASOCE president for 1976-77 but thereafter to be elected at the Spring Term Senate elections of ASOCE.
5. One member of the OCE student body to be appointed by the institutional president in consultation with and the concurrence of the ASOCE president.
6. One at-large representative from the college community who might be a member of the student body, the faculty, or the civil service staff appointed by the institutional president in consultation with and concurrence of the ASOCE president.
7. The OCE Director of Business Affairs to serve in an advisory and non-voting role.
GUIDELINES AND PROCEDURES
IN THE BUDGETING AND ALLOCATION OF INCIDENTAL FEE INCOME

SOUTHERN OREGON COLLEGE
ASHLAND, OREGON
9-76

Introduction and Purpose:
Recognizing the need to support a number of activities considered to be incidental to the primary objective of the College, rather than serving these objectives directly, the State Board of Higher Education has ordered the assessment to all students of a Student Incidental Fee to be collected through the individual institutions of the Oregon State System of Higher Education. The Student Incidental Fee serves to support programs which supplement the established curriculum and provide extracurricular activities which contribute to well-rounded individuals.

Organization, Responsibilities, & Membership:
The organization of the administration of, and accountability for the Incidental Fee at Southern Oregon College can be portrayed graphically as follows:
Operating Procedures:
The Appeals Board, Incidental Fee Committee, Education Activities Division, and the Athletics and Intramural Committee will observe the following operating procedures:

1. With the exception of the Appeals Board, the chairman will be selected by the members annually at the group's first meeting.
2. A member will be considered to have resigned from the group with his third unexcused absence from scheduled meetings.
3. Proxies will not be permitted.
4. Members of the groups will take up their appointments annually on July 1, with the exception of the Appeals Board.
5. Action will be determined by a majority of those members present and voting.
6. Minority reports may be submitted.
7. No person may serve as a voting member of more than one section of the campus Incidental Fee structure simultaneously.

I. Incidental Fee Committee:

A. Function:

This committee will make a determination of anticipated resources for each year by reviewing anticipated student enrollment and projecting their numbers into dollar income. It will then oversee public hearings for each of the Divisions on an annual basis for purposes of the Budget. Members may make such inquiries of the Divisions as appears appropriate so that they will be fully informed and able to make the best possible judgment in their use of these funds.
Following these hearings, a recommendation will be made to the President as to programs to be funded and distribution of resources for these programs. A small reserve of approximately 5% will be maintained by the Committee for emergencies that may occur in the course of the year's business.

The Incidental Fee Committee is advisory to the President of the College. Therefore its recommendations on programs and budget are advisory. It is expected that, because of the importance of the Incidental Fee in the on-going life and activities of Southern Oregon College, and because of the importance of the Committee itself, the President will give very careful consideration to the Committee's recommendations. It is also expected, in the same light, that the Committee's recommendations to the President will be judicious and representative of the broad interest of many constituencies, and that these recommendations will be determined with full consideration as to their timeliness.

B. Membership:

The membership of the Incidental Fee Committee will include the following, to a total of nine (9):

1. The Student Body (ASSOC) President.
2. The Student Body (ASSOC) Budget Manager.
3. One Student Senator, elected by the ASSOC Senate.
4. Two students selected by the ASSOC Committee on Committees.
5. The Dean of Students.
6. Two faculty members appointed by the College President.
7. The Dean of Administration, who will serve as secretary to the Committee.
C. Authority and Operation:
The Committee is authorized, within State System and Institutional guidelines, to adopt its own by-laws and rules. However, no recommendations will be forwarded to the President pending receipt of word from each Division, within three (3) days of notice, that no appeal will be requested.

D. Quorum:
A quorum will consist of at least five (5) members.

II. Education Activities Division:
A. Function:
A variety of campus programs and activities, other than these within the aegis of the other Divisions, can enrich, enlarge, and supplement classroom and curricular programs, as well as provide for constructive use of leisure time. They can promote many kinds of interests and skills. These activities can be broadly organized, open to the campus at large; or they may be of special interest to smaller groups and organizations. These programs generally will not attempt to produce academic credit, but will be used to augment or supplement the primary objectives of the College. Many of them will center on the provision of providing students the necessary opportunities to learn and practice leadership and government.
B. Membership:
The membership of this Division will include the following to a total of nine (9): seven (7) voting & two (2) ex officio, non-voting:

1. The Student Body (ASSOC) Vice President.
2. The Student Body (ASSOC) Treasurer.
3. A Student Senator and a student, each chosen by the ASSOC Committee on Committees.
5. Two faculty members appointed by the College President. These two members will have no vote in matters pertaining primarily to Student Senate and Student Government, but not limited to educational activities.
6. The Student Body (ASSOC) President and the College Union Director, both of whom will be ex-officio, non-voting.

C. Quorum:
A quorum will consist of four (4) voting members.

III. Student Services Division
A. Function:
The Student Services Division, formerly called College Union, includes service budgets such as the College Union, Student I.D. Cards and the Collegiate. The College Union Advisory Board serves as the agency responsible for reviewing an annual budget for the operation of the College Union complex and other student service budget components.

The Union Director prepares a budget at the designated time each year for presentation to the Union Advisory Board. The board, according to the
Incidental Fee Committee schedule, announces and holds public hearings, and determines the final budget recommendations for presentation to the Committee on Incidental Fees. The Director retains the right and responsibility as designated by the President to file alternative recommendations with the Committee on Incidental Fees.

The Board, through its officers, represents the College Union budgetary function before the Committee on Incidental Fees with the Director having the privilege of speaking on behalf of any alternative proposals which may have been submitted. The membership of the Union Advisory Board is as follows:

a. Voting members:
   1. The ASSOC Secretary
   2. One IRC representative appointed by the IRC Executive Board.
   **3. Two students appointed by the ASSOC Committee on Committees.
   4. The Chairman of the Program Board.
   **5. Two alumni members, appointed by the SOC President.
   **6. Two faculty (one from the SOC Business Division), appointed by the SOC President.

b. Non-voting members:
   1. Director of the College Union, ex officio.
   2. Student Body President (ASSOC), ex officio.
   **3. Two members from the community at large, appointed by the SOC President.

**Terms to be one and two year, staggered.
IV. Athletics and Intramural Activities Division

A. Function:
This Division shall be responsible for recommendations concerning the development and maintenance of programs which encourage physical development of, and sports participation by, students at Southern Oregon College. This includes both a strong intramural program of mixed and varied activities, as well as intercollegiate competition. These programs not only provide satisfaction to those who desire to participate in competitive sports, but they also support the physical education (curricular) program aimed at the development and maintenance of a healthy body and life-time recreational skills.

B. Membership:
This Division’s membership will include the following, to a total of seven (7):
1. Four students appointed by the ASSOC Committee on Committees,
2. One faculty member appointed by the Director of Athletics.
3. One faculty member selected by the faculty of the Health and Physical Education Division.
4. One faculty member appointed by the College President.

C. Quorum:
A quorum will consist of four (4) members.

V. Appeals Board

A. Purpose:
When the Incidental Fee Committee has voted its final recommendations to the President, and at the same time such recommendations are forwarded formally, the Committee will notify each Division what its recommendations will be. The Division then has three (3) working days within which to notify the President and the Incidental Fee Committee that it wishes to appeal the Committee's determination, either in full or in part.
If an appeal is requested, an ad hoc Appeals Board will be created within three (3) working days. This Board will hear the appeal from the Division concerned, and it will also hear from the representative(s) of the Incidental Fee Committee. It is expected that the Board will submit its recommendations to the President within three (3) working days of its formation.

B. Membership

The Board's membership will include the following, to a total of five (5):
1. Three students selected by the ASSOC President.
2. Two faculty members selected by the College President.
   No member of the Board may otherwise be associated with any procedure involving the Incidental Fee.
3. The College President will name the Board's Chairman from among its members.

C. Quorum

A quorum will consist of three (3) members.

VI. Subsequent Action

A. After final approval of its budget allocation by the College President, a division or a sub-division may handle its funds as it seems advisable, subject to the following restrictions:
1. After funds have been allocated for a given year, requests for transferring funds within a Division from one sub-division to another must emanate from the Division and must be approved by the Incidental Fee Committee.
2. Funds may be transferred between Divisions with the approval of the Divisions and the Incidental Fee Committee.
3. The transfer of funds within the accounts of a sub-division may take place for up to 10% of the sub-division's budget for a given year. "Budgets" and "accounts" here refer to the budget allocation as approved by the College President. Transfers exceeding this limit must have the approval of the Division.

6. All groups requesting funds through any part of the Incidental Fee structure must submit, in addition to a proposed budget, a record of its expenditures for the previous year.

VII. Administration of funds

All regulations for receipt of funds and expenditure of money as established by the State and the College Business Office will be followed.
FUNCTION

The summer Incidental Fee Committee will handle all business of the regular Incidental Fee Committee and its subdivisions (E.A.D.B.C., Athletics, Union) during summer term. The committee will act in accordance with the guidelines and procedures as outlined for the regular school year.

MEMBERSHIP

The committee will consist of seven voting members and will be composed as follows:

1. The Student Body President or his designate
2. One student selected by E.A.D.B.C.
3. One student selected by Athletics
4. One student selected by College Union Advisory Board
5. The Dean of Students
6. One faculty member selected by College President
7. The Dean of Administration who will serve as secretary to the committee

AUTHORITY

The committee is expected to meet on an ad hoc basis as unforeseen problems arise during summer recess which could not be handled during the regular school year by the regular committees.
Guidelines and Procedures for Allocation and Disbursement of Incidental Fee Income

In compliance with policy adopted by the State Board of Higher Education on January 25, 1971, concerning the allocation of incidental fee income, Eastern Oregon State College submits the following guidelines and procedures:

Incidental Fees Committee is composed of the Vice President, Academic and Student Affairs (Chairperson), The Director of Business Affairs, Director of Student Activities, a classified staff person and six students.

The six students are appointed by the Assembly Student Affairs Committee. This includes the Co-ordinator of the Student Activities Board, EOSC Student Coalition Secretary of Financial Affairs and four other students.

This committee is commissioned to provide the following for the President:

1. Solicit budgets from all activities which may be funded from the incidental fees.
2. Review all budgets.
3. Provide any necessary surveys or student forums to gather information concerning student desires in the expenditures of incidental fees.
4. Recommend to the President an incidental fee budget including a recommended distribution of the funds along with any budget notes which will assist him in making the allocations.

All members of the committee are voting members. Business will be conducted any time five or more members are present at a duly called meeting. All meetings will be public meetings and input will be solicited from visitors attending the meetings.
Incidental Fee Budget Committee

The Incidental Fee Budget Committee shall consist of the ASOIT President (Chairman); ASOIT Treasurer; ASOIT 1st Vice President; Dean of Administration, Business Manager; S.U. Board Chairman; Dean of Students; Student Athlete, appointed by Athletic Director and approved by ASOIT President.

It shall be the duty of the Incidental Fee Budget Committee to 1) establish budget allocation, 2) request budgets, 3) hold campus hearings on proposed budgets, 4) recommend to the Institutional President Incidental Fee levels, and 5) renew the economic philosophy of the entire Incidental Fee Budget for the institution, and 6) make recommendations to the Institutional President on line item budgets for each of the three accounts.

Budget Guide Lines

The Incidental Fee Committee during fall term will establish an acceptable budget increase (expressed as a percentage) for Inflation.

Budget increases beyond a figure parallel to the established inflationary rate must be justified. Two circumstances exist where an increase beyond inflation could be warranted. 1) When new programs are being proposed. 2) In the case of financial problems encountered, due to a deficiency in budgeted funds. In the case of budgetary problems, a log must be maintained which is a record of budget shortcomings and action taken within the budget to compensate. Justification, in either of the above cases for budget increases, will be made as a formal proposal to the Incidental Fee Committee. Deletion of any portion of the prior year's budget must be brought to the attention of the Incidental Fee Committee.

Educational Activities Budget

The ASOIT President shall appoint four students to the ASOIT Finance Committee. This committee shall be chaired by the ASOIT Treasurer. Also serving on this committee (ex-officio) as a resource person, shall be an Assistant Dean of Students. This committee shall prepare an Educational Activities budget.

Included in the Educational Activities budget will be a provision for expenses of Coordinator of Campus Activities, his secretary, ASOIT officers, and S.U. off...
Student Union Board Budget

The S.U. Board Finance Committee shall consist of the S.U. Board Chairman, S.U. Board Treasurer, (committee chairman), 1st Vice Chairman, 2nd Vice Chairman, and S.U. Secretary.

Athletic Activities Budget

The coaches, working with the Athletic Director, will prepare budgets for each sport. The rally squad with the assistance of their advisor and Athletic Department will develop a budget. These budgets will be presented to the Athletic Steering Commission for approval. The Athletic Steering Commission will consist of the following members: ASOIT 1st Vice President (Chairman), two students (appointed by the ASOIT President), Dean of Administration, and the Athletic Director.

Campus Hearings

Once the base for the budget year has been established, the Incidental Fee Budget Committee shall announce, on campus, and hold hearings on the individual budgets.

The basic procedure for these hearings shall be:

1. Presentation of budget to Incidental Fee Budget Committee by representatives of respective budget committee.
2. Questioning of any budget item by Incidental Fee Budget Committee.
3. After presentation of budget, campus public may present comments on that particular budget.

After the presentation of all budgets at campus hearings, the Incidental Fee Budget Committee shall review all budgets and refer them back to their respective committee for necessary adjustments. Upon receiving them the second time, if they do not balance with the expected level of income, the Incidental Fee Budget Committee will make necessary adjustments. The hearings and adjusting of the budgets should be completed by the seventh week of winter term.

Approval

The Incidental Fee Budget Committee Chairman shall then present the budgets approved by his committee to the Institutional President for final approval. Notice of any changes in proposed budgets, by the Institutional President, shall be made to the Incidental Fee Committee chairman.

The Chairman of the Incidental Fee Committee shall also submit to the Institutional President and the Chairmen of the ASOIT Finance Committee, and the Athletic Steering Commission the minutes of the Incidental Fee Budget Committee meetings and a brief report on strong and weak points in the budgeting procedure.
Guidelines and Procedures for Budgeting of Incidental Fees

The incidental fee at the University of Oregon Health Sciences Center is allocated for only one activity -- to finance the operation of the Student Activities Building. This building provides athletic and recreational facilities and is available to all students of the Health Sciences Center.

A standing committee has been appointed by the President to make recommendations regarding the annual budget for the operation of the Student Activities Building as well as recommendations on policy matters relating to the use of the building. This committee consists of:

- Three students representing the official student organizations
  One each from the Medical School, Dental School and School of Nursing
- Three faculty members
  One each from the Medical School, Dental School and School of Nursing
- One resident physician
- One representative for Center-wide administration

The committee meets periodically during the year as the need arises. One of the responsibilities of the Director of the Student Activities Building is the preparation of an annual budget. This budget is subject to the review and approval of the committee and the President of the Health Sciences Center. It should be noted that all funds available for the building are needed to meet normal operating expenses, and that little discretion exists to modify the nature and amount of the various expense items.