



MEETING MINUTES

Joint Boards Articulation Commission

May 8, 2006: Conference Call

Members Present: Ed Watson, *Linn-Benton, Chair*; Karen Carter, *Columbia Gorge*; Agnes Hoffman, *PSU*; Dea Hoffman, *EOU*; Donna Koechig, *Lane*; Robert Mercer, *OUS*; Earl Potter, *SOU*; Jill Rupert, *Chemeketa*; Maureen Sevigny, *OIT*; Karen Sprague, *UO*; Reine Thomas, *PCC*; Elaine Yandle-Roth, *CCWD*

Others Present: Connie Green, *CCWD*; Jonathan Jacobs, *OUS*; Julie Suchanek, *OCCA*

DISCUSSION ITEM	NEXT STEPS
<p><i>Next Meeting Schedule</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • June 15, 1-3pm: Lane CC, Bldg 19, room 225 	
<p><i>Advanced Placement Recommendations</i></p> <p>Almost all campus AP concerns resolved. Outstanding issues remain in government tests, foreign languages, and world history exam.</p> <p>Provosts are pleased with results. No need to have faculty discussions.</p> <p>Four new language exams introduced to the AP program. Oregon credit awards will be looked at next year.</p> <p>JBAC will possibly initiate an IB review after AP and general education are further along. AP is current priority due to SB342.</p>	<p><i>Robert is working with campuses to resolve remaining concerns.</i></p> <p><i>Connie will send the AP draft to CIA.</i></p> <p><i>Add placeholder for IB review to workplan.</i></p>
<p><i>April 27 Criteria Conference report</i></p> <p>Draft criteria were created in all 6 areas. Science group still refining.</p> <p><i>Concern:</i> Criteria statements are not assessable in this form. <i>Response:</i> Original intent of project is not assessment. Intent is to get framework around content of courses. Developing assessment strategies is a future step.</p> <p><i>Concern:</i> Outcomes statements were set into form too quickly <i>Response:</i> We are inviting open discussion. They are not codified, merely written down to invite response. We do not have a set deadline for legislature; we can show progress.</p> <p><i>Concern:</i> AAOT areas do not include all of general education <i>Response:</i> We are limiting discussions to the current AAOT rather than revise the AAOT in the same piece of work. This is so that we can make progress and have something useful, and expand this work after AAOT revision.</p> <p><i>Next Steps:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Current discussion in online forums. - Individual campus discussions this fall. 	<p><i>Jonathan will post to forum website.</i></p> <p><i>CIA will be discussing next steps</i></p>

DISCUSSION ITEM	NEXT STEPS
<p><i>Policy Package Proposal</i> <i>Project 1 discussion:</i> Curriculum committees will be asked to agree to outcomes and criteria after campus visits and conversations; ideally curriculum committees will agree in Fall 2006. If agreement is not reached it will not go to legislature.</p> <p><i>Project 2 discussion:</i> Development of statewide math assessment. JBAC Discussed general desire to include writing in package.</p> <p>State Board of Education has asked for a review of community college placement tests. CCWD has collected information and is recommending a task force to identify issues and recommend policy. The policy package will work with and use the results from the task force in defining placement issues.</p> <p><i>Project 3:</i> Align high school course content for courses that connect to college. High school course content/pathways not always well defined.</p>	<p><i>Karen will add writing to Project 2.</i></p> <p><i>Karen will reference alignment with task force in package.</i></p>
<p><i>Combine Policy Packages</i> Discussed request to combine package with the <i>P-20 Education Information System</i>. Concerns were raised about community college data readiness and the consideration of urgent need.</p>	<p><i>JBAC recommends packages to be moved forward separately.</i></p>
<p><i>EDP Policy Package Endorsement</i> EDP is requesting feedback from JBAC about policy packages in development that JBAC sees as priority.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Alignment policy package is JBAC's highest priority. ▪ The ATLAS system and statewide teacher education consortium piece are also in collaboration with JBAC. 	<p><i>When developed, Robert will share first draft of EDP's priority packages for comment.</i></p>